Lecture Notes for Chapter 12

Kevin Wainwright

April 26, 2014

1 Constrained Optimization

Consider the following Utility Max problem:

Max x_{1,x_2}

$$U = U(x_1, x_2) \tag{1}$$

Subject to:

$$B = P_1 x_1 + P_2 x_2 \tag{2}$$

Re-write Eq. 2

$$x_2 = \frac{B}{P_2} - \frac{P_1}{P_2} x_1 \tag{Eq.2'}$$

Now $x_2 = x_2(x_1)$ and $\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = \frac{-P_1}{P_2}$ Sub into Eq. 1 for x_2

$$U = U(x_1, x_2(x_1)) \tag{3}$$

Eq. 3 is an unconstrained function of one variable, x_1

Differentiate, using the Chain Rule

$$\frac{dU}{dx_1} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_2} \frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = 0$$

From Eq. 2' we know $\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = -\frac{P_1}{P_2}$ <u>Therefore</u>:

$$\frac{dU}{dx_1} = U_1 + U_2\left(-\frac{P_1}{P_2}\right) = 0$$

OR

$$\frac{U_1}{U_2} = \frac{P_1}{P_2}$$

This is our usual condition that $MRS(x_2, x_1) = \frac{P_1}{P_2}$ or the consumer's willingness to grade equals his ability to trade.



The More General Constrained Maximum Problem Max:

$$y = f(x_1, x_2) \tag{4}$$

Subject to:

$$g(x_1, x_2) = 0 (5)$$

Take total differentials of Eq. 4 and Eq. 5

$$dy = f_1 dx_1 + f_2 dx_2 = 0 (6)$$

$$dg = g_1 dx_1 + g_2 dx_2 = 0 \tag{7}$$

or Eq.6'

$$dx_1 = -\frac{f_2}{f_1}dx_2$$

Eq. 7'

$$dx_1 = -\frac{g_2}{g_1}dx_2$$

Subtract 6' from 7' $dx_1 - dx_1 = \left[-\frac{g_2}{g_1} - \left(-\frac{f_2}{f_1} \right) \right] dx_2 = \left(\frac{f_2}{f_1} - \frac{g_2}{g_1} \right) dx_2 = 0$ Therefore $\frac{f_2}{f_1} = \frac{g_2}{g_1}$

Eq. 8: says that the level curves of the objective function must be tangent to the level curves of the constraint

1.1 Lagrange Multiplier Approach

Create a new function called the Lagrangian:

$$L = f(x_1, x_2) + \lambda g(x_1, x_2)$$

since $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$ when the constraint is satisfied

$$L = f(x_1, x_2) + zero$$

We have created a new independent variable λ (lambda), which is called the Lagrangian Multiplier.

We now have a function of three variables; x_{1,x_2} , and λ Now we Maximize

$$L = f(x_1, x_2) + \lambda g(x_1, x_2)$$

First Order Conditions

$$L_{\lambda} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = g(x_1, x_2) = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

$$L_1 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = f_1 + \lambda g_1 = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

$$L_2 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = f_2 + \lambda g_2 = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

From Eq. 2 and 3 we get:

$$\frac{f_1}{f_2} = \frac{-\lambda g_1}{-\lambda g_2} = \frac{g_1}{g_2}$$

From the 3 F.O.C.'s we have 3 equations and 3 unknowns $(x_{1,x_{2}}, \lambda)$. In principle we can solve for x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*} , and λ^{*} .

1.1.1 Example 1:

Let:

$$U = xy$$

Subject to:

$$10 = x + y \quad P_x = P_y = 1$$

Lagrange:

$$L = f(x, y) + \lambda(g(x, y))$$

$$L = xy + \lambda(10 - x - y)$$

F.O.C.

$$L_{\lambda} = 10 - x - y = 0$$
 Eq. 1

$$L_{x} = y - \lambda = 0$$
 Eq. 2

$$L_{y} = x - \lambda = 0$$
 Eq. 3

From (2) and (3) we see that:

$$\frac{y}{x} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} = 1$$
 or $y = x$ Eq. 4

From (1) and (4) we get:

10 - x - x = 0 or $x^* = 5$ and $y^* = 5$ From either (2) or (3) we get:

