Poverty Decomposition

Role of growth and income redistribution towards changes in poverty in Pakistan

Abstract

- This paper investigates the contributions of growth and redistribution to changes in poverty in Pakistan.
- The study applies Datt and Ravallion (1992) and Kakwani (1997) techniques using data from various household surveys conducted by Federal Bureau of Statistics Pakistan between 1992-93 and 2005-06.
- The results show that the growth and redistribution effects counteracted each other to affect poverty throughout the period except during 1993-94 and 1996-97, where the both effects were negative implying that they reinforced each other to decrease poverty.

Abstract

- Thus, the study implies that the growth alone cannot help reduce poverty particularly in periods during which inequality is deteriorating at the same time.
- The study concludes that economic growth and income distribution both play a significant role in alleviating poverty. It is, therefore, suggested that policies geared toward alleviating poverty must include strategies to improve income distribution along with sustainable economic growth.

- According to trickle down theory, all sections of population get benefits from economic growth which influenced economic thinking in the fifties and sixties.
- There is a view in this regard that the poor get benefits proportionally less than the non-poor from economic growth (Kakwani, Prakash and Son, 2000).
- Economic growth causes inequality either to increase or decrease or remain constant.

- Economic growth must result in reduction in poverty provided inequality did not deteriorate.
- But if during the growth process inequality increases, the poor benefit less than the non-poor.
- Contrary to this, if inequality decreases, the poor get more benefits than the non-poor.
- Under such situation the growth is regarded as pro-poor.

- Kakwani and Pernia (2000) define pro-poor growth as one that makes the poor able to actively participate in economic activity and get benefits from it significantly.
- If during the growth process, there is a sharp rise in inequality; poverty may increase instead of decreasing because the adverse impact of rising inequality offset the favourable impact of growth which implies that inequality effect may dominate the growth effect. Bhaghwati (1988) calls this situation "Immiserizing" growth.

- Hence it is instructive to ascertain the impact of growth and inequality separately on poverty.
- Unfortunately, the standard inequality measures such as Gini-coefficient are not useful here.

- There is a little work on the decomposition of changes in poverty into growth and redistribution effects in Pakistan.
- World Bank (2004) decomposed the change in only headcount ratio by applying Datt and Ravallion (1992) technique using the Household Income and Expenditure Surveys data from 1998-99 to 2001-02.
- Anwer (2007) also applied the same technique for decomposing the changes in only Headcount ratio for the periods 1998-99, 2001-02 and 2004-05.
- However, it is interesting to note that the sum of components growth, redistribution and residual in the latter study did not equal to total change in poverty.

- It means that Ravallion technique has not been used in its true sense. Furthermore, it is also necessary to decompose the changes in poverty gap and squared poverty gap.
- Whereas Kakwani (1997) technique is concerned, it has never been employed in Pakistan.
- Thus this study employs Datt and Ravallion (1992) as well as Kakwani (1997) techniques to decompose changes in poverty indices into growth and distributional effects.

2- Literature Review

- The main work on poverty estimation includes Nasim (1973), Alauddine, T. (1975), Kruik and Leeumen (1985), Mujahid (1978), Malik, M.H. (1988), Zaidi (1992), Malik, S.J. (1991), Anwer, T. (2006), Amjad and Kemal (1997), FBS (2001, 2003), World Bank (2002, 2004, 2005, 2008), Anwer and Qureshi (2002), Cheema (2005), Jamal (2002, 2003), Planning Commission (2006, 2007) and Jan et al. (2008).
- All of these studies except Kruik and Leeumen (1985) and Zaidi (1992) estimated absolute poverty line.
- These studies used different techniques such as arbitrary, Cost of Basic Needs (CBN) or Food Energy Intake (FEI) to estimate poverty lines.

- Some studies estimated poverty lines for each separate year, while some studies adjusted the same by Consumer Price Index (CPI) or Tornqvist Price Index (TPI).
- The work on inequality estimation consists of Nasim (1973), Alauddine, T. (1975), Kruik and Leeuwen (1985), Ahmad, M., (2000), FBS (2001, 2003), World Bank (2002, 2004, 2005, 2008), Planning Commission (2006, 2007).
- Some of these studies took expenditure, whereas the others income as welfare indicator.

