## 12.1.4 The Structure of Spacetime

(i) Four-vectors. The Lorentz transformations take on a simpler appearance when expressed in terms of the quantities

$$x^0 \equiv ct, \quad \beta \equiv \frac{v}{c}. \tag{12.21}$$

Using  $x^0$  (instead of t) and  $\beta$  (instead of v) amounts to changing the unit of time from the *second* to the *meter*—1 meter of  $x^0$  corresponds to the time it takes light to travel 1 meter (in vacuum). If, at the same time, we number the x, y, z coordinates, so that

$$x^1 = x, \quad x^2 = y, \quad x^3 = z,$$
 (12.22)

then the Lorentz transformations read

$$\bar{x}^{0} = \gamma (x^{0} - \beta x^{1}), 
\bar{x}^{1} = \gamma (x^{1} - \beta x^{0}), 
\bar{x}^{2} = x^{2}, 
\bar{x}^{3} = x^{3}.$$
(12.23)

Or, in matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}^0 \\ \bar{x}^1 \\ \bar{x}^2 \\ \bar{x}^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma\beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12.24}$$

Letting Greek indices run from 0 to 3, this can be distilled into a single equation:

$$\bar{x}^{\mu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{3} (\Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu}) x^{\nu}, \tag{12.25}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the **Lorentz transformation matrix** in Eq. 12.24 (the superscript  $\mu$  labels the row, the subscript  $\nu$  labels the column). One virtue of writing things in this abstract manner is that we can handle in the same format a more general transformation, in which the relative motion is *not* along a common  $x \bar{x}$  axis; the matrix  $\Lambda$  would be more complicated, but the structure of Eq. 12.25 is unchanged.

If this reminds you of the *rotations* we studied in Sect. 1.1.5, it's no accident. There we were concerned with the change in components when you switch to a *rotated* coordinate system; here we are interested in the change of components when you go to a *moving* 

system. In Chapter 1 we defined a (3-) vector as any set of three components that transform under rotations the same way (x, y, z) do; by extension, we now define a **4-vector** as any set of *four* components that transform in the same manner as  $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$  under Lorentz transformations:

$$\bar{a}^{\mu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{3} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} a^{\nu}. \tag{12.26}$$

For the particular case of a transformation along the x axis:

$$\bar{a}^{0} = \gamma (a^{0} - \beta a^{1}), 
\bar{a}^{1} = \gamma (a^{1} - \beta a^{0}), 
\bar{a}^{2} = a^{2}, 
\bar{a}^{3} = a^{3}.$$
(12.27)

There is a 4-vector analog to the dot product  $(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \equiv A_x B_x + A_y B_y + A_z B_z)$ , but it's not just the sum of the products of like components; rather, the zeroth components have a minus sign:

$$-a^{0}b^{0} + a^{1}b^{1} + a^{2}b^{2} + a^{3}b^{3}. (12.28)$$

This is the **four-dimensional scalar product**; you should check for yourself (Prob. 12.17) that it has the same value in all inertial systems:

$$-\bar{a}^{0}\bar{b}^{0} + \bar{a}^{1}\bar{b}^{1} + \bar{a}^{2}\bar{b}^{2} + \bar{a}^{3}\bar{b}^{3} = -a^{0}b^{0} + a^{1}b^{1} + a^{2}b^{2} + a^{3}b^{3}.$$
 (12.29)

Just as the ordinary dot product is **invariant** (unchanged) under rotations, this combination is invariant under Lorentz transformations.

To keep track of the minus sign it is convenient to introduce the **covariant** vector  $a_{\mu}$ , which differs from the **contravariant**  $a^{\mu}$  only in the sign of the zeroth component:

$$a_{\mu} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) \equiv (-a^0, a^1, a^2, a^3).$$
 (12.30)

You must be scrupulously careful about the placement of indices in this business: upper indices designate contravariant vectors; lower indices are for covariant vectors. Raising or lowering the temporal index costs a minus sign  $(a_0 = -a^0)$ ; raising or lowering a spatial index changes nothing  $(a_1 = a^1, a_2 = a^2, a_3 = a^3)$ . The scalar product can now be written with the summation symbol,

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{3} a_{\mu} b^{\mu}, \tag{12.31}$$

or, more compactly still,

$$a_{\mu}b^{\mu}.\tag{12.32}$$

Summation is *implied* whenever a Greek index is repeated in a product—once as a covariant index and once as contravariant. This is called the **Einstein summation convention**, after

its inventor, who regarded it as one of his most important contributions. Of course, we could as well take care of the minus sign by switching to covariant b:

$$a_{\mu}b^{\mu} = a^{\mu}b_{\mu} = -a^{0}b^{0} + a^{1}b^{1} + a^{2}b^{2} + a^{3}b^{3}.$$
 (12.33)

• **Problem 12.17** Check Eq. 12.29, using Eq. 12.27. [This only proves the invariance of the scalar product for transformations along the x direction. But the scalar product is also invariant under *rotations*, since the first term is not affected at all, and the last three constitute the three-dimensional dot product **a** · **b**. By a suitable rotation, the x direction can be aimed any way you please, so the four-dimensional scalar product is actually invariant under *arbitrary* Lorentz transformations.]

## **Problem 12.18**

- (a) Write out the matrix that describes a *Galilean* transformation (Eq. 12.12).
- (b) Write out the matrix describing a Lorentz transformation along the y axis.
- (c) Find the matrix describing a Lorentz transformation with velocity v along the x axis followed by a Lorentz transformation with velocity  $\bar{v}$  along the y axis. Does it matter in what order the transformations are carried out?

**Problem 12.19** The parallel between rotations and Lorentz transformations is even more striking if we introduce the **rapidity**:

$$\theta \equiv \tanh^{-1}(v/c). \tag{12.34}$$

(a) Express the Lorentz transformation matrix  $\Lambda$  (Eq. 12.24) in terms of  $\theta$ , and compare it to the rotation matrix (Eq. 1.29).

In some respects rapidity is a more natural way to describe motion than velocity. [See E. F. Taylor and J. A. Wheeler, *Spacetime Physics* (San Francisco: W. H. Freeman, 1966).] For one thing, it ranges from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ , instead of -c to +c. More significantly, rapidities add. whereas velocities do not.

- (b) Express the Einstein velocity addition law in terms of rapidity.
- (ii) The invariant interval. Suppose event A occurs at  $(x_A^0, x_A^1, x_A^2, x_A^3)$ , and event B at  $(x_B^0, x_B^1, x_B^2, x_B^3)$ . The difference,

$$\Delta x^{\mu} \equiv x_A^{\mu} - x_B^{\mu},\tag{12.35}$$

is the **displacement 4-vector**. The scalar product of  $\Delta x^{\mu}$  with itself is a quantity of special importance; we call it the **interval** between two events:

$$I = (\Delta x)_{\mu}(\Delta x)^{\mu} = -(\Delta x^{0})^{2} + (\Delta x^{1})^{2} + (\Delta x^{2})^{2} + (\Delta x^{3})^{2} = -c^{2}t^{2} + d^{2}, \quad (12.36)$$

where t is the time difference between the two events and d is their spatial separation. When you transform to a moving system, the *time* between A and B is altered  $(\bar{t} \neq t)$ , and so is the *spatial separation*  $(\bar{d} \neq d)$ , but the interval I remains the same.