 $\lambda^* = 5$

1.1.2 Example 2: Utility Maximization

Maximize

$$u = 4x^2 + 3xy + 6y^2$$

subject to

x + y = 56

Set up the Lagrangian Equation:

$$L = 4x^{2} + 3xy + 6y^{2} + \lambda(56 - x - y)$$

Take the first-order partials and set them to zero

$$L_x = 8x + 3y - \lambda = 0$$

$$L_y = 3x + 12y - \lambda = 0$$

$$L_\lambda = 56 - x - y = 0$$

From the first two equations we get

$$8x + 3y = 3x + 12y$$
$$x = 1.8y$$

Substitute this result into the third equation

$$56 - 1.8y - y = 0$$
$$y = 20$$

therefore

$$x = 36$$
 $\lambda = 348$

1.1.3 Example 3: Cost minimization

A firm produces two goods, x and y. Due to a government quota, the firm must produce subject to the constraint x + y = 42. The firm's cost functions is

$$c(x,y) = 8x^2 - xy + 12y^2$$

The Lagrangian is

$$L = 8x^{2} - xy + 12y^{2} + \lambda(42 - x - y)$$

The first order conditions are

$$L_{x} = 16x - y - \lambda = 0$$

$$L_{y} = -x + 24y - \lambda = 0$$

$$L_{\lambda} = 42 - x - y = 0$$
(8)

Solving these three equations simultaneously yields

$$x = 25$$
 $y = 17$ $\lambda = 383$

Example 4: 1.1.4

Max:

 $U = x_1 x_2$

Subject to:

$$B = P_1 x_1 + P_2 x_2$$

Langrange:

$$L = x_1 x_2 + \lambda \left(B - P_1 x_1 - P_2 x_2 \right)$$

F.O.C.

$$L_{\lambda} = B - P_{1}x_{1} - P_{2}x_{2} = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 1} \\ L_{1} = x_{2} - \lambda P_{1} = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 2} \\ L_{2} = x_{1} - \lambda P_{2} = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 3}$$

$$= x_1 - \lambda P_2 = 0 \qquad \qquad \mathbf{I}$$

From Eq. (2) and (3) $\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} = \frac{P_1}{P_2} = MRS\right)$ Solve for x_1^* From (2) and (3)

$$x_2 = \frac{P_1}{P_2} x_1$$

Sub into (1)

$$B = P_1 x_1 + P_2 \left(\frac{P_1}{P_2} x_1\right) = 2P_1 x_1$$
$$x_1^* = \frac{B}{2P_1} \text{ and } x_2^* = \frac{B}{2P_2}$$

The solution to x_1^* and x_2^* are the Demand Functions for x_1 and x_2

1.1.5 Properties of Demand Functions

1. "Homogenous of degree zero" multiply prices and income by α

$$x_1^* = \frac{\alpha B}{2\left(\alpha P_1\right)} = \frac{B}{2P_1}$$

2. "For normal goods demand has a negative slope"

$$\frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial P_1} = -\frac{B}{2P_1^2} < 0$$

3. "For normal goods Engel curve positive slope"

$$\frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial B} = \frac{1}{2P_1} > 0$$

In this example x_1^* and x_2^* are both normal goods (rather than inferior or giffen)

Given:

$$U = x_1 x_2$$

And:

$$x_1^* = \frac{B}{2P_1}$$
 and $x_2^* = \frac{B}{2P_2}$

Substituting into the utility function we get:

$$U = x_1^*, x_2^* = \left(\frac{B}{2P_1}\right) \left(\frac{B}{2P_2}\right)$$
$$U = \left(\frac{B^2}{4P_1P_2}\right)$$

Now we have the utility expressed as a function of Prices and Income

 $U^* = U(P_1P_2, B)$ is "The Indirect Utility Function" At $U = U_0 = \frac{B^2}{4P_1P_2}$ we can re-arrange to get:

$$\underbrace{B = 2P_1^{\frac{1}{2}}P_2^{\frac{1}{2}}U_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{1}$$

This is the "Expenditure Function"

1.2 Minimization and Lagrange

Min x, y

$$P_x X + P_y Y$$

Subject to

$$U_0 = U(x, y)$$

Lagrange

$$L = P_x X + P_y Y + \lambda (U_0 - U(x, y))$$

F.O.C.

$$L_{\lambda} = U_0 - U(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$
$$L_x = P_x - \lambda \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$
$$L_y = P_y - \lambda \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