- Still some studies took households as a unit of analysis, while the others individual. In order to ascertain the true trend in poverty/inequality and to make them comparable, there should be same definition, unit of analysis and the appropriate price index.
- With regard to decomposition of changes in poverty into growth and redistribution effects there is a little work in Pakistan.

- World Bank (2004) decomposed the change in only headcount ratio by applying Datt and Ravallion (1992) technique using the Household Income and Expenditure Surveys data from 1998-99 to 2001-02.
- Anwer (2007) also applied the same technique for decomposing the changes in only Headcount ratio for the periods 1998-99, 2001-02 and 2004-05.

- However, it is interesting to note that the sum of components growth, redistribution and residual did not equal to total change in poverty.
- It means that Ravallion technique has not been used in its true sense. Furthermore, it is also necessary to decompose the changes in poverty gap and squared poverty gap. Whereas Kakwani (1997) technique is concerned, it has never been employed in Pakistan.

- Datt and Ravallion (1992) decomposed variations in poverty into growth and redistribution components for India for the years 1977-78 to 1988 and with respect to Brazil for 1981 to 1988.
- This technique was followed by Bigsten, et. al., in Ethiopia, Assadzadeh and Paul in Iran, Dhongde in rural west Bengal, Esanov in Kazakhstan and Hammill in Central American States.

- Kakwani (1997) decomposed the change in poverty in Thailand.
- Then it was followed by McCulloch in Zambia, Boccanfuso and Kanbore in Burkina and Senegal, and Dhongde in rural west Bengal.

Data and Methodology

- Data
- This study utilizes the Household Income and Expenditure Survey (HIES) data for the years 1992-93, 1993-94, 1996-97, 1998-99, 2001-02, 2004-05 and 2005-06 collected by Federal Bureau of Statistics (FBS) Pakistan.
- Sample size determined by FBS is representative at national and provincial level with rural/urban break up.
- The detail of households covered during different years is reported in the following table.

Year	Sample size (Number of Households)						
	Rural	Urban	Pakistan				
1992-93	9006	5586	14592				
1993-94	9036	5632	14668				
1996-97	8814	5447	14261				
1998-99	9148	5523	14671				
2001-02	9169	5536	14705				
2004-05	8897	5807	14704				
2005-06	9203	6234	15437				

3.2 Methodology

- Measurement of poverty
- This study takes consumption expenditure as a welfare indicator and employs the calorie-based approach to estimate the poverty line using the Household Income and Expenditure Survey (HIES) data collected by Federal Bureau of Statistics (FBS) for the period 1998-99.
- Paache price index (PPI) estimated at the primary sampling unit level is used to adjust the price differentials across the regions.

- Different households differ in size and composition. One household may include more adult male members and the other may include more female members while still the other household may include more children.
- Following FBS (2001) and World Bank (2002) this study uses equivalent scales which give weight 0.8 to individuals who are less than 18 years old and 1 to individuals who are equal to or greater than 18 years old to reach per adult equivalent so that the expenditures of households be divided by this per adult equivalent and in this way true welfare levels of individuals is ascertained. These scales were used because they seem very close to the reality.

- Requirements of calories are not the same for adults and children as well as males and females. This study adjusts the household size using the nutrient based equivalent scales (1985), developed by planning commission, Government of Pakistan (2002).
- This study estimates poverty line by running a log-log ordinary least squares regression on first three quintiles using 2350 calories per adult equivalent as suggested by the Planning Commission, Government of Pakistan.

- For the remaining years the same were obtained by adjusting the base poverty line by composite price index which is a combination of consumer price index (CPI) (nonfood and non-fuel items) and Tornqvist price index (TPI) (food and fuel items).
- This index was used in Bangladesh by World Bank (2001). It is notable that this study utilizes Monthly CPIs calculated by FBS (1993-2006), information on interview in different months and TPI estimated from surveys data as well as the group weights of commodities and services of Government of Pakistan (2009) in developing a Composite Price Index. This study estimates first three measures of poverty popularized by Foster, Greer and Thorbecke (1984).

