21. Approximate the following integrals using formulas (4.25) through (4.32). Are the accuracies of the approximations consistent with the error formulas? Which of parts (d) and (e) give the better approximation?

a. $\int_0^{0.1} \sqrt{1+x}\, dx$

b. $\int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin x)^2\, dx$

c. $\int_{1.1}^{1.5} e^x\, dx$

d. $\int_1^{10} \frac{1}{x}\, dx$

e. $\int_1^{5.5} \frac{1}{x}\, dx + \int_{5.5}^{10} \frac{1}{x}\, dx$

f. $\int_0^1 x^{1/3}\, dx$

22. Given the function f at the following values,

x	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.6
$f(x)$	3.12014	4.42569	6.04241	8.03014	10.46675

approximate $\int_{1.8}^{2.6} f(x)\, dx$ using all the appropriate quadrature formulas of this section.

23. Suppose that the data of Exercise 22 have round-off errors given by the following table.

x	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.6
Error in $f(x)$	2×10^{-6}	-2×10^{-6}	-0.9×10^{-6}	-0.9×10^{-6}	2×10^{-6}

Calculate the errors due to round-off in Exercise 22.

24. Derive Simpson's rule with error term by using

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_2} f(x)\, dx = a_0 f(x_0) + a_1 f(x_1) + a_2 f(x_2) + k f^{(4)}(\xi).$$

Find a_0, a_1, and a_2 from the fact that Simpson's rule is exact for $f(x) = x^n$ when $n = 1, 2$, and 3. Then find k by applying the integration formula with $f(x) = x^4$.

25. Prove the statement following Definition 4.1; that is, show that a quadrature formula has degree of precision n if and only if the error $E(P(x)) = 0$ for all polynomials $P(x)$ of degree $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, but $E(P(x)) \neq 0$ for some polynomial $P(x)$ of degree $n + 1$.

26. Derive Simpson's three-eighths rule (the closed rule with $n = 3$) with error term by using Theorem 4.2.

27. Derive the open rule with $n = 1$ with error term by using Theorem 4.3.

4.4 Composite Numerical Integration

The Newton-Cotes formulas are generally unsuitable for use over large integration intervals. High-degree formulas would be required, and the values of the coefficients in these formulas are difficult to obtain. Also, the Newton-Cotes formulas are based on interpolatory polynomials that use equally-spaced nodes, a procedure that is inaccurate over large intervals because of the oscillatory nature of high-degree polynomials.

Piecewise approximation is often effective. Recall that this was used for spline interpolation.

In this section, we discuss a *piecewise* approach to numerical integration that uses the low-order Newton-Cotes formulas. These are the techniques most often applied.

Example 1 Use Simpson's rule to approximate $\int_0^4 e^x\, dx$ and compare this to the results obtained by adding the Simpson's rule approximations for $\int_0^2 e^x\, dx$ and $\int_2^4 e^x\, dx$. Compare these approximations to the sum of Simpson's rule for $\int_0^1 e^x\, dx$, $\int_1^2 e^x\, dx$, $\int_2^3 e^x\, dx$, and $\int_3^4 e^x\, dx$.

Solution Simpson's rule on $[0, 4]$ uses $h = 2$ and gives

$$\int_0^4 e^x\,dx \approx \frac{2}{3}(e^0 + 4e^2 + e^4) = 56.76958.$$

The exact answer in this case is $e^4 - e^0 = 53.59815$, and the error -3.17143 is far larger than we would normally accept.

Applying Simpson's rule on each of the intervals $[0, 2]$ and $[2, 4]$ uses $h = 1$ and gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^4 e^x\,dx &= \int_0^2 e^x\,dx + \int_2^4 e^x\,dx \\ &\approx \frac{1}{3}\left(e^0 + 4e + e^2\right) + \frac{1}{3}\left(e^2 + 4e^3 + e^4\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\left(e^0 + 4e + 2e^2 + 4e^3 + e^4\right) \\ &= 53.86385.\end{aligned}$$

The error has been reduced to -0.26570.

