• Finally, always pretest your questionnaire, at least using a convenience sample, before administering it to respondents in a field setting. Such pretesting may uncover ambiguity, lack of clarity, or biases in question wording, which should be eliminated before administering to the intended sample.

## **Interview Survey**

Interviews are a more personalized form of data collection method than questionnaires, and are conducted by trained interviewers using the same research protocol as questionnaire surveys (i.e., a standardized set of questions). However, unlike a questionnaire, the interview script may contain special instructions for the interviewer that is not seen by respondents, and may include space for the interviewer to record personal observations and comments. In addition, unlike mail surveys, the interviewer has the opportunity to clarify any issues raised by the respondent or ask probing or follow-up questions. However, interviews are time-consuming and resource-intensive. Special interviewing skills are needed on part of the interviewer. The interviewer is also considered to be part of the measurement instrument, and must proactively strive not to artificially bias the observed responses.

The most typical form of interview is personal or **face-to-face interview**, where the interviewer works directly with the respondent to ask questions and record their responses. Personal interviews may be conducted at the respondent's home or office location. This approach may even be favored by some respondents, while others may feel uncomfortable in allowing a stranger in their homes. However, skilled interviewers can persuade respondents to cooperate, dramatically improving response rates.

A variation of the personal interview is a group interview, also called **focus group**. In this technique, a small group of respondents (usually 6-10 respondents) are interviewed together in a common location. The interviewer is essentially a facilitator whose job is to lead the discussion, and ensure that every person has an opportunity to respond. Focus groups allow deeper examination of complex issues than other forms of survey research, because when people hear others talk, it often triggers responses or ideas that they did not think about before. However, focus group discussion may be dominated by a dominant personality, and some individuals may be reluctant to voice their opinions in front of their peers or superiors, especially while dealing with a sensitive issue such as employee underperformance or office politics. Because of their small sample size, focus groups are usually used for exploratory research rather than descriptive or explanatory research.

A third type of interview survey is **telephone interviews**. In this technique, interviewers contact potential respondents over the phone, typically based on a random selection of people from a telephone directory, to ask a standard set of survey questions. A more recent and technologically advanced approach is computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI), increasing being used by academic, government, and commercial survey researchers, where the interviewer is a telephone operator, who is guided through the interview process by a computer program displaying instructions and questions to be asked on a computer screen. The system also selects respondents randomly using a random digit dialing

technique, and records responses using voice capture technology. Once respondents are on the phone, higher response rates can be obtained. This technique is not ideal for rural areas where telephone density is low, and also cannot be used for communicating non-audio information such as graphics or product demonstrations.

**Role of interviewer.** The interviewer has a complex and multi-faceted role in the interview process, which includes the following tasks:

- *Prepare for the interview:* Since the interviewer is in the forefront of the data collection effort, the quality of data collected depends heavily on how well the interviewer is trained to do the job. The interviewer must be trained in the interview process and the survey method, and also be familiar with the purpose of the study, how responses will be stored and used, and sources of interviewer bias. He/she should also rehearse and time the interview prior to the formal study.
- *Locate and enlist the cooperation of respondents:* Particularly in personal, in-home surveys, the interviewer must locate specific addresses, and work around respondents' schedule sometimes at undesirable times such as during weekends. They should also be like a salesperson, selling the idea of participating in the study.
- *Motivate respondents:* Respondents often feed off the motivation of the interviewer. If the interviewer is disinterested or inattentive, respondents won't be motivated to provide useful or informative responses either. The interviewer must demonstrate enthusiasm about the study, communicate the importance of the research to respondents, and be attentive to respondents' needs throughout the interview.
- *Clarify any confusion or concerns:* Interviewers must be able to think on their feet and address unanticipated concerns or objections raised by respondents to the respondents' satisfaction. Additionally, they should ask probing questions as necessary even if such questions are not in the script.
- *Observe quality of response:* The interviewer is in the best position to judge the quality of information collected, and may supplement responses obtained using personal observations of gestures or body language as appropriate.