• Mathematically

$$P_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left[\left(z - y_i \right) / z \right]^{\alpha}$$

- If $\alpha=0$, $P_{\alpha}=$ Headcount ratio, if $\alpha=1$, $p_{\alpha}=$ poverty gap, and if $\alpha=2$, then $p_{\alpha}=$ squared poverty gap.
- This study decomposes the changes in all these poverty measures into growth and redistribution effects.

- Gini Coefficient
- An Italian statistician Corrado Gini developed an inequality measure called Gini coefficient.
- It is defined as a ratio of the area between the diagonal and the Lorenz curve to the total area of half square in which the curve lies (Todaro, 2002).

• Gini coefficient



• It can be calculated as follows:

$$Gini = \frac{1}{2n^2 \overline{Y}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left| y_i - y_j \right|$$

- Its value ranges between zero and one. The lower the value Gini-coefficient has, the more equal the distribution of income is.
- The higher the value the Gini coefficient has, the more unequal the distribution of income is.
- Zero value of Gini coefficient shows perfect equality (every person has equal income) and one value shows perfect inequality (one person has all the income).

Decomposition of changes in poverty indices over time

- This study decomposes the changes in the estimates of poverty measures into the effects of growth and redistribution following the techniques of Datt and Ravallion (1992) and Kakwani (1997).
- These are given below:

Dynamic decomposition method of Datt and Ravallion (1992)

The poverty indices may be written as a function of the poverty line (z), average consumption expenditure (μ), and parameter of Lorenz curve (Ψ):

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(z, \mu, \psi)$$

Dynamic decomposition method of Datt and Ravallion (1992)

• Datt and Ravallion (1992) decomposed the changes in poverty indices as follows:

 $P(z, \mu_2, \psi_2) - P(z, \mu_1, \psi_1) = \left[P(z, \mu_2, \psi_1) - P(z, \mu_1 - \psi_1)\right] + \left[P(z, \mu_1, \psi_2) - P(z, \mu_1, \psi_2)\right] + \left[P(z, \mu_1, \psi_2) - P(z, \psi_2)\right] + \left[P(z, \psi_2) - P(z, \psi_2)\right] + \left[P$

growth component inequality component $\begin{bmatrix} P(z, \mu_2, \psi_2) - P(z, \mu_1, \psi_2) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} P(z, \mu_2, \psi_1) - P(z, \mu_1, \psi_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ residual$

• Where p denotes poverty indices- Headcount ratio, poverty gap and squared poverty gap; z depicts poverty line which is held constant in both periods 1 and 2; μ_1 and Ψ_1 represent mean expenditure and inequality in expenditure in period 1 respectively; μ_2 and Ψ_2 show mean expenditure and inequality in expenditure in period 2 respectively.

Dynamic decomposition method of Datt and Ravallion (1992)

- The growth component measures the changes in the indices of poverty because of changes in average consumption expenditure while keeping the expenditure distribution constant.
- Inequality component calculates the changes in indices of poverty because of changes in distribution of expenditure while holding the mean expenditure fixed.

• There is a residual which depicts the interaction between growth and redistribution effects and equal to the difference between growth effects estimated at final and initial distributions or the difference between redistribution effects estimated at final and initial means.

Dynamic poverty decomposition method of Kakwani (1997)

• The Changes in poverty indices were decomposed into growth and inequality effects by Kakwani (1997) in the following way:

$$P(z, \mu_{2}, \psi_{2}) - P(z, \mu_{1}, \psi_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left[P(z, \mu_{2}, \psi_{1}) - P(z, \mu_{1}, \psi_{1}) \right] + \left[P(z, \mu_{2}, \psi_{2}) - P(z, \mu_{1}, \psi_{2}) \right] \right]$$

$$growth component$$

$$+$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\left[P(z, \mu_{1}, \psi_{2}) - P(z, \mu_{1}, \psi_{1}) \right] + \left[P(z, \mu_{2}, \psi_{2}) - P(z, \mu_{2}, \psi_{1}) \right] \right]$$

$$inequality component$$

Growth and redistribution components

- All symbols carry the same explanations as in the decomposition of changes in poverty indices by Datt and Ravallion (1992) given above.
- It can be denoted as follows:
- $P_{12} = G_{12} + L_{12}$ where
- P_{12} is total poverty effect; G_{12} is growth effect and L_{12} is distribution effect.