From (2) and (3) we get

$$\underbrace{\frac{P_x}{P_y} = \frac{\lambda U_x}{\lambda U_y} = \frac{U_x}{U_y} = MRS}_{\lambda U_y}$$

(The same result as in the MAX problem)

Solving (1), (2), and (3) by Cramer's Rule, or some other method, we get:

$$x^* = x(P_x, P_y, U_0)$$
 $y^* = y(P_x, P_y, U_0)$ $\lambda^* = \lambda(P_x, P_y, U_0)$

1.3 Second Order Conditions

- 1. (a) To determine whether the Lagrangian is at a Max or Min we use an approach similar to the Hessian in unconstrained cases.
 - (b) Second order conditions are determined from the <u>Bordered Hessian</u>
 - (c) There are two ways of setting up a bordered Hessian
 - (d) We will look at both ways since both forms are used equally in economic literature
 - (e) Both ways are equally good.

Given Max:

$$f(x,y) + \lambda(g(x,y))$$

F.O.C.'s

$$L_{\lambda} = g(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

$$L_{x} = f_{x} - \lambda g_{x} = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

$$L_{y} = f_{y} - \lambda g_{y} = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

For the 2nd order conditions, totally differentiate the F.O.C.'s with respect to x, y, and λ

$$g_x dx + g_y dy = 0$$
 No λ in Eq. 1 (1')

$$(f_{xx} + \lambda g_{xx})dx + (f_{xy} + \lambda g_{xy})dy + g_x d\lambda = 0$$
(2')

$$(f_{yy} + \lambda g_{yy})dy + (f_{yx} + \lambda g_{yx})dx + g_y d\lambda = 0$$
(3')

Matrix From

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix}
0 & g_x & g_y \\
g_x & (f_{xx} + \lambda g_{xx}) & (f_{xy} + \lambda g_{xy}) \\
g_y & (f_{yx} + \lambda g_{yx}) & (f_{yy} + \lambda g_{yy})
\end{bmatrix}}_{\text{Bordered Hessian}}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
d\lambda \\
dx \\
dy
\end{array}\right)$$

Or written as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & g_x & g_y \\ g_x & L_{xx} & L_{xy} \\ g_y & L_{yx} & L_{yy} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{(Where } \mathcal{L}_{xx} = f_{xx} + \lambda g_{xx} \text{ etc...})} \begin{pmatrix} d\lambda \\ dx \\ dy \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the Bordered Hessian is the ordinary Hessian bordered by the first partial derivatives of the constraint.

$$|H| = \begin{vmatrix} L_{xx} & L_{xy} \\ L_{yx} & L_{yy} \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{Where} \quad \left|\bar{H}\right| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & g_x & g_y \\ g_x & L_{xx} & L_{xy} \\ g_y & L_{yx} & L_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$

H is ordinary (unconstrained) Hessian \bar{H} is bordered (constrained) Hessian

1.4 Determining Max or Min with a Single Constraint

2 Variable Case

$$egin{aligned} ig|ar{H}_lphaig| &= egin{bmatrix} 0 & g_x & g_y \ g_x & L_{xx} & L_{xy} \ g_y & L_{yx} & L_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \ ext{is Max if } ig|ar{H}_lphaig| &> 0 \ ext{is Min if } ig|ar{H}_lphaig| &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

3 Variabl Case

$$\bar{H}_{3} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & g_{1} & g_{2} & g_{3} \\ g_{1} & L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} \\ g_{2} & L_{21} & L_{22} & L_{23} \\ g_{3} & L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{vmatrix}$$

is Max if $|\bar{H}_{2}| > 0, |\bar{H}_{3}| < 0$
is Min if $|\bar{H}_{2}| < 0, |\bar{H}_{3}| > 0$

n-Variable case

Max: $|\bar{H}_2| > 0, |\bar{H}_3| < 0, \bar{H}_4 > 0... (-1)^n |\bar{H}_n| > 0$ Min: $|\bar{H}_2| < 0, |\bar{H}_3| < 0, ... |\bar{H}_n| < 0$

1.5 Altrnative form of Bordered Hessian

Given Max x,y $% {\displaystyle \sum} {\displaystyle \sum}$

$$f(x,y) + \lambda g(x,y)$$

F.O.C's

$$L_x = f_x - \lambda g_x = 0$$

$$L_y = f_y - \lambda g_y = 0$$

$$L_\lambda = g(x, y) = 0$$

Bordered Hessian

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} f_{xx} + \lambda g_{xx} & f_{xy} + \lambda g_{xy} & g_x \\ f_{yx} + \lambda g_{yx} & f_{yy} + \lambda g_{yy} & g_y \\ g_x & g_y & 0 \end{array} \begin{vmatrix} d_x \\ d_y \\ d_\lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

Rules for Max or Min are the same for this form as well.

