CHAPTER 3 Systems of Linear Equations

3.66. Express each of the following matrices as a product of elementary matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -6 \\ -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ -3 & -7 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 8 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.67. Find the inverse of each of the following matrices (if it exists):

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 3 & -4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 & 1 \\ 3 & 10 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 8 & -3 \\ 1 & 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.68. Find the inverse of each of the following $n \times n$ matrices:

- (a) A has 1's on the diagonal and superdiagonal (entries directly above the diagonal) and 0's elsewhere.
- (b) *B* has 1's on and above the diagonal, and 0's below the diagonal.

Lu Factorization

3.69. Find the LU factorization of each of the following matrices:

	[1	-1	-1^{-1}		[1	3	-1		2	3	6]		[1	2	3]
(a)	3	-4	-2	, (b)	2	5	1	, (c)	4	7	9	, (d)	2	4	7
	2	-3	-2		3	4	2		3	5	4		3	7	10

3.70. Let A be the matrix in Problem 3.69(a). Find X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 , where

- (a) X_1 is the solution of $AX = B_1$, where $B_1 = (1, 1, 1)^T$.
- (b) For k > 1, X_k is the solution of $AX = B_k$, where $B_k = B_{k-1} + X_{k-1}$.

3.71. Let *B* be the matrix in Problem 3.69(b). Find the *LDU* factorization of *B*.

Miscellaneous Problems

3.72. Consider the following systems in unknowns x and y:

(a)
$$ax + by = 1$$

 $cx + dy = 0$ (b) $ax + by = 0$
 $cx + dy = 1$

Suppose $D = ad - bc \neq 0$. Show that each system has the unique solution:

(a)
$$x = d/D$$
, $y = -c/D$, (b) $x = -b/D$, $y = a/D$.

- **3.73.** Find the inverse of the row operation "Replace R_i by $kR_j + k'R_i$ $(k' \neq 0)$."
- **3.74.** Prove that deleting the last column of an echelon form (respectively, the row canonical form) of an augmented matrix M = [A, B] yields an echelon form (respectively, the row canonical form) of A.
- **3.75.** Let e be an elementary row operation and E its elementary matrix, and let f be the corresponding elementary column operation and F its elementary matrix. Prove

(a)
$$f(A) = (e(A^T))^T$$
, (b) $F = E^T$, (c) $f(A) = AF$.

- **3.76.** Matrix A is *equivalent* to matrix B, written $A \approx B$, if there exist nonsingular matrices P and Q such that B = PAQ. Prove that \approx is an *equivalence* relation; that is,
 - (a) $A \approx A$, (b) If $A \approx B$, then $B \approx A$, (c) If $A \approx B$ and $B \approx C$, then $A \approx C$.

 109^{-1}

ANSWERS TO SUPPLEMENTARY PROBLEMS

Notation: $A = [R_1; R_2; \ldots]$ denotes the matrix A with rows R_1, R_2, \ldots . The elements in each row are separated by commas (which may be omitted with single digits), the rows are separated by semicolons, and 0 denotes a zero row. For example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1, 2, 3, 4; & 5, -6, 7, -8; & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & -6 & 7 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **3.49.** (a) no, (b) yes, (c) linear in x, y, z, not linear in x, y, z, k
- **3.50.** (a) $x = 2/\pi$, (b) no solution, (c) every scalar k is a solution
- **3.51.** (a) (2,-1), (b) no solution, (c) (5,2), (d) (5-2a, a)
- **3.52.** (a) $a \neq \pm 2$, (2,2), (-2,-2), (b) $a \neq \pm 6$, (6,4), (-6,-4), (c) $a \neq \frac{5}{2}$, ($\frac{5}{2}$, 6)
- **3.53.** (a) $(2, 1, \frac{1}{2})$, (b) no solution, (c) u = (-7a 1, 2a + 2, a).
- **3.54.** (a) (3,-1), (b) u = (-a+2b, 1+2a-2b, a, b), (c) no solution
- **3.55.** (a) $u = (\frac{1}{2}a + 2, a, \frac{1}{2}),$ (b) $u = (\frac{1}{2}(7 5b 4a), a, \frac{1}{2}(1 + b), b)$
- **3.56.** (a) $a \neq \pm 3$, (3,3), (-3,-3), (b) $a \neq 5$ and $a \neq -1$, (5,7), (-1,-5), (c) $a \neq 1$ and $a \neq -2$, (-2,5)
- **3.57.** (a) 2, -1, 3, (b) 6, -3, 1, (c) not possible
- **3.58.** (a) 3, -2, 1, (b) $\frac{2}{3}$, -1, $\frac{1}{3}$, (c) $\frac{2}{3}$, $\frac{1}{7}$, $\frac{1}{21}$
- **3.59.** (a) dim W = 1, $u_1 = (-1, 1, 1)$, (b) dim W = 0, no basis, (c) dim W = 2, $u_1 = (-2, 1, 0, 0)$, $u_2 = (5, 0, -2, 1)$
- **3.60.** (a) dim W = 3, $u_1 = (-3, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, $u_2 = (7, 0, -3, 1, 0)$, $u_3 = (3, 0, -1, 0, 1)$, (b) dim W = 2, $u_1 = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, $u_2 = (5, 0, -5, -3, 1)$
- **3.61.** (a) $[1,0,-\frac{1}{2}; 0,1,\frac{5}{2}; 0]$, (b) [1,2,0,0,2; 0,0,1,0,5; 0,0,0,1,2], (c) $[1,2,0,4,-5,3; 0,0,1,-5,\frac{15}{2},-\frac{5}{2}; 0]$
- **3.62.** (a) [1, 2, 0, 0, -4, -2; 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2; 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1; 0],(b) [0, 1, 0, 0; 0, 0, 1, 0; 0, 0, 0, 1; 0], (c) [1, 0, 0, 4; 0, 1, 0, -1; 0, 0, 1, 2; 0]
- **3.63.** 5: [1,0; 0,1], [1,1; 0,0], [1,0; 0,0], [0,1; 0,0], 0
- **3.64.** 16
- **3.65.** (a) [1,0,0; 0,0,1; 0,1,0], [1,0,0; 0,3,0; 0,0,1], [1,0,2; 0,1,0; 0,0,1],(b) $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3; \frac{1}{3}R_2 \rightarrow R_2; -2R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1;$ each $E'_i = E_i^{-1},$ (c) $C_2 \leftrightarrow C_3, 3C_2 \rightarrow C_2, 2C_3 + C_1 \rightarrow C_1,$ (d) each $F_i = E_i^T.$
- **3.66.** $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1, 0; & 3, 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1, 0; & 0, -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1, 2; & 0, 1 \end{bmatrix}$, *B* is not invertible, $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1, 0; & -\frac{3}{2}, 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1, 0; & 0, 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1, 6; & 0, 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2, 0; & 0, 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $D = \begin{bmatrix} 100; & 010; & 301 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 100; & 010; & 021 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 100; & 013; & 001 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 120; & 010; & 001 \end{bmatrix}$
- **3.67.** $A^{-1} = [-8, 12, -5; -5, 7, -3; 1, -2, 1],$ *B* has no inverse, $C^{-1} = [\frac{29}{2}, -\frac{17}{2}, \frac{7}{2}; -\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; 3, -2, 1],$ $D^{-1} = [8, -3, -1; -5, 2, 1; 10, -4, -1]$