- This decomposition is exact breakdown of the change in poverty indices into growth and redistribution components and there is no residual.
- In order to take into account the difference in prices between two periods, mean consumption expenditures μ_1 and μ_2 is adjusted by the composite price index but poverty line is kept constant in each period.

- The total change in poverty between two periods is a combination of two effects namely pure growth and pure inequality effects.
- The pure growth effect of the change in poverty is regarded as the proportional change in poverty when mean consumption expenditure varies but distribution of expenditure remains constant.
- The pure inequality effect is regarded as the proportional change in poverty when the distribution of expenditure changes but mean consumption expenditure is held constant.

Poverty estimates across region from 1992-93 to 2005-06										
Year	He	eadcount Rati	0		Poverty Gap			Squared Poverty Gap		
	Rural	Urban	Pakistan	Rural	Urban	Pakistan	Rural	Urban	Pakistan	
1992-	27.74	20.03	25.55	4.63	3.46	4.30	1.19	0.90	1.11	
95	24.02		20.40		2 0 2		1 00	0.75	1 5 6	
1993- 94	34.92	16.54	29.49	0.04	2.92	5.54	1.89	0.75	1.50	
1996-	31.23	16.47	26.71	5.56	2.58	4.65	1.48	0.64	1.22	
97										
1998-	34.58	20.76	30.54	7.37	4.12	6.42	2.32	1.24	2.00	
99										
2001-	39.22	22.72	34.45	8.02	4.52	7.01	2.44	1.34	2.12	
02										
2004-	28.25	15.01	24.05	5.64	2.91	4.77	1.77	0.86	1.48	
05										
2005-	27.95	13.81	23.19	5.13	2.18	4.14	1.43	0.55	1.14	
06										

Gini-coefficient over time across region in Pakistan

Year	Rural	Urban	Pakistan
1992-93	0.2388	0.3170	0.2685
1993-94	0.2344	0.3071	0.2709
1996-97	0.2265	0.2877	0.2585
1998-99	0.2521	0.3583	0.3012
2001-02	0.2366	0.3217	0.2749
2004-05	0.2518	0.3381	0.2969
2005-06	0.2438	0.3473	0.3000

Decomposition of change in poverty in Pakistan following Ravallion and Datt

(1992) and Kakwani (1997) between 1992-93 and 1993-94

Poverty indices	Total change in poverty		Residual*			
		Growth component		Redistribut		
				component		
		Ravallion	Kakwani	Ravallion	Kakwani	
Headcount Ratio	3.94	4.36	4.28	-0.26	-0.34	-0.16
Poverty Gap	1.24	1.05	1.035	0.22	0.205	-0.03
Squared Poverty Gap	0.45	0.32	0.33	0.11	0.12	0.02

*Thorn is no residual in Valuerani toobmicuo

- Analysis of decomposition of changes in the estimates of all poverty measures shows that some time redistribution and growth effects counteracted and some time they reinforced each other to affect poverty in Pakistan.
- During 1992-93 and 1993-94 the growth and redistribution effects counteracted each other to affect poverty in terms of headcount ratio, but reinforced for poverty gap and squared poverty gap.

 For the headcount ratio the growth component was positive indicating that the decline in mean expenditure contributed to the increase in poverty, while the redistribution component was negative showing that the improvement in distribution counteracted to lessen the adverse effect of growth on poverty.

- Negative sign of redistribution effect suggests that incidence of poverty would have increased more than what is observed if the distribution had not improved.
- By component according to Ravallion technique growth component accounted for 4.36 percentage points to the increase in poverty, while redistribution component accounted for 0.26 percentage points to mitigate the adverse effect of the former.

- There was residual equal to -0.16 percentage points. The growth effect was positive enough to outweigh the favorable effect of improved distribution resulting in increase in headcount ratio.
- According to Kakwani technique distributional neutral growth accounted for 4.28 percentage points in the poverty enhancement, whereas the redistribution effect accounted for 0.34 percentage points to reduce the adverse impact of the former.