1.5.1 Example

Max

$$xy + \lambda(B - P_x x - P_y y)$$

F.O.C.'s

L_x = y -
$$\lambda P_x = 0$$

L_y = x - $\lambda P_y = 0$
L_{\lambda} = B - P_xx - P_yy = 0
x^{*} = $\frac{B}{2P_x}$ $y^* = \frac{B}{2P_y}$ $\lambda^* = \frac{B}{2P_x P_y}$
S.O.C.'s
 $|\bar{H}_2| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & -P_x \\ 1 & 0 & -P_y \\ -P_x & -P_y & 0 \end{vmatrix}$

$$Det = 0 + (-1) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -P_x \\ -P_y & 0 \end{vmatrix} + -P_x \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -P_x \\ 0 & -P_y \end{vmatrix} = P_x P_y + P_x P_y = 2P_x P_y > 0$$

Therefore L* is a Max

Therefore L^* is a Max

1.5.2 Example

 Min

$$P_x x + P_y y + \lambda (U_0 - xy)$$

F.O.C.'s

$$L_{x} = P_{x} - \lambda y = 0$$

$$L_{y} = P_{y} - \lambda x = 0$$

$$L_{\lambda} = U_{0} - xy = 0$$

$$x^{*} = \frac{P_{y}^{\frac{1}{2}}U_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{P_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \qquad y^{*} = \frac{P_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}}U_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{P_{y}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \qquad \lambda^{*} = \frac{U_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{P_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}}P_{y}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
S.O.C.'s

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & -y \\ -\lambda & 0 & -x \\ -y & -x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_x \\ d_y \\ d_\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} \bar{H} \end{vmatrix} = \lambda \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -y \\ -x & 0 \end{vmatrix} + (-y) \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -y \\ 0 & -x \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda xy + -\lambda xy$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} \bar{H} \end{vmatrix} = -2\lambda xy < 0$$
Therefore L* is a Min

1.6 Interpreting λ

Given Max

$$U(x,y) + \lambda \left(B - P_x x - P_y y \right)$$

By solving the F.O.C.'s we get

$$x^* = x(P_x, P_y, B) \qquad \qquad y^* = y(P_x, P_y, B) \qquad \qquad \lambda^* = \lambda(P_x, P_y, B)$$

Sub x^*,y^*,λ^* back into the Lagrange

$$L^{*} = U(x^{*}, y^{*}) + \lambda^{*} (B - P_{x}x^{*} - P_{y}y^{*})$$

Differentiate with respect to the constant,B

$$\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial B} = U_x \frac{dx^*}{dB} + U_y \frac{dy^*}{dB} - \lambda^* P_x \frac{dx^*}{dB} - \lambda^* Py \frac{dy^*}{dB} + \lambda^* \frac{dB}{dB} + (B - P_x x^* - P_y y^*) \frac{d\lambda^*}{dB}$$

Or

$$\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial B} = \underbrace{(U_x - \lambda^* P_x)}_{=0} \frac{dx^*}{dB} + \underbrace{(U_y - \lambda^* P_y)}_{=0} \frac{dy^*}{dB} + \underbrace{(B - P_x x^* - P_y y^*)}_{=0} \frac{d\lambda^*}{dB} + \lambda^*$$

 $\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial B} = \lambda^* = \Delta \text{ in utility from } \Delta \text{ in the constant} \\ = \text{Marginal Utility of Money}$

2 Extensions and Applications of Constrained Optimization

2.1 Income and Substitution Effects (The Slutsky Equation)

Consider:

