This rule is applied to any distribution (Population or Sample) and guarantees the inclusion of the inclusio This rule is applied to any distribution (Population).

This rule is applied to any distribution (Population) whereas the actual fraction of the inclusion of (especially in bell-shaped distributions) will exceed $\left(1 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)$.

4.5.3 Co-efficient of Variation. The variability of two or more than two sets of data cannot have measure of dispersion. For this purpose, Karl Pearson (1857) 4.5.3 Co-efficient of Variation. The variation. For this purpose, Karl Pearson (1857-193) compared unless we have a relative measure of dispersion. For this purpose, Karl Pearson (1857-193) compared unless we have a relative measure of dispersion of variation, abbreviated C.V. which introduced a relative measure of variation, known as the co-efficient of variation, abbreviated C.V. which introduced a relative measure of variation, known as the co-efficient of variation, abbreviated C.V. introduced a relative measure of variation, known as the significant of a data set. Symbolically, it is defined as

C.V.
$$=\frac{S}{\overline{x}} \times 100$$
, for sample data,
 $=\frac{\sigma}{\mu} \times 100$, for population data.

As the coefficient of variation is a pure number without units, it is therefore used to compare the variation in two or more data sets or distributions that are measured in different units, e.g. one may be measured in hours and the other in kilograms or rupees. A large value of C.V. indicates that the variability is great and a small value of C.V. indicates less variability.

The coefficient of variation is also used to compare the performance of two candidates or of two players given their scores in various papers or games, the smaller the coefficient of variation the mon consistent is the performance of the candidates or players. Thus it is used as a criterion for the consistent performance of the candidates or the players. It should be noted that this co-efficient is unreliable who

Example 4.9 Using the co-efficient of variation, determine whether or not there is greater variation among the prices of certain similar commodities given, than among the life in hours under test

13, 18, 23.

Life in hours:

130, 150, 180, 250, 345

We have to compute the mean and the standard deviation for each set so that the corresponding coefficient of variation can be obtained. The necessary arithmetic is shown below:

Price in R	lima (Ta	-y aritimie	nc is shown be
X	$\frac{\text{upees }(X)}{X^2}$		hours (Y)
8		Y .	<i>Y</i> ²
13	64	130	16900
18	169 324	150	22500
23	529	180	32400
30	900	250	62500
92	1986	345	119025
		1055	253325

Price of Commodities

Life in Hours

$$\overline{X} = \text{Rs.} \frac{92}{5} = \text{Rs.} 18.4$$

$$\overline{Y} = \frac{1055}{5} = 211 \text{ hours}$$

$$S_X = \sqrt{\frac{1986}{5} - \left(\frac{92}{5}\right)^2}$$

$$S_Y = \sqrt{\frac{253325}{5} - \left(\frac{1055}{5}\right)^2}$$

$$=\sqrt{397.2-338.56}$$

$$= \sqrt{50665 - 44521}$$

$$=\sqrt{58.44}$$
 = Rs. 7.66

$$=\sqrt{6144}=78.38$$
 hours

$$\therefore \text{ C.V.} = \frac{7.66}{18.4} \times 100 = 41.63\%$$

$$\therefore \text{ C.V.} = \frac{78.38}{211} \times 100 = 37.15\%$$

We see that the co-efficient of variation for the prices of commodities (X) is larger than that for the life in hours (Y). Hence the prices of certain similar commodities are showing greater variation than that among the life in hours under test.

Example 4.10 Goals scored by two teams A and B in a football season were as follows:

No. of goals scored in	Number of matches			
a match (x_i)	A	В		
0	27	17		
1 896.1	9	9		
2	8	6 .		
3	5	5		
4	4	3-	_	

By calculating the co-efficient of variation in each case, find which team may be considered more consistent.

The necessary arithmetic is shown below:

No. of goals	Team A			Team B		
$\frac{100.01 \text{ goals}}{(x_i)}$	f_i	$f_i x_i$	$f_i x_i^2$	f_j	$f_j x_i$	$f_j x_i^2$
0 11/084	27	0	0	17	0.	0
1	9	9	9	9	9	9
1	8	16	32	6	12	24
2		15	45	5	15	45
3	4	16	64	3	12	48
Total	53	56	150	40	68	126

Team A:

Mean =
$$\frac{\sum f_i x_i}{n} = \frac{56}{53} = 1.06$$
, and

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum f_i x_i^2}{n} - \left(\frac{\sum f_i x_i}{n}\right)^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{150}{53} - \left(\frac{56}{53}\right)^2} = \sqrt{1.7138} = 1.308$$
C.V. = $\frac{s}{\overline{x}} \times 100 = \frac{1.308}{1.06} \times 100 = 123.4\%$

Team B:

Mean
$$=$$
 $\frac{\sum f_j x_i}{n} = \frac{48}{40} = 1.20$, and $s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum f_j x_i^2}{n} - \left(\frac{\sum f_j x_i}{n}\right)^2}$ $= \sqrt{\frac{126}{40} - \left(\frac{48}{40}\right)^2} = \sqrt{1.71} = 1.308$ Thus $C.V. = \frac{s}{\overline{x}} \times 100 = \frac{1.308}{1.20} \times 100 = 109.0\%$

We see that the co-efficient of variation for the team B is smaller than that for the team A. Hence team B is more consistent than team A.

- 4.5.4 Properties of Variance and Standard Deviation. The variance and standard deviation have the following useful and interesting properties:
 - i) The variance of a constant is equal to zero. If a is any constant, then $Var(a) = \frac{1}{N} \sum [a-a]^2$ (: mean of a constant is constant itself) = 0
 - ii) The variance is independent of the origin, *i.e.* it remains unchanged when a constant is added to or subtracted from each observation of the variable X. Symbolically,

$$Var(X + a) = Var(X)$$

Now
$$Var(X+a) = \frac{1}{N} \sum [(x_i + a) - (\mu + a)]^2$$
 (: $\frac{\sum (x_i + a)}{N} = \mu + a$)