- There was no residual. Thus according to both techniques the growth component was dominant over the redistribution component causing poverty to increase (see figure 4.1 at appendix).
- The result depicts improvement in distribution of expenditure during the period.

- This result suggests that conventional inequality indices are poor guide to the way shifts in distribution can affect the estimates of poverty indices.
- For example, Gini -Coefficient showed an increasing trend during the period (see table 4.2).
- On the contrary, Shifts in distribution did have favorable impact on the headcount ratio, which was not captured by Gini-coefficient.

- But the decomposition of changes in poverty in terms of poverty gap and squared poverty gap depicts that during the same period the growth and redistribution effects were positive indicating that the decline in mean expenditure and deterioration in distribution reinforced each other to increase poverty (see figures 4.2 & 4.3 at appendix).
- Positive sign of redistribution suggests that poverty would have increased much less if the redistribution had not deteriorated.

- The negative sign of redistribution component for the headcount ratio and positive one for the poverty gap and squared poverty gap suggests that the poor became better off, whereas the poorest worse off.
- The decline in mean expenditure was the result of negative agriculture growth during 1992-93.
- Excessive rains and floods damaged severely the major crops. Leaf curl virus attacked cotton crops.
- All these factors contributed to the negative growth in major crops resulting in negative growth in agriculture sector.

Decomposition of change in poverty in Pakistan following Ravallion and

Datt (1992) and Kakwani (1997) between 1993-94 and 1996-97

Poverty	Total		Residual			
indices	change	Growth com	nponent	Redistribut	*	
	in			component		
	poverty	Ravallion	Kakwani	Ravallion	Kakwani	
Headcount	-2.78	-0.55	-0.535	-2.26	-2.245	0.03
PG	-0.89	-0.13	-0.12	-0.78	-0.77	0.02
SPG	-0.34	-0.05	-0.045	-0.3	-0.295	0.01

***There is no residual in Kakwani technique**

- During 1993-94 and 1996-97 for all poverty measures both Ravallion and Kakwani's techniques of decomposition show that both the growth and redistribution components were negative indicating that both components reinforced each other to reduce poverty (see figures 4.1 to 4.3 at appendix).
- Under such situation, the growth is regarded as propoor (Kakwani and Pernia, 2000). The bulk of the reduction in poverty was brought about by redistribution component.
- The increase in mean expenditure was brought about by growth in agriculture sector.

Decomposition of change in poverty in Pakistan following Ravallion and

Datt (1992) and Kakwani (1997) between 1996-97 and 1998-99

Poverty	Total		Residual*			
indices	change in poverty	Growth co	omponent	Redistribution component		
		Ravallion	Kakwani	Ravallion	Kakwani	
Headcount	3.83	-2.96	-2.9	6.67	6.73	0.12
PG	2.54	-0.7	-0.77	2.61	2.54	-0.14
SPG	0.78	-0.21	-0.26	1.09	1.04	-0.1

*There is no residual in Kakwani technique

- During 1996-97 and 1998-99 although the growth was poverty reducing, yet a sharp deterioration in distribution led to net increase in poverty in terms of all poverty measures after offsetting the favorable effects of increase in mean expenditure (see figures 4.1 to 4.3 at appendix).
- Bhagwati (1988) regards such situation as "Immiserizing" growth.
- Strong positive sign of redistribution component reflects that poverty would have decreased instead of increasing if the redistribution had not worsened.

Decomposition of change in poverty in Pakistan following Ravallion and Datt

(1992) and Kakwani (1997) between 1998-99 and 2001-02

Poverty	Total		Residual*			
indices	change in poverty	Growth co	mponent	Redistribution component		
		Ravallion	Kakwani	Ravallion	Kakwani	
Headcount	3.91	7.17	7.47	-3.86	-3.56	0.6
P G	0.59	2.14	2.06	-1.39	-1.47	-0.16
SPG	0.12	0.82	0.75	-0.56	-0.63	0.14

*There is no residual in Kakwani technique

- Whereas between 1998-99 and 2001-02 adverse growth in mean expenditure was the driving force to increase poverty after outweighing the favorable effects of improved distribution (see figures 4.1 to 4.3 at appendix).
- Negative sign of redistribution suggests that poverty would have increased much more if the distribution had not improved.
- These results are consistent with those of World Bank (2004).
- The study decomposed the change in only headcount ratio.