Max

$$U = U(x_1, x_2)$$

Subject to

$$B = P_{\lambda}x_1 + P_2x_2$$

The FOC's

$$L_{1} = U_{1} - \lambda P_{1} = 0$$

$$L_{2} = U_{2} - \lambda P_{2} = 0$$

$$L_{3} = B - P_{1}x_{1} - P_{2}x_{2} = 0$$

Solving the FOC's gives x_1^*, x_2^*, λ^* \Rightarrow Totally differentiate the FOC's with respect to EVERY variable

$$\dot{U}_{11}dx_1^* + U_{12}dx_2^* - P_1d\lambda - \lambda dP_1 = 0$$

$$\dot{U}_{21}dx_1^* + U_{22}dx_2^* - P_2d\lambda - \lambda dP_2 = 0$$

$$P_1dx_1^* - P_2dx_2^* - x_1^*dP_1 - x_2^*dP_2 + d\beta = 0$$

Take exogenous differentials $(dP_1, dP_2, d\beta)$ to the <u>other side</u> and set up <u>matrix</u>

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & -P_1 \\ U_{21} & U_{22} & -P_2 \\ -P_1 & -P_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dx_1^* \\ dx_2^* \\ d\lambda^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda dP_1 \\ \lambda dP_2 \\ -d\beta + x_1 dP_1 + x_2 dP_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\frac{\left\{ \text{Where } \bar{H} > 0 \right\}}{\text{Set } dP_1 = dP_2 = 0 \text{ find } \frac{dx_1}{d\beta}}$ $\frac{dx_1^*}{d\beta} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & U_{12} & -P_1 \\ 0 & U_{22} & -P_2 \\ -1 & -P_2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{\left| \bar{H} \right|} = (-1) \frac{\begin{vmatrix} U_{12} & -P_1 \\ U_{22} & -P_2 \end{vmatrix}}{\left| \bar{H} \right|} = \frac{\left| H_{31} \right|}{\left| \bar{H} \right|}$

Where

$$|H_{31}| = \begin{vmatrix} U_{12} & -P_1 \\ U_{22} & -P_2 \end{vmatrix} = (-U_{12}P_1 + U_{22}P_1)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{dx_1^*}{d\beta} = \frac{\left|\bar{H}_{31}\right|}{\left|\bar{H}\right|} \ge 0 \ (?)$$

Now set $dP_2 = d\beta = 0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & -P_1 \\ U_{21} & U_{22} & -P_2 \\ -P_1 & -P_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dx_1^*}{dP_1} \\ \frac{dx_2^*}{dP_1} \\ \frac{d\lambda^*}{dP_1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{dx_1^*}{dP_1} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \lambda & U_{12} & -P_1 \\ 0 & U_{22} & -P_2 \\ x_1 & -P_2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{|\bar{H}|} = \lambda \frac{\begin{vmatrix} U_{22} & -P_2 \\ -P_2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{|\bar{H}|} + x_1 \frac{\begin{vmatrix} U_{12} & -P_1 \\ U_{22} & -P_2 \end{vmatrix}}{|\bar{H}|} \\ \frac{dx_1^*}{dP_1} = \lambda \frac{H_{11}}{|\bar{H}|} + x_1 \frac{H_{31}}{|\bar{H}|} \\ H_{11} = -P_2^2 < 0 \\ H_{31} = -U_{12}P_2 + U_{22}P_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$H_{31} = -U_{12}P_2 +$$

But

$$\frac{dx_1^*}{d\beta} = -\frac{\left|H_{31}\right|}{\left|\bar{H}\right|}$$

 So

$$\frac{dx_1^*}{dP_1} = \lambda \frac{H_{11}}{\left|\bar{H}\right|} - x_1 \frac{dx_1^*}{d\beta} = \frac{dx_1^*}{dP_1} + (-x_1) \frac{dx_1^*}{d\beta}$$

U held constant

2.2 The Slutsky Equation

$$\frac{dx_1^*}{dP_1} = \lambda \frac{|H_{11}|}{|\bar{H}|} + x_1 \frac{|H_{31}|}{|\bar{H}|} \\
= \frac{dx_1^*}{dP_1} + (-x_1) \frac{dx^*}{d\beta} \\
= \{\text{Pure Substitution Effect}\} + \{\text{Income Effect}\}$$



A to $B = \lambda \frac{|H_{11}|}{|H|}$ "Substitution Effect" B to $C = x_1 \frac{|H_{31}|}{|H|}$ "Income Effect"