**Conducting the interview.** Before the interview, the interviewer should prepare a kit to carry to the interview session, consisting of a cover letter from the principal investigator or sponsor, adequate copies of the survey instrument, photo identification, and a telephone number for respondents to call to verify the interviewer's authenticity. The interviewer should also try to call respondents ahead of time to set up an appointment if possible. To start the interview, he/she should speak in an imperative and confident tone, such as "I'd like to take a few minutes of your time to interview you for a very important study," instead of "May I come in to do an interview?" He/she should introduce himself/herself, present personal credentials, explain the purpose of the study in 1-2 sentences, and assure confidentiality of respondents' comments and voluntariness of their participation, all in less than a minute. No big words or jargon should be used, and no details should be provided unless specifically requested. If the interviewer wishes to tape-record the interview, he/she should ask for respondent's explicit permission before doing so. Even if the interview is recorded, the interview must take notes on key issues, probes, or verbatim phrases.

During the interview, the interviewer should follow the questionnaire script and ask questions exactly as written, and not change the words to make the question sound friendlier. They should also not change the order of questions or skip any question that may have been answered earlier. Any issues with the questions should be discussed during rehearsal prior to the actual interview sessions. The interviewer should not finish the respondent's sentences. If the respondent gives a brief cursory answer, the interviewer should probe the respondent to elicit a more thoughtful, thorough response. Some useful probing techniques are:

- *The silent probe:* Just pausing and waiting (without going into the next question) may suggest to respondents that the interviewer is waiting for more detailed response.
- *Overt encouragement:* Occasional "uh-huh" or "okay" may encourage the respondent to go into greater details. However, the interviewer must not express approval or disapproval of what was said by the respondent.
- *Ask for elaboration:* Such as "can you elaborate on that?" or "A minute ago, you were talking about an experience you had in high school. Can you tell me more about that?"
- *Reflection:* The interviewer can try the psychotherapist's trick of repeating what the respondent said. For instance, "What I'm hearing is that you found that experience very traumatic" and then pause and wait for the respondent to elaborate.

After the interview in completed, the interviewer should thank respondents for their time, tell them when to expect the results, and not leave hastily. Immediately after leaving, they should write down any notes or key observations that may help interpret the respondent's comments better.

## **Biases in Survey Research**

Despite all of its strengths and advantages, survey research is often tainted with systematic biases that may invalidate some of the inferences derived from such surveys. Five such biases are the non-response bias, sampling bias, social desirability bias, recall bias, and common method bias.

**Non-response bias.** Survey research is generally notorious for its low response rates. A response rate of 15-20% is typical in a mail survey, even after two or three reminders. If the majority of the targeted respondents fail to respond to a survey, then a legitimate concern is whether non-respondents are not responding due to a systematic reason, which may raise questions about the validity of the study's results. For instance, dissatisfied customers tend to be more vocal about their experience than satisfied customers, and are therefore more likely to respond to questionnaire surveys or interview requests than satisfied customers. Hence, any respondent sample is likely to have a higher proportion of dissatisfied customers than the underlying population from which it is drawn. In this instance, not only will the results lack generalizability, but the observed outcomes may also be an artifact of the biased sample. Several strategies may be employed to improve response rates:

- *Advance notification:* A short letter sent in advance to the targeted respondents soliciting their participation in an upcoming survey can prepare them in advance and improve their propensity to respond. The letter should state the purpose and importance of the study, mode of data collection (e.g., via a phone call, a survey form in the mail, etc.), and appreciation for their cooperation. A variation of this technique may request the respondent to return a postage-paid postcard indicating whether or not they are willing to participate in the study.
- *Relevance of content:* If a survey examines issues of relevance or importance to respondents, then they are more likely to respond than to surveys that don't matter to them.