- **3.68.** $A^{-1} = [1, -1, 1, -1, \dots; 0, 1, -1, 1, -1, \dots; 0, 0, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, \dots; \dots; 0, \dots, 0, 1]$ B^{-1} has 1's on diagonal, -1's on superdiagonal, and 0's elsewhere.
- **3.69.** (a) [100; 310; 211][1, -1, -1; 0, -1, 1; 0, 0, -1],(b) [100; 210; 351][1, 3, -1; 0, -1, 3; 0, 0, -10],(c) $[100; 210; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1][2, 3, 6; 0, 1, -3; 0, 0, -\frac{7}{2}],$ (d) There is no LU decomposition.
- **3.70.** $X_1 = [1, 1, -1]^T$, $B_2 = [2, 2, 0]^T$, $X_2 = [6, 4, 0]^T$, $B_3 = [8, 6, 0]^T$, $X_3 = [22, 16, -2]^T$, $B_4 = [30, 22, -2]^T$, $X_4 = [86, 62, -6]^T$
- **3.71.** $B = \begin{bmatrix} 100; & 210; & 351 \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(1, -1, -10) \begin{bmatrix} 1, 3, -1; & 0, 1, 3; & 0, 0, 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- **3.73.** Replace R_i by $-kR_i + (1/k')R_i$.
- **3.75.** (c) $f(A) = (e(A^T))^T = (EA^T)^T = (A^T)^T E^T = AF$
- **3.76.** (a) A = IAI. (b) If A = PBQ, then $B = P^{-1}AQ^{-1}$. (c) If A = PBQ and B = P'CQ', then A = (PP')C(Q'Q).

111



Vector Spaces

4.1 Introduction

This chapter introduces the underlying structure of linear algebra, that of a finite-dimensional vector space. The definition of a vector space V, whose elements are called *vectors*, involves an arbitrary field K, whose elements are called *scalars*. The following notation will be used (unless otherwise stated or implied):

V	the given vector space
u, v, w	vectors in V
K	the given number field
a, b, c, or k	scalars in <i>K</i>

Almost nothing essential is lost if the reader assumes that K is the real field **R** or the complex field **C**. The reader might suspect that the real line **R** has "dimension" one, the cartesian plane \mathbf{R}^2 has "dimension" two, and the space \mathbf{R}^3 has "dimension" three. This chapter formalizes the notion of "dimension," and this definition will agree with the reader's intuition.

Throughout this text, we will use the following set notation:

$a \in A$	Element <i>a</i> belongs to set <i>A</i>
$a, b \in A$	Elements a and b belong to A
$\forall x \in A$	For every x in A
$\exists x \in A$	There exists an x in A
$A \subseteq B$	A is a subset of B
$A \cap B$	Intersection of A and B
$A \cup B$	Union of A and B
Ø	Empty set

4.2 Vector Spaces

The following defines the notion of a vector space V where K is the field of scalars.

DEFINITION: Let *V* be a nonempty set with two operations:

- (i) *Vector Addition:* This assigns to any $u, v \in V$ a sum u + v in V.
- (ii) *Scalar Multiplication:* This assigns to any $u \in V$, $k \in K$ a *product* $ku \in V$.