For the integrals on $[0, 1]$,$[1, 2]$,$[3, 4]$, and $[3, 4]$ we use Simpson's rule four times with $h = \frac{1}{2}$ giving

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^4 e^x\,dx &= \int_0^1 e^x\,dx + \int_1^2 e^x\,dx + \int_2^3 e^x\,dx + \int_3^4 e^x\,dx \\ &\approx \frac{1}{6}\left(e_0 + 4e^{1/2} + e\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left(e + 4e^{3/2} + e^2\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}\left(e^2 + 4e^{5/2} + e^3\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left(e^3 + 4e^{7/2} + e^4\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}\left(e^0 + 4e^{1/2} + 2e + 4e^{3/2} + 2e^2 + 4e^{5/2} + 2e^3 + 4e^{7/2} + e^4\right) \\ &= 53.61622.\end{aligned}$$

The error for this approximation has been reduced to -0.01807. ■

To generalize this procedure for an arbitrary integral $\int_a^b f(x)\,dx$, choose an even integer n. Subdivide the interval $[a, b]$ into n subintervals, and apply Simpson's rule on each consecutive pair of subintervals. (See Figure 4.7.)

Figure 4.7



With $h = (b-a)/n$ and $x_j = a + jh$, for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\int_a^b f(x)\,dx = \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} \int_{x_{2j-2}}^{x_{2j}} f(x)\,dx$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} \left\{ \frac{h}{3}[f(x_{2j-2}) + 4f(x_{2j-1}) + f(x_{2j})] - \frac{h^5}{90} f^{(4)}(\xi_j) \right\},$$

for some ξ_j with $x_{2j-2} < \xi_j < x_{2j}$, provided that $f \in C^4[a,b]$. Using the fact that for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, (n/2) - 1$ we have $f(x_{2j})$ appearing in the term corresponding to the interval $[x_{2j-2}, x_{2j}]$ and also in the term corresponding to the interval $[x_{2j}, x_{2j+2}]$, we can reduce this sum to

$$\int_a^b f(x)\,dx = \frac{h}{3}\left[f(x_0) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{(n/2)-1} f(x_{2j}) + 4\sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f(x_{2j-1}) + f(x_n) \right] - \frac{h^5}{90}\sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f^{(4)}(\xi_j).$$

The error associated with this approximation is

$$E(f) = -\frac{h^5}{90}\sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f^{(4)}(\xi_j),$$

where $x_{2j-2} < \xi_j < x_{2j}$, for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2$.

If $f \in C^4[a,b]$, the Extreme Value Theorem 1.9 implies that $f^{(4)}$ assumes its maximum and minimum in $[a,b]$. Since

$$\min_{x\in[a,b]} f^{(4)}(x) \le f^{(4)}(\xi_j) \le \max_{x\in[a,b]} f^{(4)}(x),$$

we have

$$\frac{n}{2}\min_{x\in[a,b]} f^{(4)}(x) \le \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f^{(4)}(\xi_j) \le \frac{n}{2}\max_{x\in[a,b]} f^{(4)}(x)$$

and

$$\min_{x\in[a,b]} f^{(4)}(x) \le \frac{2}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f^{(4)}(\xi_j) \le \max_{x\in[a,b]} f^{(4)}(x).$$

By the Intermediate Value Theorem 1.11, there is a $\mu \in (a,b)$ such that

$$f^{(4)}(\mu) = \frac{2}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f^{(4)}(\xi_j).$$

Thus

$$E(f) = -\frac{h^5}{90}\sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f^{(4)}(\xi_j) = -\frac{h^5}{180} n f^{(4)}(\mu),$$

or, since $h = (b-a)/n$,

$$E(f) = -\frac{(b-a)}{180} h^4 f^{(4)}(\mu).$$

These observations produce the following result.