- *Respondent-friendly questionnaire:* Shorter survey questionnaires tend to elicit higher response rates than longer questionnaires. Furthermore, questions that are clear, non-offensive, and easy to respond tend to attract higher response rates.
- *Endorsement:* For organizational surveys, it helps to gain endorsement from a senior executive attesting to the importance of the study to the organization. Such endorsement can be in the form of a cover letter or a letter of introduction, which can improve the researcher's credibility in the eyes of the respondents.
- *Follow-up requests:* Multiple follow-up requests may coax some non-respondents to respond, even if their responses are late.
- *Interviewer training:* Response rates for interviews can be improved with skilled interviewers trained on how to request interviews, use computerized dialing techniques to identify potential respondents, and schedule callbacks for respondents who could not be reached.
- *Incentives*: Response rates, at least with certain populations, may increase with the use of incentives in the form of cash or gift cards, giveaways such as pens or stress balls, entry into a lottery, draw or contest, discount coupons, promise of contribution to charity, and so forth.
- *Non-monetary incentives:* Businesses, in particular, are more prone to respond to nonmonetary incentives than financial incentives. An example of such a non-monetary incentive is a benchmarking report comparing the business's individual response against the aggregate of all responses to a survey.
- *Confidentiality and privacy:* Finally, assurances that respondents' private data or responses will not fall into the hands of any third party, may help improve response rates.

**Sampling bias.** Telephone surveys conducted by calling a random sample of publicly available telephone numbers will systematically exclude people with unlisted telephone numbers, mobile phone numbers, and people who are unable to answer the phone (for instance, they are at work) when the survey is being conducted, and will include a disproportionate number of respondents who have land-line telephone service with listed phone numbers and people who stay home during much of the day, such as the unemployed, the disabled, and the elderly. Likewise, online surveys tend to include a disproportionate number of students and younger people who are constantly on the Internet, and systematically exclude people with limited or no access to computers or the Internet, such as the poor and the elderly. Similarly, questionnaire surveys tend to exclude children and the illiterate, who are unable to read, understand, or meaningfully respond to the questionnaire. A different kind of sampling bias relate to sampling the wrong population, such as asking teachers (or parents) about academic learning of their students (or children), or asking CEOs about operational details in their company. Such biases make the respondent sample unrepresentative of the intended population and hurt generalizability claims about inferences drawn from the biased sample.

**Social desirability bias**. Many respondents tend to avoid negative opinions or embarrassing comments about themselves, their employers, family, or friends. With negative questions such as do you think that your project team is dysfunctional, is there a lot of office politics in your workplace, or have you ever illegally downloaded music files from the Internet, the researcher may not get truthful responses. This tendency among respondents to "spin the truth" in order to portray themselves in a socially desirable manner is called the "social desirability bias", which hurts the validity of response obtained from survey research. There is practically no way of overcoming the social desirability bias in a questionnaire survey, but in an interview setting, an astute interviewer may be able to spot inconsistent answers and ask probing questions or use personal observations to supplement respondents' comments.

**Recall bias.** Responses to survey questions often depend on subjects' motivation, memory, and ability to respond. Particularly when dealing with events that happened in the distant past, respondents may not adequately remember their own motivations or behaviors or perhaps their memory of such events may have evolved with time and no longer retrievable. For instance, if a respondent to asked to describe his/her utilization of computer technology one year ago or even memorable childhood events like birthdays, their response may not be accurate due to difficulties with recall. One possible way of overcoming the recall bias is by anchoring respondent's memory in specific events as they happened, rather than asking them to recall their perceptions and motivations from memory.

**Common method bias.** Common method bias refers to the amount of spurious covariance shared between independent and dependent variables that are measured at the same point in time, such as in a cross-sectional survey, using the same instrument, such as a questionnaire. In such cases, the phenomenon under investigation may not be adequately separated from measurement artifacts. Standard statistical tests are available to test for common method bias, such as Harmon's single-factor test (Podsakoff et al. 2003), Lindell and Whitney's (2001) market variable technique, and so forth. This bias can be potentially avoided if the independent and dependent variables are measured at different points in time, using a longitudinal survey design, of if these variables are measured using different methods, such as computerized recording of dependent variable versus questionnaire-based self-rating of independent variables.