Then *V* is called a *vector space* (over the field *K*) if the following axioms hold for any vectors $u, v, w \in V$:



- $[A_1] \quad (u+v) + w = u + (v+w)$
- [A₂] There is a vector in V, denoted by 0 and called the zero vector, such that, for any $u \in V$,

$$u+0=0+u=u$$

[A₃] For each $u \in V$, there is a vector in V, denoted by -u, and called the *negative* of u, such that

$$u + (-u) = (-u) + u = 0.$$

- $\begin{bmatrix} A_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad u + v = v + u. \\ \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad k(u + v) = ku + kv, \text{ for any scalar } k \in K. \\ \end{bmatrix}$
- [M₂] (a+b)u = au + bu, for any scalars $a, b \in K$.
- [M₃] (ab)u = a(bu), for any scalars $a, b \in K$.
- $[M_4]$ 1u = u, for the unit scalar $1 \in K$.

The above axioms naturally split into two sets (as indicated by the labeling of the axioms). The first four are concerned only with the additive structure of V and can be summarized by saying V is a *commutative group* under addition. This means

- (a) Any sum $v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_m$ of vectors requires no parentheses and does not depend on the order of the summands.
- (b) The zero vector 0 is unique, and the negative -u of a vector u is unique.
- (c) (Cancellation Law) If u + w = v + w, then u = v.

Also, subtraction in V is defined by u - v = u + (-v), where -v is the unique negative of v.

On the other hand, the remaining four axioms are concerned with the "action" of the field K of scalars on the vector space V. Using these additional axioms, we prove (Problem 4.2) the following simple properties of a vector space.

THEOREM 4.1: Let V be a vector space over a field K.

- (i) For any scalar $k \in K$ and $0 \in V$, k0 = 0.
- (ii) For $0 \in K$ and any vector $u \in V$, 0u = 0.
- (iii) If ku = 0, where $k \in K$ and $u \in V$, then k = 0 or u = 0.
- (iv) For any $k \in K$ and any $u \in V$, (-k)u = k(-u) = -ku.

4.3 Examples of Vector Spaces

This section lists important examples of vector spaces that will be used throughout the text.

Space Kⁿ

Let K be an arbitrary field. The notation K^n is frequently used to denote the set of all *n*-tuples of elements in K. Here K^n is a vector space over K using the following operations:

- (i) Vector Addition: $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$
- (ii) Scalar Multiplication: $k(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (ka_1, ka_2, \dots, ka_n)$

The zero vector in K^n is the *n*-tuple of zeros,

 $0 = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$

and the negative of a vector is defined by

$$-(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (-a_1, -a_2, \dots, -a_n)$$

Observe that these are the same as the operations defined for \mathbf{R}^n in Chapter 1. The proof that K^n is a vector space is identical to the proof of Theorem 1.1, which we now regard as stating that \mathbf{R}^n with the operations defined there is a vector space over \mathbf{R} .

113



Polynomial Space P(t)

Let $\mathbf{P}(t)$ denote the set of all polynomials of the form

$$p(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_s t^s$$
 (s = 1, 2, ...)

where the coefficients a_i belong to a field K. Then $\mathbf{P}(t)$ is a vector space over K using the following operations:

- (i) Vector Addition: Here p(t) + q(t) in $\mathbf{P}(t)$ is the usual operation of addition of polynomials.
- (ii) *Scalar Multiplication:* Here kp(t) in $\mathbf{P}(t)$ is the usual operation of the product of a scalar k and a polynomial p(t).

The zero polynomial 0 is the zero vector in $\mathbf{P}(t)$.

Polynomial Space $P_n(t)$

Let $\mathbf{P}_n(t)$ denote the set of all polynomials p(t) over a field K, where the degree of p(t) is less than or equal to n; that is,

$$p(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_s t^s$$

where $s \le n$. Then $\mathbf{P}_n(t)$ is a vector space over K with respect to the usual operations of addition of polynomials and of multiplication of a polynomial by a constant (just like the vector space $\mathbf{P}(t)$ above). We include the zero polynomial 0 as an element of $\mathbf{P}_n(t)$, even though its degree is undefined.

Matrix Space M_{m.n}

The notation $\mathbf{M}_{m,n}$, or simply \mathbf{M} , will be used to denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in a field K. Then $\mathbf{M}_{m,n}$ is a vector space over K with respect to the usual operations of matrix addition and scalar multiplication of matrices, as indicated by Theorem 2.1.

Function Space F(X)

Let X be a nonempty set and let K be an arbitrary field. Let F(X) denote the set of all functions of X into K. [Note that F(X) is nonempty, because X is nonempty.] Then F(X) is a vector space over K with respect to the following operations:

(i) *Vector Addition:* The sum of two functions f and g in F(X) is the function f + g in F(X) defined by

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \qquad \forall x \in X$$

(ii) **Scalar Multiplication:** The product of a scalar $k \in K$ and a function f in F(X) is the function kf in F(X) defined by

 $(kf)(x) = kf(x) \qquad \forall x \in X$

The zero vector in F(X) is the zero function **0**, which maps every $x \in X$ into the zero element $0 \in K$;

$$\mathbf{0}(x) = 0 \qquad \forall x \in X$$

Also, for any function f in F(X), negative of f is the function -f in F(X) defined by

$$(-f)(x) = -f(x) \qquad \forall x \in X$$

Fields and Subfields

Suppose a field E is an extension of a field K; that is, suppose E is a field that contains K as a subfield. Then E may be viewed as a vector space over K using the following operations:

- (i) Vector Addition: Here u + v in E is the usual addition in E.
- (ii) *Scalar Multiplication:* Here ku in E, where $k \in K$ and $u \in E$, is the usual product of k and u as elements of E.