3 Homogenous Functions

3.1 Constant Returns to Scale

 \Longrightarrow Given

 $y = f(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)$

if we change all the inputs by a factor of t, then

$$f(tx_1, tx_2, \dots tx_n) = tf(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = tY$$

ie. if we double inputs, we double output

 \implies A constant returns to scale production function is said to be: HOMOGENOUS of DEGREE ONE or LINEARLY HO-MOGENOUS

3.2 Homogenous of Degree r

A function, $Y = f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is said to be Homogenous of Degree r if

$$f(tx_1, tx_2, ...tx_n) = t^r f(x_1, x_2, ...x_n)$$

Example Let $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$

change all $x'_i s$ by t

$$f(tx_1, tx_2) = (tx_1)(tx_2) = t^2(x_1x_2) = t^2f(x_1x_2)$$

Therefore $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$ is homogenous of degree 2

3.3 Cobb-Douglas

Let output, $Y = f(K, L) = L^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha} \{ \text{where } 0 \le 1 \}$

Multiply K, L by t

$$f(tL, tK) = (tL)^{\alpha} (tK)^{1-\alpha}$$
$$= t^{\alpha+1-\alpha} L^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}$$
$$tL^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}$$

Therefore $L^{\alpha}K^{1-\alpha}$ is H.O.D one. General Cobb-Douglas: $y=L^{\alpha}K^{\beta}$

$$f(tL, tK) = (tL)^{\alpha} (tK)^{\beta}$$
$$= t^{\alpha+\beta} L^{\alpha} K^{\beta}$$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\alpha}K^{\beta}$ is homogenous of degree $\alpha+\beta$

3.4 Further properties of Cobb-Douglas

Given

$$y = L^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}$$

$$MP_L = \frac{dY}{dL} = dL^{\alpha - 1}K^{1 - \alpha} = \alpha \left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{1 - \alpha}$$
$$MP_K = \frac{dY}{dK} = (1 - \alpha)L^{\alpha}K^{-\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)\left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{-\alpha}$$

 MP_L and MP_K are homogenous of degree zero

$$MP_L(tL, tK) = \alpha \left(\frac{tK}{tL}\right)^{1-\alpha} = \alpha \left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{1-\alpha}$$

 MP_L and MP_K depend only on the $\frac{K}{L}\mathrm{ratio}$

3.5 The Marginal Rate of Technical Substitution

$$MRTS = \frac{MP_L}{MP_K} = \frac{\alpha(\frac{K}{L})^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)(\frac{K}{L})^{-\alpha}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{K}{L}\right)$$

MRTS is homogenous of degree zero

The slope of the isoquant (MRTS) depends only on the $\frac{K}{L}$ ratio, not the absolute levels of K and L



Along any ray from the origin the isoquants are parallel. This is true for all homogenous functions regardless of the degree.

Given:

$$f(tx_1, ..., tx_n) = t^r f(x_1, ..., x_n)$$

Differentiate both sides with respect to x_1

$$\frac{df}{d(tx)}\frac{d(tx_1)}{dx_1} = t^r \frac{df}{dx_1}$$

But

$$\frac{d(tx_1)}{dx_1} = t$$
$$\frac{df}{d(tx_1)}t = t^r \frac{df}{dx_1}$$
$$\frac{df}{d(tx_1)} = \frac{t^r}{t} \frac{df}{dx_1} = t^{r-1} \frac{df}{dx_1}$$

Therefore: For any function homogenous of degree r, that function's first partial derivatives are homogenous of degree r - 1.

3.6 Monotonic Transformations and Homothetic Functions

Let $y = f(x_1, x_2)$ and Let z = g(y){where g'(y) > 0 and $f(x_1, x_2)$ is H.O.D. r} g(y) is a monotonic transformation of y

We know:

$$MRTS = -\frac{f_1}{fx} = \frac{dx_2}{dx_1}$$

Totally differentiate z = g(y) and set dz = 0

$$dz = \frac{dg}{dy}\frac{dy}{dx_1}dx_1 + \frac{dg}{dy}\frac{dy}{dx_2}dx_2 = 0$$

or

$$\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = \frac{-\left(\frac{dg}{dy_1}\right)\left(\frac{dy}{dx_1}\right)}{\left(\frac{dg}{dy_1}\right)\left(\frac{dy}{dx_2}\right)} = \frac{-\left(\frac{dy}{dx_1}\right)}{\left(\frac{dy}{dx_2}\right)} = \frac{-f_1}{f_2}$$

The slope of the level curves (isoquants) are invariant to monotonic transformations.