Theorem 4.4 Let $f \in C^4[a,b]$, n be even, $h = (b-a)/n$, and $x_j = a + jh$, for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. There exists a $\mu \in (a,b)$ for which the **Composite Simpson's rule** for n subintervals can be written with its error term as

$$\int_a^b f(x)\,dx = \frac{h}{3}\left[f(a) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{(n/2)-1} f(x_{2j}) + 4\sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f(x_{2j-1}) + f(b)\right] - \frac{b-a}{180}h^4 f^{(4)}(\mu).$$

■

Notice that the error term for the Composite Simpson's rule is $O(h^4)$, whereas it was $O(h^5)$ for the standard Simpson's rule. However, these rates are not comparable because for standard Simpson's rule we have h fixed at $h = (b-a)/2$, but for Composite Simpson's rule we have $h = (b-a)/n$, for n an even integer. This permits us to considerably reduce the value of h when the Composite Simpson's rule is used.

Algorithm 4.1 uses the Composite Simpson's rule on n subintervals. This is the most frequently used general-purpose quadrature algorithm.

ALGORITHM **4.1**

Composite Simpson's Rule

To approximate the integral $I = \int_a^b f(x)\,dx$:

INPUT endpoints a, b; even positive integer n.

OUTPUT approximation XI to I.

Step 1 Set $h = (b-a)/n$.

Step 2 Set $XI0 = f(a) + f(b)$;
$XI1 = 0$; (*Summation of* $f(x_{2i-1})$.)
$XI2 = 0$. (*Summation of* $f(x_{2i})$.)

Step 3 For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ do Steps 4 and 5.

Step 4 Set $X = a + ih$.

Step 5 If i is even then set $XI2 = XI2 + f(X)$
else set $XI1 = XI1 + f(X)$.

Step 6 Set $XI = h(XI0 + 2 \cdot XI2 + 4 \cdot XI1)/3$.

Step 7 OUTPUT (XI);
STOP.

■

The subdivision approach can be applied to any of the Newton-Cotes formulas. The extensions of the Trapezoidal (see Figure 4.8) and Midpoint rules are given without proof. The Trapezoidal rule requires only one interval for each application, so the integer n can be either odd or even.

Theorem 4.5 Let $f \in C^2[a,b]$, $h = (b-a)/n$, and $x_j = a + jh$, for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. There exists a $\mu \in (a,b)$ for which the **Composite Trapezoidal rule** for n subintervals can be written with its error term as

$$\int_a^b f(x)\,dx = \frac{h}{2}\left[f(a) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f(x_j) + f(b)\right] - \frac{b-a}{12}h^2 f''(\mu).$$

■

Figure 4.8



For the Composite Midpoint rule, n must again be even. (See Figure 4.9.)

Figure 4.9



Theorem 4.6 Let $f \in C^2[a, b]$, n be even, $h = (b - a)/(n + 2)$, and $x_j = a + (j + 1)h$ for each $j = -1, 0, \ldots, n + 1$. There exists a $\mu \in (a, b)$ for which the **Composite Midpoint rule** for $n + 2$ subintervals can be written with its error term as

$$\int_a^b f(x)\,dx = 2h\sum_{j=0}^{n/2} f(x_{2j}) + \frac{b-a}{6}h^2 f''(\mu).$$ ■

Example 2 Determine values of h that will ensure an approximation error of less than 0.00002 when approximating $\int_0^\pi \sin x\,dx$ and employing
(a) Composite Trapezoidal rule and **(b)** Composite Simpson's rule.

Solution **(a)** The error form for the Composite Trapezoidal rule for $f(x) = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$ is

$$\left|\frac{\pi h^2}{12} f''(\mu)\right| = \left|\frac{\pi h^2}{12}(-\sin \mu)\right| = \frac{\pi h^2}{12}|\sin \mu|.$$

To ensure sufficient accuracy with this technique we need to have

$$\frac{\pi h^2}{12}|\sin\mu| \le \frac{\pi h^2}{12} < 0.00002.$$

Since $h = \pi/n$ implies that $n = \pi/h$, we need

$$\frac{\pi^3}{12n^2} < 0.00002 \quad \text{which implies that} \quad n > \left(\frac{\pi^3}{12(0.00002)}\right)^{1/2} \approx 359.44.$$

and the Composite Trapezoidal rule requires $n \ge 360$.