That is, the eight axioms of a vector space are satisfied by E and its subfield K with respect to the above two operations.

4.4 Linear Combinations, Spanning Sets

Let V be a vector space over a field K. A vector v in V is a *linear combination* of vectors u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m in V if there exist scalars a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m in K such that

 $v = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \cdots + a_mu_m$

Alternatively, v is a linear combination of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m if there is a solution to the vector equation

$$v = x_1u_1 + x_2u_2 + \cdots + x_mu_m$$

where x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m are unknown scalars.

EXAMPLE 4.1 (Linear Combinations in \mathbb{R}^n) Suppose we want to express v = (3, 7, -4) in \mathbb{R}^3 as a linear combination of the vectors

$$u_1 = (1, 2, 3),$$
 $u_2 = (2, 3, 7),$ $u_3 = (3, 5, 6)$

We seek scalars x, y, z such that $v = xu_1 + yu_2 + zu_3$; that is,

Γ	3		[1]		[2]		[3]		x + 2y + 3z = 1	3
	3	= x	2	+y	3	+z	5	or	2x + 3y + 5z = 1	7
Ľ	-4		3_		7		6		3x + 7y + 6z = -4	4

(For notational convenience, we have written the vectors in \mathbf{R}^3 as columns, because it is then easier to find the equivalent system of linear equations.) Reducing the system to echelon form yields

x + 2y + 3z = 0	3		x + 2y + 3z =	3
-y - z =	1	and then	-y - z =	1
y - 3z = -1	3		-4z = -	-12

Back-substitution yields the solution x = 2, y = -4, z = 3. Thus, $v = 2u_1 - 4u_2 + 3u_3$.

Remark: Generally speaking, the question of expressing a given vector v in K^n as a linear combination of vectors u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m in K^n is equivalent to solving a system AX = B of linear equations, where v is the column B of constants, and the u's are the columns of the coefficient matrix A. Such a system may have a unique solution (as above), many solutions, or no solution. The last case—no solution—means that v cannot be written as a linear combination of the u's.

EXAMPLE 4.2 (Linear combinations in P(t)) Suppose we want to express the polynomial $v = 3t^2 + 5t - 5$ as a linear combination of the polynomials

$$p_1 = t^2 + 2t + 1,$$
 $p_2 = 2t^2 + 5t + 4,$ $p_3 = t^2 + 3t + 6$

We seek scalars x, y, z such that $v = xp_1 + yp_2 + zp_3$; that is,

$$3t^{2} + 5t - 5 = x(t^{2} + 2t + 1) + y(2t^{2} + 5t + 4) + z(t^{2} + 3t + 6)$$
(*)

There are two ways to proceed from here.

(1) Expand the right-hand side of (*) obtaining:

$$3t^{2} + 5t - 5 = xt^{2} + 2xt + x + 2yt^{2} + 5yt + 4y + zt^{2} + 3zt + 6z$$
$$= (x + 2y + z)t^{2} + (2x + 5y + 3z)t + (x + 4y + 6z)$$

Set coefficients of the same powers of t equal to each other, and reduce the system to echelon form:

x + 2y + z = 3		x + 2y + z = 3		x + 2y + z = 3
2x + 5y + 3z = 5	or	y + z = -1	or	y + z = -1
x + 4y + 6z = -5		2y + 5z = -8		3z = -6



The system is in triangular form and has a solution. Back-substitution yields the solution x = 3, y = 1, z = -2. Thus,

 $v = 3p_1 + p_2 - 2p_3$

(2) The equation (*) is actually an identity in the variable t; that is, the equation holds for any value of t. We can obtain three equations in the unknowns x, y, z by setting t equal to any three values. For example,

 Set t = 0 in (1) to obtain:
 x + 4y + 6z = -5

 Set t = 1 in (1) to obtain:
 4x + 11y + 10z = 3

 Set t = -1 in (1) to obtain:
 y + 4z = -7

Reducing this system to echelon form and solving by back-substitution again yields the solution x = 3, y = 1, z = -2. Thus (again), $v = 3p_1 + p_2 - 2p_3$.

Spanning Sets

Let V be a vector space over K. Vectors u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m in V are said to span V or to form a spanning set of V if every v in V is a linear combination of the vectors u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m —that is, if there exist scalars a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m in K such that

 $v = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_mu_m$

The following remarks follow directly from the definition.

Remark 1: Suppose u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m span V. Then, for any vector w, the set w, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m also spans V.

Remark 2: Suppose u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m span V and suppose u_k is a linear combination of some of the other u's. Then the u's without u_k also span V.

Remark 3: Suppose u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m span V and suppose one of the u's is the zero vector. Then the u's without the zero vector also span V.

EXAMPLE 4.3 Consider the vector space $V = \mathbf{R}^3$.