A monotonic transformation of a homogenous function creates a **homothetic function**

Homothetic functions have the same slope properties along a ray from the origin as the homogenous function.

However, homothetic functions are NOT homogenous.

Example: Let $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1, x_2$ {where r = 2}

Let:

$$z = g(y) = \ln(x_1, x_2) = \ln x_1 + \ln x_2 g(f(tx_1, tx_2)) = \ln(tx_1) + \ln(tx_2) = 2 \ln t + \ln x_1 + \ln x_2 \neq t^r \ln(x_1, x_2)$$

Properties of Homothetic Functions

- 1. A homothetic function has the same shaped level curves as the homogenous function that was transformed to create it.
- 2. Homogenous production functions cannot produce U-shaped average cost curves, but a homothetic function can.
- 3. Slopes of Level Curves (ie. Indifference Curves)

For homothetic functions the slope of their level curves only depend on the ratio of quantities.

ie. <u>If</u>: $y = f(x_1, x_2)$ is homothetic Then: $\frac{f_1}{f_2} = g\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)$

3.7 Euler's Theorem

Let $f(x_1, x_2)$ be homogenous of degree r Then $f(tx_1, tx_2) = t^r f(x_1, x_2)$ Differentiate with respect to t

$$\frac{df}{d(tx_1)}\frac{d(tx_1)}{dt} + \frac{df}{d(tx_2)}\frac{d(tx_2)}{dt} = rt^{r-1}f(tx_1, tx_2)$$

Since: $\frac{dtx_i}{dt} = x_i$ for all i

$$\frac{df}{d(tx_1)}x_1 + \frac{df}{d(tx_2)}x_2 = rt^{r-1}f(tx_1, tx_2)$$

This is true for all values of t, so let t = 1

$$\underbrace{\frac{df}{dx_1}x_1 + \frac{df}{dx_2}x_2 = f_1x_1 + f_2x_2 = rf(x_1, x_2)}_{\text{"Euler's Theorm"}}$$

If y = f(L, K) is constant returns to scale Then $y=MP_LL + MP_KK$ (Euler's Theorm) Example: Let

$$y = L^{\alpha} K^{1-\alpha}$$

Where:

$$MP_L = \alpha L^{\alpha - 1} K^{1 - \alpha}$$

$$MP_K = (1 - \alpha)L^{\alpha}K^{-\alpha}$$

From Euler's Theorm

$$y = MP_LL + MP_KK = (\alpha L^{\alpha - 1}K^{1 - \alpha})L + ((1 - \alpha)L^{\alpha}K^{-\alpha})K$$

$$= \alpha L^{\alpha - 1}K^{1 - \alpha} + (1 - \alpha)L^{\alpha}K^{-\alpha}$$

$$= [d + (1 - \alpha)]L^{\alpha}K^{1 - \alpha}$$

$$= L^{\alpha}K^{1 - \alpha}$$

$$= y$$

3.7.1 Euler's Theorm and Long Run Equilibrium

Suppose q = f(K, L) is H.O.D 1

Then the profit function for a perfectly competitive firm is

$$\pi = pq - rK - wL$$

$$\pi = pf(K, L) - rK - wL$$

 $\underline{F.O.C's}$

$$\frac{d\pi}{dL} = pf_L - w = 0$$
$$\frac{d\pi}{dK} = pf_K - r = 0$$

 $\{f_L=MP_L \quad f_K=MP_K\}$ or $MP_L=\frac{w}{p}, MP_K=\frac{r}{p}$ are necessary conditions for Profit Maximization

Therefore, at the optimum

$$\pi^* = pf(K^*L^*) - wL^* - rK^*$$

From Euler's Theorem

$$f(K^*L^*) = MP_KK^* + MP_LL^*$$

Substitute into π^*

$$\pi^* = P \left[M P_K K^* + M P_L L^* \right] - w L^* - r K^*$$

OR

$$\pi^* = [wL^* + rK^*] - wL^* - rK^* = 0$$

Long Run $\pi = 0$

3.7.2

Concavity and Quasiconcavity



3.7.3 Concavity:

 \cdot Convex level curves and concave in scale \cdot Necessary for unconstrained optimum