(b) The error form for the Composite Simpson's rule for $f(x) = \sin x$ on $[0, \pi]$ is

$$\left|\frac{\pi h^4}{180} f^{(4)}(\mu)\right| = \left|\frac{\pi h^4}{180}\sin\mu\right| = \frac{\pi h^4}{180}|\sin\mu|.$$

To ensure sufficient accuracy with this technique we need to have

$$\frac{\pi h^4}{180}|\sin\mu| \le \frac{\pi h^4}{180} < 0.00002.$$

Using again the fact that $n = \pi/h$ gives

$$\frac{\pi^5}{180n^4} < 0.00002 \quad \text{which implies that} \quad n > \left(\frac{\pi^5}{180(0.00002)}\right)^{1/4} \approx 17.07.$$

So Composite Simpson's rule requires only $n \ge 18$.

Composite Simpson's rule with $n = 18$ gives

$$\int_0^\pi \sin x\,dx \approx \frac{\pi}{54}\left[2\sum_{j=1}^{8}\sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{9}\right) + 4\sum_{j=1}^{9}\sin\left(\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{18}\right)\right] = 2.0000104.$$

This is accurate to within about 10^{-5} because the true value is $-\cos(\pi) - (-\cos(0)) = 2$. ■

Composite Simpson's rule is the clear choice if you wish to minimize computation. For comparison purposes, consider the Composite Trapezoidal rule using $h = \pi/18$ for the integral in Example 2. This approximation uses the same function evaluations as Composite Simpson's rule but the approximation in this case

$$\int_0^\pi \sin x\,dx \approx \frac{\pi}{36}\left[2\sum_{j=1}^{17}\sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{18}\right) + \sin 0 + \sin\pi\right] = \frac{\pi}{36}\left[2\sum_{j=1}^{17}\sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{18}\right)\right] = 1.9949205.$$

is accurate only to about 5×10^{-3}.

Maple contains numerous procedures for numerical integration in the *NumericalAnalysis* subpackage of the *Student* package. First access the library as usual with

with(*Student*[*NumericalAnalysis*])

The command for all methods is *Quadrature* with the options in the call specifying the method to be used. We will use the Trapezoidal method to illustrate the procedure. First define the function and the interval of integration with

$f := x \to \sin(x);\ a := 0.0;\ b := \pi$

After Maple responds with the function and the interval, enter the command

$Quadrature(f(x), x = a..b, method = trapezoid, partition = 20, output = value)$

$$1.995885973$$

The value of the step size h in this instance is the width of the interval $b - a$ divided by the number specified by $partition = 20$.

Simpson's method can be called in a similar manner, except that the step size h is determined by $b - a$ divided by twice the value of $partition$. Hence, the Simpson's rule approximation using the same nodes as those in the Trapezoidal rule is called with

$Quadrature(f(x), x = a..b, method = simpson, partition = 10, output = value)$

$$2.000006785$$

Any of the Newton-Cotes methods can be called using the option

$$method = newtoncotes[open, n] \quad \text{or} \quad method = newtoncotes[closed, n]$$

Be careful to correctly specify the number in *partition* when an even number of divisions is required, and when an open method is employed.

Round-Off Error Stability

In Example 2 we saw that ensuring an accuracy of 2×10^{-5} for approximating $\int_0^\pi \sin x\, dx$ required 360 subdivisions of $[0, \pi]$ for the Composite Trapezoidal rule and only 18 for Composite Simpson's rule. In addition to the fact that less computation is needed for the Simpson's technique, you might suspect that because of fewer computations this method would also involve less round-off error. However, an important property shared by all the composite integration techniques is a stability with respect to round-off error. That is, the round-off error does not depend on the number of calculations performed.