(a) We claim that the following vectors form a spanning set of \mathbf{R}^3 :

$$e_1 = (1, 0, 0),$$
 $e_2 = (0, 1, 0),$ $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$

Specifically, if v = (a, b, c) is any vector in \mathbb{R}^3 , then

$$v = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$$

For example, $v = (5, -6, 2) = -5e_1 - 6e_2 + 2e_3$.

(b) We claim that the following vectors also form a spanning set of \mathbf{R}^3 :

 $w_1 = (1, 1, 1),$ $w_2 = (1, 1, 0),$ $w_3 = (1, 0, 0)$

Specifically, if v = (a, b, c) is any vector in \mathbf{R}^3 , then (Problem 4.62)

$$v = (a, b, c) = cw_1 + (b - c)w_2 + (a - b)w_3$$

For example, $v = (5, -6, 2) = 2w_1 - 8w_2 + 11w_3$.

(c) One can show (Problem 3.24) that v = (2, 7, 8) cannot be written as a linear combination of the vectors

$$u_1 = (1, 2, 3),$$
 $u_2 = (1, 3, 5),$ $u_3 = (1, 5, 9)$

Accordingly, u_1 , u_2 , u_3 do not span \mathbb{R}^3 .

EXAMPLE 4.4 Consider the vector space $V = \mathbf{P}_n(t)$ consisting of all polynomials of degree $\leq n$.

(a) Clearly every polynomial in $\mathbf{P}_n(t)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the n + 1 polynomials

$$1, \quad t, \quad t^2, \quad t^3, \quad \ldots, \quad t^n$$

Thus, these powers of t (where $1 = t^0$) form a spanning set for $\mathbf{P}_n(t)$.

(b) One can also show that, for any scalar c, the following n + 1 powers of t - c,

1,
$$t-c$$
, $(t-c)^2$, $(t-c)^3$, ..., $(t-c)$

(where $(t-c)^0 = 1$), also form a spanning set for $\mathbf{P}_n(t)$.

EXAMPLE 4.5 Consider the vector space $M = M_{2,2}$ consisting of all 2×2 matrices, and consider the following four matrices in M:

$$E_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad E_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad E_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad E_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then clearly any matrix A in M can be written as a linear combination of the four matrices. For example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -6\\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = 5E_{11} - 6E_{12} + 7E_{21} + 8E_{22}$$

Accordingly, the four matrices E_{11} , E_{12} , E_{21} , E_{22} span M.

4.5 Subspaces

This section introduces the important notion of a subspace.

DEFINITION: Let V be a vector space over a field K and let W be a subset of V. Then W is a *subspace* of V if W is itself a vector space over K with respect to the operations of vector addition and scalar multiplication on V.

The way in which one shows that any set W is a vector space is to show that W satisfies the eight axioms of a vector space. However, if W is a subset of a vector space V, then some of the axioms automatically hold in W, because they already hold in V. Simple criteria for identifying subspaces follow.

- **THEOREM 4.2:** Suppose *W* is a subset of a vector space *V*. Then *W* is a subspace of *V* if the following two conditions hold:
 - (a) The zero vector 0 belongs to W.
 - (b) For every $u, v \in W, k \in K$: (i) The sum $u + v \in W$. (ii) The multiple $ku \in W$.

Property (i) in (b) states that W is *closed under vector addition*, and property (ii) in (b) states that W is *closed under scalar multiplication*. Both properties may be combined into the following equivalent single statement:

(b') For every $u, v \in W, a, b \in K$, the linear combination $au + bv \in W$.

Now let V be any vector space. Then V automatically contains two subspaces: the set $\{0\}$ consisting of the zero vector alone and the whole space V itself. These are sometimes called the *trivial* subspaces of V. Examples of nontrivial subspaces follow.

EXAMPLE 4.6 Consider the vector space $V = \mathbf{R}^3$.

(a) Let U consist of all vectors in \mathbf{R}^3 whose entries are equal; that is,

$$U = \{(a, b, c) : a = b = c\}$$

For example, (1, 1, 1), (-3, -3, -3), (7, 7, 7), (-2, -2, -2) are vectors in U. Geometrically, U is the line through the origin O and the point (1, 1, 1) as shown in Fig. 4-1(a). Clearly 0 = (0, 0, 0) belongs to U, because



all entries in 0 are equal. Further, suppose u and v are arbitrary vectors in U, say, u = (a, a, a) and v = (b, b, b). Then, for any scalar $k \in \mathbf{R}$, the following are also vectors in U:

$$u+v=(a+b, a+b, a+b)$$
 and $ku=(ka, ka, ka)$

Thus, U is a subspace of \mathbf{R}^3 .

(b) Let W be any plane in \mathbb{R}^3 passing through the origin, as pictured in Fig. 4-1(b). Then 0 = (0, 0, 0) belongs to W, because we assumed W passes through, the origin O. Further, suppose u and v are vectors in W. Then u and v may be viewed as arrows in the plane W emanating from the origin O, as in Fig. 4-1(b). The sum u + v and any multiple ku of u also lie in the plane W. Thus, W is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .





