

Soy Excellence Center SEC Feed Manufacturing Track – Basic Level



Batching and Mixing System

Patrick Clark, PhD



Two Independent Processes

Batching

- Weigh each ingredient accurately
 - Weighing ingredients
 - Manually
 - Automatically
 - Both







Mixing

 To produce feed in which nutrients and medications are uniformly distributed at the lowest time







Importance

Highly important during the starter period due to lower feed intake





Importance





McCoy et al., 1994

Feed Mill Design





Batching Components











vs. Multiple Hopper







Scale Considerations

- Major 80 to 90%
- Minor 10 to 15%
- Micro <5%
- Scales should be calibrated at installation and certified once a year
 - Scale check can be done "in house" monthly or at least every 3 months



Batching Components





Alprime EU 🛛

Vertical Mixers



- Advantages
 - Low initial investment
 - Low maintenance cost
 - Small footprint
- Disadvantages
 - > 10 min for mixing
 - Low inclusion of liquids
 - Poor clean out
 - Risk of cross contamination



Double Ribbon Mixers



- Advantages

 - Higher inclusion of liquids
 - Good clean out
- Disadvantages
 - Higher HP requirements



Paddle Mixers

- Paddle mixers are often used in feed mill where there is a high inclusion level of fibrous ingredients or liquids
 - Less surface, which reduce build up inside the mixer
 - Easier to clean







Mixing Zones





Mixing Times

Mixer Type	Dry cycle, min	Wet cycle, min
Paddle – single shaft	3	3
Double ribbon	1-2	2-3
Counterpoise	0.75-1	2
Vertical	5-10	5-10

Follow you manufacturer recommendations and test mixer uniformity











Batching Process





Batching Process





Batching Process





Ingredients Addition





Ingredients Addition













Mixing Sequence





Mixing Sequence





Total Batch Cycle





Adaptado de Stark, 2011





Potential Problems

- There are many potential problems that can occur during mixing:
 - Overfilling the mixer due to changes in density
 - Spraying liquids on the ribbons (build-up)
 - Short dry and/or wet cycles
 - Incorrect order of ingredient addition
 - Broken ribbons
 - Scale accuracy problems



Potential Problems





Maintenance

- Inspect scale hoppers and mixer quarterly
- Check scales
- Check liquid meters 4 times a year
- Verify flushing procedures
- Perform a mixing analysis
 - Installation
 - Twice a year
 - After any preventive or corrective maintenance



- Estimated by the coefficient of variation (CV) of a specific nutrient or ingredient (usually chlorine from salt)
- Used to measure the degree of dispersion of the ingredients in the batch of feed
- It is recommended a CV of 10% or less
- Generally mixing uniformity increases with increased mixing time















CV	Rating	Corrective Action
<10%	Excellent	None
10-15%	Good	Increase mixing time by 25% to 30%
15-20%	Fair	Increase mixing time by 50% look for worn equipment overfilling or sequence of ingredient addition
>20%	Poor	Possible combination of all of the above. Consult feed equipment manufacturer



Take Home Message

- Although mixing is simple, there are many potential problems that can occur such as:
 - Overfilling the mixer due to ingredient density changes
 - Build-up on the ribbons or paddles,
 - Inadequate dry and/or wet mixing times,
 - Incorrect order of ingredient addition
 - Leaking gates
 - Broken ribbons or paddles among others.



Take Home Message

- Potential mixing problems can be identified and fixed with:
 - Regular preventive maintenance
 - Visual inspections
 - Mixer uniformity tests
 - Mixers should be tested to evaluate uniformity after installation and at least annually
 - Mixer uniformity is estimated by the coefficient of variation (CV) of a specific nutrient or ingredient such as:
 - \circ Chloride from salt
 - $_{\odot}$ Trace minerals
 - \circ Micro tracers
 - \circ Synthetic amino acids
 - $\circ \, \text{NIR}$
 - A CV of 10% or less is recommended



Thanks



Wilmer Javier Pacheco, MSc., PhD. Extension Specialist and Associate Professor Auburn University wjp0010@auburn.edu