Decomposition of change in poverty in Pakistan following Ravallion and

Datt (1992) and Kakwani (1997) between 2001-02 and 2004-05

Poverty	Total		Residual			
indices	change in	Growth co	omponent	Redistribution component		*
	poverty	Ravallion	Kakwani	Ravallion	Kakwani	
Headcount	-10.4	-13.71	-13.645	3.18	3.245	0.13
PG	-2.24	-3.34	-3.505	1.43	1.265	-0.33
SPG	-0.64	-1.12	-1.225	0.69	0.585	-0.21

*There is no residual in Kakwani technique

- Between 2001-02 and 2004-05 for all poverty measures growth component was negative, while redistribution was positive.
- It implies that the increase in mean expenditure contributed to the reduction in poverty, while the deterioration in distribution counteracted to lessen the favorable impact of the former.
- During this period growth effect dominated the redistribution one and resulted in reduction in poverty (see figures 4.1 to 4.3 at appendix).
- Agriculture and manufacturing sectors contributed towards the increase in mean expenditure.

Decomposition of change in poverty in Pakistan following Ravallion and

Datt (1992) and Kakwani (1997) between 2004-05 and 2005-06

Poverty	Total		Residual			
indices	change in	Growth co	omponent	Redistribut	*	
	IN			component		
	poverty	Ravallion	Kakwani	Ravallion	Kakwani	
Headcount	-0.86	-1.53	-1.59	0.79	0.73	-0.12
PG	-0.63	-0.36	-0.375	-0.24	-0.255	-0.03
SPG	-0.34	-0.12	-0.12	-0.22	-0.22	0

*There is no residual in Kakwani technique

- During 2004-05 and 2005-06 both techniques demonstrate that for the headcount ratio growth component contributed to decrease poverty, but change in distribution counteracted to lessen the favorable impact of the former on poverty.
- The growth component was dominant over the redistribution one resulting in decrease in poverty (see figure 4.1 at appendix).

- For the poverty gap and squared poverty gap both components reinforced each other to reduce them.
- Both techniques show that growth contributed more to reduce poverty gap as compared to redistribution, but for the squared poverty gap change in distribution led more to decrease it than the increase in mean expenditure (see figures 4.2 and 4.3 at appendix).

- The result demonstrates improvement in distribution of expenditure for the poverty gap and squared poverty gap.
- This result suggests that a conventional inequality index may be a poor guide to the way shifts in distribution can affect the estimates of measures of poverty.
- For example, Gini- coefficient showed increase in inequality during the period (see table 4.2).

- On the contrary, shifts in distribution did have a favourable impact on the poverty gap and squared poverty gap, which was not captured by the inequality index.
- The sign of redistribution effect was positive for the headcount ratio, but negative for poverty gap and squared poverty gap.
- It may imply that the poor became worse off, while the poorest better off. The increase in mean expenditure was the result of favourable growth in manufacturing sector.

Conclusion and policy implications

- The study examines the contributions of growth and redistribution to changes in poverty in Pakistan using the household income and expenditure surveys data collected by Federal Bureau of Statistics (FBS) Pakistan.
- The study applies Datt and Ravallion (1992) and Kakwani (1997) techniques.
- The results depict that the growth is an important factor for the alleviating poverty provided inequality does not deteriorate.

Conclusion and policy implications

- If inequality worsens during the growth process, some part of the growth is offset.
- When there is sharp rise in inequality, it is quite possible that it outweighs the favourable effects of growth resulting in increase in poverty.
- The policy implication is that growth per se can not be depended on for the reduction of poverty.
- In order to achieve the objective of poverty reduction, it is suggested that a two prong strategy focusing economic growth coupled with a simultaneous improvement in income distribution be adopted.