EXAMPLE 4.7

- (a) Let $V = \mathbf{M}_{n,n}$, the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices. Let W_1 be the subset of all (upper) triangular matrices and let W_2 be the subset of all symmetric matrices. Then W_1 is a subspace of V, because W_1 contains the zero matrix 0 and W_1 is closed under matrix addition and scalar multiplication; that is, the sum and scalar multiple of such triangular matrices are also triangular. Similarly, W_2 is a subspace of V.
- (b) Let $V = \mathbf{P}(t)$, the vector space $\mathbf{P}(t)$ of polynomials. Then the space $\mathbf{P}_n(t)$ of polynomials of degree at most *n* may be viewed as a subspace of $\mathbf{P}(t)$. Let $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ be the collection of polynomials with only even powers of *t*. For example, the following are polynomials in $\mathbf{Q}(t)$:

 $p_1 = 3 + 4t^2 - 5t^6$ and $p_2 = 6 - 7t^4 + 9t^6 + 3t^{12}$

(We assume that any constant $k = kt^0$ is an even power of t.) Then $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ is a subspace of $\mathbf{P}(t)$.

(c) Let V be the vector space of real-valued functions. Then the collection W_1 of continuous functions and the collection W_2 of differentiable functions are subspaces of V.

Intersection of Subspaces

Let U and W be subspaces of a vector space V. We show that the intersection $U \cap W$ is also a subspace of V. Clearly, $0 \in U$ and $0 \in W$, because U and W are subspaces; whence $0 \in U \cap W$. Now suppose u and v belong to the intersection $U \cap W$. Then $u, v \in U$ and $u, v \in W$. Further, because U and W are subspaces, for any scalars $a, b \in K$,

 $au + bv \in U$ and $au + bv \in W$

Thus, $au + bv \in U \cap W$. Therefore, $U \cap W$ is a subspace of V.

The above result generalizes as follows.

THEOREM 4.3: The intersection of any number of subspaces of a vector space V is a subspace of V.

Solution Space of a Homogeneous System

Consider a system AX = B of linear equations in *n* unknowns. Then every solution *u* may be viewed as a vector in K^n . Thus, the solution set of such a system is a subset of K^n . Now suppose the system is homogeneous; that is, suppose the system has the form AX = 0. Let *W* be its solution set. Because A0 = 0, the zero vector $0 \in W$. Moreover, suppose *u* and *v* belong to *W*. Then *u* and *v* are solutions of AX = 0, or, in other words, Au = 0 and Av = 0. Therefore, for any scalars *a* and *b*, we have

$$A(au + bv) = aAu + bAv = a0 + b0 = 0 + 0 = 0$$

Thus, au + bv belongs to W, because it is a solution of AX = 0. Accordingly, W is a subspace of K^n . We state the above result formally.

THEOREM 4.4: The solution set W of a homogeneous system AX = 0 in n unknowns is a subspace of K^n .

We emphasize that the solution set of a nonhomogeneous system AX = B is not a subspace of K^n . In fact, the zero vector 0 does not belong to its solution set.

4.6 Linear Spans, Row Space of a Matrix

Suppose u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m are any vectors in a vector space V. Recall (Section 4.4) that any vector of the form $a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \cdots + a_mu_m$, where the a_i are scalars, is called a *linear combination* of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m . The collection of all such linear combinations, denoted by

 $\operatorname{span}(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m)$ or $\operatorname{span}(u_i)$

is called the *linear span* of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m .

Clearly the zero vector 0 belongs to $span(u_i)$, because

 $0=0u_1+0u_2+\cdots+0u_m$

Furthermore, suppose v and v' belong to $\text{span}(u_i)$, say,

 $v = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_mu_m$ and $v' = b_1u_1 + b_2u_2 + \dots + b_mu_m$

Then,

$$v + v' = (a_1 + b_1)u_1 + (a_2 + b_2)u_2 + \dots + (a_m + b_m)u_m$$

and, for any scalar $k \in K$,

 $kv = ka_1u_1 + ka_2u_2 + \dots + ka_mu_m$

Thus, v + v' and kv also belong to span (u_i) . Accordingly, span (u_i) is a subspace of V.

More generally, for any subset S of V, span(S) consists of all linear combinations of vectors in S or, when $S = \phi$, span(S) = {0}. Thus, in particular, S is a spanning set (Section 4.4) of span(S).

The following theorem, which was partially proved above, holds.

THEOREM 4.5: Let S be a subset of a vector space V.

- (i) Then $\operatorname{span}(S)$ is a subspace of V that contains S.
- (ii) If W is a subspace of V containing S, then span(S) $\subseteq W$.

Condition (ii) in theorem 4.5 may be interpreted as saying that span(S) is the "smallest" subspace of V containing S.

EXAMPLE 4.8 Consider the vector space $V = \mathbf{R}^3$.

(a) Let u be any nonzero vector in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then span(u) consists of all scalar multiples of u. Geometrically, span(u) is the line through the origin O and the endpoint of u, as shown in Fig. 4-2(a).

119



- Figure 4-2
- (b) Let u and v be vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 that are not multiples of each other. Then span(u, v) is the plane through the origin O and the endpoints of u and v as shown in Fig. 4-2(b).
- (c) Consider the vectors $e_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Recall [Example 4.1(a)] that every vector in \mathbb{R}^3 is a linear combination of e_1 , e_2 , e_3 . That is, e_1 , e_2 , e_3 form a spanning set of \mathbb{R}^3 . Accordingly, span $(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \mathbb{R}^3$.