Numerical integration is expected to be stable, whereas numerical differentiation is unstable.

To demonstrate this rather amazing fact, suppose we apply the Composite Simpson's rule with n subintervals to a function f on $[a, b]$ and determine the maximum bound for the round-off error. Assume that $f(x_i)$ is approximated by $\tilde{f}(x_i)$ and that

$$f(x_i) = \tilde{f}(x_i) + e_i, \quad \text{for each } i = 0, 1, \ldots, n,$$

where e_i denotes the round-off error associated with using $\tilde{f}(x_i)$ to approximate $f(x_i)$. Then the accumulated error, $e(h)$, in the Composite Simpson's rule is

$$\begin{aligned} e(h) &= \left| \frac{h}{3} \left[e_0 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n/2)-1} e_{2j} + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} e_{2j-1} + e_n \right] \right| \\ &\le \frac{h}{3} \left[|e_0| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n/2)-1} |e_{2j}| + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} |e_{2j-1}| + |e_n| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If the round-off errors are uniformly bounded by ε, then

$$e(h) \le \frac{h}{3} \left[\varepsilon + 2\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)\varepsilon + 4\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\varepsilon + \varepsilon \right] = \frac{h}{3} 3n\varepsilon = nh\varepsilon.$$

But $nh = b - a$, so

$$e(h) \le (b - a)\varepsilon,$$

a bound independent of h (and n). This means that, even though we may need to divide an interval into more parts to ensure accuracy, the increased computation that is required does not increase the round-off error. This result implies that the procedure is stable as h approaches zero. Recall that this was not true of the numerical differentiation procedures considered at the beginning of this chapter.

EXERCISE SET 4.4

1. Use the Composite Trapezoidal rule with the indicated values of n to approximate the following integrals.

a. $\int_1^2 x \ln x \, dx, \quad n = 4$ **b.** $\int_{-2}^2 x^3 e^x \, dx, \quad n = 4$

c. $\int_0^2 \frac{2}{x^2+4} \, dx, \quad n = 6$ **d.** $\int_0^\pi x^2 \cos x \, dx, \quad n = 6$

e. $\int_0^2 e^{2x} \sin 3x \, dx, \quad n = 8$ **f.** $\int_1^3 \frac{x}{x^2+4} \, dx, \quad n = 8$

g. $\int_3^5 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2-4}} \, dx, \quad n = 8$ **h.** $\int_0^{3\pi/8} \tan x \, dx, \quad n = 8$

2. Use the Composite Trapezoidal rule with the indicated values of n to approximate the following integrals.

a. $\int_{-0.5}^{0.5} \cos^2 x \, dx, \quad n = 4$ **b.** $\int_{-0.5}^{0.5} x \ln(x+1) \, dx, \quad n = 6$

c. $\int_{.75}^{1.75} (\sin^2 x - 2x \sin x + 1) \, dx, \quad n = 8$ **d.** $\int_e^{e+2} \frac{1}{x \ln x} \, dx, \quad n = 8$

3. Use the Composite Simpson's rule to approximate the integrals in Exercise 1.

4. Use the Composite Simpson's rule to approximate the integrals in Exercise 2.

5. Use the Composite Midpoint rule with $n + 2$ subintervals to approximate the integrals in Exercise 1.

6. Use the Composite Midpoint rule with $n + 2$ subintervals to approximate the integrals in Exercise 2.

7. Approximate $\int_0^2 x^2 \ln(x^2 + 1) \, dx$ using $h = 0.25$. Use

a. Composite Trapezoidal rule.

b. Composite Simpson's rule.

c. Composite Midpoint rule.

8. Approximate $\int_0^2 x^2 e^{-x^2} \, dx$ using $h = 0.25$. Use

a. Composite Trapezoidal rule.

b. Composite Simpson's rule.

c. Composite Midpoint rule.

