5. Neville's method is used to approximate $f(0.4)$, giving the following table.

$x_0 = 0$	$P_0 = 1$			
$x_1 = 0.25$	$P_1 = 2$	$P_{01} = 2.6$		
$x_2 = 0.5$	P_2	$P_{1,2}$	$P_{0,1,2}$	
$x_3 = 0.75$	$P_3 = 8$	$P_{2,3} = 2.4$	$P_{1,2,3} = 2.96$	$P_{0,1,2,3} = 3.016$

Determine $P_2 = f(0.5)$.

6. Neville's method is used to approximate $f(0.5)$, giving the following table.

$x_0 = 0$	$P_0 = 0$		
$x_1 = 0.4$	$P_1 = 2.8$	$P_{0,1} = 3.5$	
$x_2 = 0.7$	P_2	$P_{1,2}$	$P_{0,1,2} = \frac{27}{7}$

Determine $P_2 = f(0.7)$.

7. Suppose $x_j = j$, for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and it is known that

$$P_{0,1}(x) = 2x + 1, \quad P_{0,2}(x) = x + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{1,2,3}(2.5) = 3.$$

Find $P_{0,1,2,3}(2.5)$.

8. Suppose $x_j = j$, for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and it is known that

$$P_{0,1}(x) = x + 1, \quad P_{1,2}(x) = 3x - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{1,2,3}(1.5) = 4.$$

Find $P_{0,1,2,3}(1.5)$.

9. Neville's Algorithm is used to approximate $f(0)$ using $f(-2)$, $f(-1)$, $f(1)$, and $f(2)$. Suppose $f(-1)$ was understated by 2 and $f(1)$ was overstated by 3. Determine the error in the original calculation of the value of the interpolating polynomial to approximate $f(0)$.

10. Neville's Algorithm is used to approximate $f(0)$ using $f(-2)$, $f(-1)$, $f(1)$, and $f(2)$. Suppose $f(-1)$ was overstated by 2 and $f(1)$ was understated by 3. Determine the error in the original calculation of the value of the interpolating polynomial to approximate $f(0)$.

11. Construct a sequence of interpolating values y_n to $f(1 + \sqrt{10})$, where $f(x) = (1 + x^2)^{-1}$ for $-5 \le x \le 5$, as follows: For each $n = 1, 2, \ldots, 10$, let $h = 10/n$ and $y_n = P_n(1 + \sqrt{10})$, where $P_n(x)$ is the interpolating polynomial for $f(x)$ at the nodes $x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}, \ldots, x_n^{(n)}$ and $x_j^{(n)} = -5 + jh$, for each $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Does the sequence $\{y_n\}$ appear to converge to $f(1 + \sqrt{10})$?

Inverse Interpolation Suppose $f \in C^1[a, b]$, $f'(x) \neq 0$ on $[a, b]$ and f has one zero p in $[a, b]$. Let $x_0, \ldots, x_n$, be $n + 1$ distinct numbers in $[a, b]$ with $f(x_k) = y_k$, for each $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. To approximate p construct the interpolating polynomial of degree n on the nodes $y_0, \ldots, y_n$ for f^{-1}. Since $y_k = f(x_k)$ and $0 = f(p)$, it follows that $f^{-1}(y_k) = x_k$ and $p = f^{-1}(0)$. Using iterated interpolation to approximate $f^{-1}(0)$ is called *iterated inverse interpolation.*

12. Use iterated inverse interpolation to find an approximation to the solution of $x - e^{-x} = 0$, using the data

x	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6
e^{-x}	0.740818	0.670320	0.606531	0.548812

13. Construct an algorithm that can be used for inverse interpolation.

3.3 Divided Differences

Iterated interpolation was used in the previous section to generate successively higher-degree polynomial approximations at a specific point. Divided-difference methods introduced in this section are used to successively generate the polynomials themselves.

Suppose that $P_n(x)$ is the nth Lagrange polynomial that agrees with the function f at the distinct numbers $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Although this polynomial is unique, there are alternate algebraic representations that are useful in certain situations. The divided differences of f with respect to $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are used to express $P_n(x)$ in the form

$$P_n(x) = a_0 + a_1(x - x_0) + a_2(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + \cdots + a_n(x - x_0)\cdots(x - x_{n-1}), \quad (3.5)$$

for appropriate constants $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$. To determine the first of these constants, a_0, note that if $P_n(x)$ is written in the form of Eq. (3.5), then evaluating $P_n(x)$ at x_0 leaves only the constant term a_0; that is,

$$a_0 = P_n(x_0) = f(x_0).$$

As in so many areas, Isaac Newton is prominent in the study of difference equations. He developed interpolation formulas as early as 1675, using his Δ notation in tables of differences. He took a very general approach to the difference formulas, so explicit examples that he produced, including Lagrange's formulas, are often known by other names.

Similarly, when $P(x)$ is evaluated at x_1, the only nonzero terms in the evaluation of $P_n(x_1)$ are the constant and linear terms,

$$f(x_0) + a_1(x_1 - x_0) = P_n(x_1) = f(x_1);$$

so

$$a_1 = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0}. \quad (3.6)$$

We now introduce the divided-difference notation, which is related to Aitken's Δ^2 notation used in Section 2.5. The *zeroth divided difference* of the function f with respect to x_i, denoted $f[x_i]$, is simply the value of f at x_i:

$$f[x_i] = f(x_i). \quad (3.7)$$

The remaining divided differences are defined recursively; the *first divided difference* of f with respect to x_i and x_{i+1} is denoted $f[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ and defined as

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}] = \frac{f[x_{i+1}] - f[x_i]}{x_{i+1} - x_i}. \quad (3.8)$$

The *second divided difference*, $f[x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}]$, is defined as

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}] = \frac{f[x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}] - f[x_i, x_{i+1}]}{x_{i+2} - x_i}.$$

Similarly, after the $(k-1)$st divided differences,

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{i+k-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad f[x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{i+k-1}, x_{i+k}],$$

have been determined, the **kth divided difference** relative to $x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{i+k}$ is

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{i+k-1}, x_{i+k}] = \frac{f[x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{i+k}] - f[x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{i+k-1}]}{x_{i+k} - x_i}. \quad (3.9)$$

The process ends with the single *nth divided difference*,

$$f[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n] = \frac{f[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] - f[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]}{x_n - x_0}.$$

Because of Eq. (3.6) we can write $a_1 = f[x_0, x_1]$, just as a_0 can be expressed as $a_0 = f(x_0) = f[x_0]$. Hence the interpolating polynomial in Eq. (3.5) is

$$P_n(x) = f[x_0] + f[x_0, x_1](x - x_0) + a_2(x - x_0)(x - x_1)$$
$$+ \cdots + a_n(x - x_0)(x - x_1)\cdots(x - x_{n-1}).$$