Row Space of a Matrix

Let $A = [a_{ii}]$ be an arbitrary $m \times n$ matrix over a field K. The rows of A,

$$R_1 = (a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}), \qquad R_2 = (a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2n}), \qquad \dots, \qquad R_m = (a_{m1}, a_{m2}, \dots, a_{mn})$$

may be viewed as vectors in K^n ; hence, they span a subspace of K^n called the *row space* of A and denoted by rowsp(A). That is,

 $\operatorname{rowsp}(A) = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$

Analagously, the columns of A may be viewed as vectors in K^m called the *column space* of A and denoted by colsp(A). Observe that $colsp(A) = rowsp(A^T)$.

Recall that matrices A and B are row equivalent, written $A \sim B$, if B can be obtained from A by a sequence of elementary row operations. Now suppose M is the matrix obtained by applying one of the following elementary row operations on a matrix A:

(1) Interchange R_i and R_i , (2) Replace R_i by kR_i , (3) Replace R_i by $kR_i + R_i$

Then each row of M is a row of A or a linear combination of rows of A. Hence, the row space of M is contained in the row space of A. On the other hand, we can apply the inverse elementary row operation on M to obtain A; hence, the row space of A is contained in the row space of M. Accordingly, A and M have the same row space. This will be true each time we apply an elementary row operation. Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.6: Row equivalent matrices have the same row space.

We are now able to prove (Problems 4.45–4.47) basic results on row equivalence (which first appeared as Theorems 3.7 and 3.8 in Chapter 3).

THEOREM 4.7: Suppose $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ are row equivalent echelon matrices with respective pivot entries

 $a_{1i_1}, a_{2i_2}, \ldots, a_{ri_r}$ and $b_{1k_1}, b_{2k_2}, \ldots, b_{sk_r}$

Then A and B have the same number of nonzero rows—that is, r = s—and their pivot entries are in the same positions—that is, $j_1 = k_1, j_2 = k_2, \dots, j_r = k_r$.

THEOREM 4.8: Suppose *A* and *B* are row canonical matrices. Then *A* and *B* have the same row space if and only if they have the same nonzero rows.

u

COROLLARY 4.9: Every matrix A is row equivalent to a unique matrix in row canonical form.

We apply the above results in the next example.

EXAMPLE 4.9 Consider the following two sets of vectors in \mathbf{R}^4 :

$$u_1 = (1, 2, -1, 3),$$
 $u_2 = (2, 4, 1, -2),$ $u_3 = (3, 6, 3, -7)$
 $w_1 = (1, 2, -4, 11),$ $w_2 = (2, 4, -5, 14)$

Let $U = \operatorname{span}(u_i)$ and $W = \operatorname{span}(w_i)$. There are two ways to show that U = W.

- (a) Show that each u_i is a linear combination of w_1 and w_2 , and show that each w_i is a linear combination of u_1 , u_2 , u_3 . Observe that we have to show that six systems of linear equations are consistent.
- (b) Form the matrix A whose rows are u_1 , u_2 , u_3 and row reduce A to row canonical form, and form the matrix B whose rows are w_1 and w_2 and row reduce B to row canonical form:

	[1	2	-1	3		1	2	-1	3]	[1	2	0	$\frac{1}{3}$
A =	2	4	1	-2	\sim	0	0	3	-8	~	0	0	1	$-\frac{8}{3}$
	3	6	3	-7		0	0	6	-16		0	0	0	0
מ	1	2	-4	11]	۲.	1	2	-4	11]	[1	2	0		$\frac{1}{3}$
$\mathfrak{p} =$	2	4	-5	14	$\sim \lfloor 0$)	0	3	-8]	∼ [0	0	1	_	$\left[\frac{8}{3}\right]$

Because the nonzero rows of the matrices in row canonical form are identical, the row spaces of A and B are equal. Therefore, U = W.

Clearly, the method in (b) is more efficient than the method in (a).

4.7 Linear Dependence and Independence

Let V be a vector space over a field K. The following defines the notion of linear dependence and independence of vectors over K. (One usually suppresses mentioning K when the field is understood.) This concept plays an essential role in the theory of linear algebra and in mathematics in general.

DEFINITION: We say that the vectors $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$ in V are *linearly dependent* if there exist scalars $a_1, a_2, ..., a_m$ in K, not all of them 0, such that

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_mv_m = 0$$

Otherwise, we say that the vectors are *linearly independent*.

The above definition may be restated as follows. Consider the vector equation

$$x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + \dots + x_mv_m = 0$$

where the x's are unknown scalars. This equation always has the zero solution $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 0, \ldots, x_m = 0$. Suppose this is the only solution; that is, suppose we can show:

$$x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + \dots + x_mv_m = 0$$
 implies $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, \dots, x_m = 0$

Then the vectors v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m are linearly independent, On the other hand, suppose the equation (*) has a nonzero solution; then the vectors are linearly dependent.

A set $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ of vectors in V is linearly dependent or independent according to whether the vectors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m are linearly dependent or independent.

An infinite set S of vectors is linearly dependent or independent according to whether there do or do not exist vectors v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k in S that are linearly dependent.

Warning: The set $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ above represents a *list* or, in other words, a finite sequence of vectors where the vectors are ordered and repetition is permitted.

121

(*)

The following remarks follow directly from the above definition.