9. Suppose that $f(0) = 1$, $f(0.5) = 2.5$, $f(1) = 2$, and $f(0.25) = f(0.75) = \alpha$. Find α if the Composite Trapezoidal rule with $n = 4$ gives the value 1.75 for $\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx$.

10. The Midpoint rule for approximating $\int_{-1}^1 f(x) \, dx$ gives the value 12, the Composite Midpoint rule with $n = 2$ gives 5, and Composite Simpson's rule gives 6. Use the fact that $f(-1) = f(1)$ and $f(-0.5) = f(0.5) - 1$ to determine $f(-1)$, $f(-0.5)$, $f(0)$, $f(0.5)$, and $f(1)$.

11. Determine the values of n and h required to approximate

$$\int_0^2 e^{2x} \sin 3x \, dx$$

to within 10^{-4}. Use

a. Composite Trapezoidal rule.

b. Composite Simpson's rule.

c. Composite Midpoint rule.

12. Repeat Exercise 11 for the integral $\int_0^{\pi} x^2 \cos x \, dx$.

13. Determine the values of n and h required to approximate

$$\int_0^2 \frac{1}{x+4}\, dx$$

to within 10^{-5} and compute the approximation. Use

a. Composite Trapezoidal rule.

b. Composite Simpson's rule.

c. Composite Midpoint rule.

14. Repeat Exercise 13 for the integral $\int_1^2 x \ln x \, dx$.

15. Let f be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^3 + 1, & 0 \le x \le 0.1, \\ 1.001 + 0.03(x-0.1) + 0.3(x-0.1)^2 + 2(x-0.1)^3, & 0.1 \le x \le 0.2, \\ 1.009 + 0.15(x-0.2) + 0.9(x-0.2)^2 + 2(x-0.2)^3, & 0.2 \le x \le 0.3. \end{cases}$$

a. Investigate the continuity of the derivatives of f.

b. Use the Composite Trapezoidal rule with $n = 6$ to approximate $\int_0^{0.3} f(x)\, dx$, and estimate the error using the error bound.

c. Use the Composite Simpson's rule with $n = 6$ to approximate $\int_0^{0.3} f(x)\, dx$. Are the results more accurate than in part (b)?

16. Show that the error $E(f)$ for Composite Simpson's rule can be approximated by

$$-\frac{h^4}{180}[f'''(b) - f'''(a)].$$

[*Hint:* $\sum_{j=1}^{n/2} f^{(4)}(\xi_j)(2h)$ is a Riemann Sum for $\int_a^b f^{(4)}(x)\, dx$.]

17. **a.** Derive an estimate for $E(f)$ in the Composite Trapezoidal rule using the method in Exercise 16.

b. Repeat part (a) for the Composite Midpoint rule.

18. Use the error estimates of Exercises 16 and 17 to estimate the errors in Exercise 12.

19. Use the error estimates of Exercises 16 and 17 to estimate the errors in Exercise 14.

20. In multivariable calculus and in statistics courses it is shown that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(1/2)(x/\sigma)^2}\, dx = 1,$$

for any positive σ. The function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(1/2)(x/\sigma)^2}$$

is the *normal density function* with *mean* $\mu = 0$ and *standard deviation* σ. The probability that a randomly chosen value described by this distribution lies in $[a, b]$ is given by $\int_a^b f(x)\, dx$. Approximate to within 10^{-5} the probability that a randomly chosen value described by this distribution will lie in

a. $[-\sigma, \sigma]$ **b.** $[-2\sigma, 2\sigma]$ **c.** $[-3\sigma, 3\sigma]$

21. Determine to within 10^{-6} the length of the graph of the ellipse with equation $4x^2 + 9y^2 = 36$.

22. A car laps a race track in 84 seconds. The speed of the car at each 6-second interval is determined by using a radar gun and is given from the beginning of the lap, in feet/second, by the entries in the following table.

Time	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72	78	84
Speed	124	134	148	156	147	133	121	109	99	85	78	89	104	116	123

How long is the track?