3.7.4 Quasi-Concavity:

 \cdot Only has convex level curves \cdot Necessary for constrained optimum

Example:

1. Concave: $y = x_1^{\frac{1}{3}} x_2^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is H.O.D. 2/3 (diminishing returns)

$$MRTS = \frac{x_2}{x_1}$$

2. Quasi -Concave: $y = x_1^2 x_2^2$ is H.O.D. 4 (increasing returns) $MRTS = \frac{x_2}{x_1}$

REVIEW: When to use the Implicit Function Theorem (Jacobian)

GENERAL FORM: Max

$$U(x,y) + \lambda(\beta - P_x x - P_y y)$$

F.O.C.

$$L_x = U_x - \lambda P_x = 0 \quad (\text{Eq 1})$$

$$L_y = U_y - \lambda P y = 0 \quad (\text{Eq 2})$$

$$L_\lambda = \beta - P_x x - P_y y \quad (\text{Eq 3})$$

Equations 1, 2, and 3 IMPLICITLY DEFINE

$$x^* = x^*(\beta, P_x, P_y)$$

$$y^* = y^*(\beta, P_x, P_y)$$

$$\lambda^* = \lambda^*(\beta, P_x, P_y)$$

S.O.C.

$$\left|\bar{H}\right| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -P_x & -P_y \\ -P_x & U_{xx} & U_{xy} \\ -P_y & U_{yx} & U_{yy} \end{vmatrix} > 0 \text{ (by assumption)}$$

Find $\frac{dx^*}{dPx}$: use Implicit Function Theorem

SPECIFIC FORM:

Max

$$xy + \lambda(\beta - P_x x - P_y y)$$

F.O.C

$$L_x = y - \lambda P_x = 0 \quad (\text{Eq 1})$$

$$L_y = x - \lambda P y = 0 \quad (\text{Eq 2})$$

$$L_\lambda = \beta - P_x x - P_y y \quad (\text{Eq 3})$$

Equations 1, 2, and 3 EXPLICITLY DEFINE

$$x^* = \frac{\beta}{\alpha P x} \quad y^* = \frac{\beta}{\alpha P y} \quad \lambda^* = \frac{\beta}{\alpha P x P y}$$

S.O.C.

S.O.C.

$$\left|\bar{H}\right| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -P_x & -P_y \\ -P_x & 0 & 1 \\ -P_y & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 2PxPy > 0$$

To find: $\frac{dx^*}{dPx}$ Differentiate x^* directly

$$\frac{dx^*}{dPx} = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha Px^2} < 0$$

Review: When to use the Implicit Function 3.8 Theorem (Jacobian)??

General Form 3.8.1

Max

$$U(x,y) + \lambda(B - P_x x + P_y y)$$

F.O.C.

$$L_x : U_x - \lambda P_x = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$

$$L_y : U_y - \lambda P_y = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 2}$$

$$L_\lambda : B - P_x x + P_y y = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 3}$$

Equations 1, 2, and 3 IMPLICITY define

$$x^* = x^*(B, P_x, P_y)$$

 $y^* = y^*(B, P_x, P_y)$
 $\lambda^* = \lambda^*(B, P_x, P_y)$

S.O.C.

$$\left|\bar{H}\right| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -P_x & -P_y \\ -P_x & U_{xx} & U_{xy} \\ -P_y & U_{yx} & U_{yy} \end{vmatrix} > 0$$
(By Assumption)

Find $\frac{dx^*}{dP_x}$: use Implicit Function Theorem

3.8.2 Specific Form

Max

$$xy + \lambda(B - P_x x + P_y y)$$

F.O.C

$$L_x: y - \lambda P_x = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$
$$L_y: x - \lambda P_y = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 2}$$

$$L_{\lambda}: B - P_x x + P_y y = 0 \quad \text{Eq. } 3$$

Equations 1, 2, and 3 EXPLICITLY define

$$x^* = \frac{B}{2P_x}$$
$$y^* = \frac{B}{2P_y}$$
$$\lambda^* = \frac{B}{2P_x P_y}$$

S.O.C.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \bar{H} \\ -P_x & 0 & 1 \\ -P_y & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 2P_x P_y > 0$$

Find $\frac{dx^*}{dP_x}$: Differentiate x^* directly $\frac{dx^*}{dP_x} = -\frac{B}{2P_x^2} < 0$