Remark 1: Suppose 0 is one of the vectors v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m , say $v_1 = 0$. Then the vectors must be linearly dependent, because we have the following linear combination where the coefficient of $v_1 \neq 0$:

$$1v_1 + 0v_2 + \dots + 0v_m = 1 \cdot 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 = 0$$

Remark 2: Suppose v is a nonzero vector. Then v, by itself, is linearly independent, because

 $kv = 0, \quad v \neq 0 \quad \text{implies}$ k = 0

Remark 3: Suppose two of the vectors v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m are equal or one is a scalar multiple of the other, say $v_1 = k v_2$. Then the vectors must be linearly dependent, because we have the following linear combination where the coefficient of $v_1 \neq 0$:

$$v_1 - kv_2 + 0v_3 + \dots + 0v_m = 0$$

Remark 4: Two vectors v_1 and v_2 are linearly dependent if and only if one of them is a multiple of the other.

Remark 5: If the set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ is linearly independent, then any rearrangement of the vectors $\{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_m}\}$ is also linearly independent.

Remark 6: If a set S of vectors is linearly independent, then any subset of S is linearly independent. Alternatively, if S contains a linearly dependent subset, then S is linearly dependent.

EXAMPLE 4.10

(a) Let
$$u = (1, 1, 0)$$
, $v = (1, 3, 2)$, $w = (4, 9, 5)$. Then u, v, w are linearly dependent, because

$$3u + 5v - 2w = 3(1, 1, 0) + 5(1, 3, 2) - 2(4, 9, 5) = (0, 0, 0) = 0$$

(b) We show that the vectors u = (1, 2, 3), v = (2, 5, 7), w = (1, 3, 5) are linearly independent. We form the vector equation xu + yv + zw = 0, where x, y, z are unknown scalars. This yields

$$x \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix} + y \begin{bmatrix} 2\\5\\7 \end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c} x + 2y + z = 0\\2x + 5y + 3z = 0\\3x + 7y + 5z = 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c} x + 2y + z = 0\\y + z = 0\\2z = 0 \end{array}$$

Back-substitution yields x = 0, y = 0, z = 0. We have shown that

$$xu + yv + zw = 0$$
 implies $x = 0$, $y = 0$, $z = 0$

Accordingly, *u*, *v*, *w* are linearly independent.

(c) Let V be the vector space of functions from **R** into **R**. We show that the functions $f(t) = \sin t$, $g(t) = e^t$, $h(t) = t^2$ are linearly independent. We form the vector (function) equation xf + yg + zh = 0, where x, y, z are unknown scalars. This function equation means that, for every value of t,

$$x\sin t + ye^t + zt^2 = 0$$

Thus, in this equation, we choose appropriate values of t to easily get x = 0, y = 0, z = 0. For example,

- (i) Substitute t = 0to obtain x(0) + y(1) + z(0) = 0v = 0or to obtain $x(0) + 0(e^{\pi}) + z(\pi^2) = 0$ to obtain $x(1) + 0(e^{\pi/2}) + 0(\pi^2/4) = 0$ (ii) Substitute $t = \pi$ z = 0
- or or (iii) Substitute $t = \pi/2$ x = 0

We have shown

 $x = 0, \quad y = 0, \quad z = 0$ xf + vg + zf = 0implies

Accordingly, u, v, w are linearly independent.



Linear Dependence in R^3

Linear dependence in the vector space $V = \mathbf{R}^3$ can be described geometrically as follows:

- (a) Any two vectors u and v in \mathbb{R}^3 are linearly dependent if and only if they lie on the same line through the origin O, as shown in Fig. 4-3(a).
- (b) Any three vectors u, v, w in \mathbb{R}^3 are linearly dependent if and only if they lie on the same plane through the origin O, as shown in Fig. 4-3(b).

Later, we will be able to show that any four or more vectors in \mathbf{R}^3 are automatically linearly dependent.



(a) u and v are linearly dependent.



Linear Dependence and Linear Combinations

The notions of linear dependence and linear combinations are closely related. Specifically, for more than one vector, we show that the vectors v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m are linearly dependent if and only if one of them is a linear combination of the others.

Suppose, say, v_i is a linear combination of the others,

$$v_i = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{i-1} v_{i-1} + a_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + a_m v_m$$

Then by adding $-v_i$ to both sides, we obtain

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_{i-1}v_{i-1} - v_i + a_{i+1}v_{i+1} + \dots + a_mv_m = 0$$

where the coefficient of v_i is not 0. Hence, the vectors are linearly dependent. Conversely, suppose the vectors are linearly dependent, say,

$$b_1v_1 + \dots + b_iv_i + \dots + b_mv_m = 0$$
, where $b_i \neq 0$

Then we can solve for v_i obtaining

$$v_j = b_j^{-1}b_1v_1 - \dots - b_j^{-1}b_{j-1}v_{j-1} - b_j^{-1}b_{j+1}v_{j+1} - \dots - b_j^{-1}b_mv_m$$

and so v_i is a linear combination of the other vectors.

We now state a slightly stronger statement than the one above. This result has many important consequences.

LEMMA 4.10: Suppose two or more nonzero vectors $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$ are linearly dependent. Then one of the vectors is a linear combination of the preceding vectors; that is, there exists k > 1 such that

$$v_k = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_{k-1} v_{k-1}$$