23. A particle of mass m moving through a fluid is subjected to a viscous resistance R, which is a function of the velocity v. The relationship between the resistance R, velocity v, and time t is given by the equation

$$t = \int_{v(t_0)}^{v(t)} \frac{m}{R(u)}\, du.$$

Suppose that $R(v) = -v\sqrt{v}$ for a particular fluid, where R is in newtons and v is in meters/second. If $m = 10$ kg and $v(0) = 10$ m/s, approximate the time required for the particle to slow to $v = 5$ m/s.

24. To simulate the thermal characteristics of disk brakes (see the following figure), D. A. Secrist and R. W. Hornbeck [SH] needed to approximate numerically the "area averaged lining temperature," T, of the brake pad from the equation

$$T = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{r_e}^{r_0} T(r) r\theta_p\, dr}{\displaystyle\int_{r_e}^{r_0} r\theta_p\, dr},$$

where r_e represents the radius at which the pad-disk contact begins, r_0 represents the outside radius of the pad-disk contact, θ_p represents the angle subtended by the sector brake pads, and $T(r)$ is the temperature at each point of the pad, obtained numerically from analyzing the heat equation (see Section 12.2). Suppose $r_e = 0.308$ ft, $r_0 = 0.478$ ft, $\theta_p = 0.7051$ radians, and the temperatures given in the following table have been calculated at the various points on the disk. Approximate T.

r (ft)	$T(r)$ (°F)	r (ft)	$T(r)$ (°F)	r (ft)	$T(r)$ (°F)
0.308	640	0.376	1034	0.444	1204
0.325	794	0.393	1064	0.461	1222
0.342	885	0.410	1114	0.478	1239
0.359	943	0.427	1152		



25. Find an approximation to within 10^{-4} of the value of the integral considered in the application opening this chapter:

$$\int_0^{48} \sqrt{1 + (\cos x)^2}\, dx.$$

26. The equation

$$\int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}\, dt = 0.45$$

can be solved for x by using Newton's method with

$$f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}\, dt - 0.45$$

and

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}.$$

To evaluate f at the approximation p_k, we need a quadrature formula to approximate

$$\int_0^{p_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}\, dt.$$

a. Find a solution to $f(x) = 0$ accurate to within 10^{-5} using Newton's method with $p_0 = 0.5$ and the Composite Simpson's rule.

b. Repeat (a) using the Composite Trapezoidal rule in place of the Composite Simpson's rule.

4.5 Romberg Integration

In this section we will illustrate how Richardson extrapolation applied to results from the Composite Trapezoidal rule can be used to obtain high accuracy approximations with little computational cost.

In Section 4.4 we found that the Composite Trapezoidal rule has a truncation error of order $O(h^2)$. Specifically, we showed that for $h = (b-a)/n$ and $x_j = a + jh$ we have

$$\int_a^b f(x)\, dx = \frac{h}{2}\left[f(a) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f(x_j) + f(b) \right] - \frac{(b-a)f''(\mu)}{12} h^2.$$

for some number μ in (a, b).

By an alternative method it can be shown (see [RR], pp. 136–140), that if $f \in C^\infty[a, b]$, the Composite Trapezoidal rule can also be written with an error term in the form

$$\int_a^b f(x)\, dx = \frac{h}{2}\left[f(a) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f(x_j) + f(b) \right] + K_1 h^2 + K_2 h^4 + K_3 h^6 + \cdots, \quad (4.33)$$

where each K_i is a constant that depends only on $f^{(2i-1)}(a)$ and $f^{(2i-1)}(b)$.

Recall from Section 4.2 that Richardson extrapolation can be performed on any approximation procedure whose truncation error is of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} K_j h^{\alpha_j} + O(h^{\alpha_m}),$$

for a collection of constants K_j and when $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \cdots < \alpha_m$. In that section we gave demonstrations to illustrate how effective this techniques is when the approximation procedure has a truncation error with only even powers of h, that is, when the truncation error has the form.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} K_j h^{2j} + O(h^{2m}).$$