

FIGURE 1 A recursively defined picture.

We can use recursion to define sequences, functions, and sets. In Section 2.4, and in most beginning mathematics courses, the terms of a sequence are specified using an explicit formula. For instance, the sequence of powers of 2 is given by $a_n = 2^n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Recall from Section 2.4 that we can also define a sequence recursively by specifying how terms of the sequence are found from previous terms. The sequence of powers of 2 can also be defined by giving the first term of the sequence, namely, $a_0 = 1$, and a rule for finding a term of the sequence from the previous one, namely, $a_{n+1} = 2a_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. When we define a sequence recursively by specifying how terms of the sequence are found from previous terms, we can use induction to prove results about the sequence.

When we define a set recursively, we specify some initial elements in a basis step and provide a rule for constructing new elements from those we already have in the recursive step. To prove results about recursively defined sets we use a method called *structural induction*.

5.3.2 Recursively Defined Functions

We use two steps to define a function with the set of nonnegative integers as its domain:

BASIS STEP: Specify the value of the function at zero.

RECURSIVE STEP: Give a rule for finding its value at an integer from its values at smaller integers.

Such a definition is called a **recursive** or **inductive definition**. Note that a function f(n) from the set of nonnegative integers to the set of a real numbers is the same as a sequence a_0, a_1, \ldots , where a_i is a real number for every nonnegative integer *i*. So, defining a real-valued sequence a_0, a_1, \ldots using a recurrence relation, as was done in Section 2.4, is the same as defining a function from the set of nonnegative integers to the set of real numbers.



EXAMPLE 1 Suppose that *f* is defined recursively by

f(0) = 3,f(n + 1) = 2f(n) + 3.

Find *f*(1), *f*(2), *f*(3), and *f*(4).

Solution: From the recursive definition it follows that

$$f(1) = 2f(0) + 3 = 2 \cdot 3 + 3 = 9,$$

$$f(2) = 2f(1) + 3 = 2 \cdot 9 + 3 = 21,$$

$$f(3) = 2f(2) + 3 = 2 \cdot 21 + 3 = 45,$$

$$f(4) = 2f(3) + 3 = 2 \cdot 45 + 3 = 93.$$

Recursively defined functions are **well defined**. That is, for every positive integer, the value of the function at this integer is determined in an unambiguous way. This means that given any positive integer, we can use the two parts of the definition to find the value of the function at that integer, and that we obtain the same value no matter how we apply the two parts of the definition. This is a consequence of the principle of mathematical induction. (See Exercise 58.) Additional examples of recursive definitions are given in Examples 2 and 3.

EXAMPLE 2 Give a recursive definition of a^n , where a is a nonzero real number and n is a nonnegative integer.

Solution: The recursive definition contains two parts. First a^0 is specified, namely, $a^0 = 1$. Then the rule for finding a^{n+1} from a^n , namely, $a^{n+1} = a \cdot a^n$, for n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., is given. These two equations uniquely define a^n for all nonnegative integers n.

EXAMPLE 3 Give a recursive definition of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k.$$

Solution: The first part of the recursive definition is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{0} a_k = a_0.$$

The second part is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} a_k = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k\right) + a_{n+1}.$$

In some recursive definitions of functions, the values of the function at the first k positive integers are specified, and a rule is given for determining the value of the function at larger integers from its values at some or all of the preceding k integers. That recursive definitions defined in this way produce well-defined functions follows from strong induction (see Exercise 59).

368 5 / Induction and Recursion

Recall from Section 2.4 that the Fibonacci numbers, f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots , are defined by the equations $f_0 = 0, f_1 = 1$, and

Links

 $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$

for n = 2, 3, 4, ... [We can think of the Fibonacci number f_n either as the *n*th term of the sequence of Fibonacci numbers $f_0, f_1, ...$ or as the value at the integer *n* of a function f(n).]

We can use the recursive definition of the Fibonacci numbers to prove many properties of these numbers. We give one such property in Example 4.

EXAMPLE 4

Extra Examples

Show that whenever
$$n \ge 3$$
, $f_n > \alpha^{n-2}$, where $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$.

Solution: We can use strong induction to prove this inequality. Let P(n) be the statement $f_n > \alpha^{n-2}$. We want to show that P(n) is true whenever *n* is an integer greater than or equal to 3. *BASIS STEP:* First, note that

$$\alpha < 2 = f_3, \qquad \alpha^2 = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2 < 3 = f_4,$$

so P(3) and P(4) are true.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Assume that P(j) is true, namely, that $f_j > \alpha^{j-2}$, for all integers j with $3 \le j \le k$, where $k \ge 4$. We must show that P(k + 1) is true, that is, that $f_{k+1} > \alpha^{k-1}$. Because α is a solution of $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ (as the quadratic formula shows), it follows that $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$. Therefore,

$$\alpha^{k-1} = \alpha^2 \cdot \alpha^{k-3} = (\alpha+1)\alpha^{k-3} = \alpha \cdot \alpha^{k-3} + 1 \cdot \alpha^{k-3} = \alpha^{k-2} + \alpha^{k-3}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, because $k \ge 4$, we have

 $f_{k-1} > \alpha^{k-3}, \qquad f_k > \alpha^{k-2}.$

Therefore, it follows that

$$f_{k+1} = f_k + f_{k-1} > \alpha^{k-2} + \alpha^{k-3} = \alpha^{k-1}.$$

Hence, P(k + 1) is true. This completes the proof.

Remark: The inductive step of the proof by strong induction in Example 4 shows that whenever $k \ge 4$, P(k + 1) follows from the assumption that P(j) is true for $3 \le j \le k$. Hence, the inductive step does *not* show that $P(3) \rightarrow P(4)$. Therefore, we had to show that P(4) is true separately.

We can now show that the Euclidean algorithm, introduced in Section 4.3, uses $O(\log b)$ divisions to find the greatest common divisor of the positive integers *a* and *b*, where $a \ge b$.

THEOREM 1

LAMÉ'S THEOREM Let *a* and *b* be positive integers with $a \ge b$. Then the number of divisions used by the Euclidean algorithm to find gcd(a, b) is less than or equal to five times the number of decimal digits in *b*.

Proof: Recall that when the Euclidean algorithm is applied to find gcd(a, b) with $a \ge b$, this sequence of equations (where $a = r_0$ and $b = r_1$) is obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= r_1 q_1 + r_2 & 0 \leq r_2 < r_1, \\ r_1 &= r_2 q_2 + r_3 & 0 \leq r_3 < r_2, \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ r_{n-2} &= r_{n-1} q_{n-1} + r_n & 0 \leq r_n < r_{n-1}, \\ r_{n-1} &= r_n q_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here *n* divisions have been used to find $r_n = \text{gcd}(a, b)$. Note that the quotients $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{n-1}$ are all at least 1. Moreover, $q_n \ge 2$, because $r_n < r_{n-1}$. This implies that

$$\begin{split} r_n &\geq 1 = f_2, \\ r_{n-1} &\geq 2r_n \geq 2f_2 = f_3, \\ r_{n-2} &\geq r_{n-1} + r_n \geq f_3 + f_2 = f_4, \\ & \ddots \\ & & \ddots \\ r_2 &\geq r_3 + r_4 \geq f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} = f_n, \\ b &= r_1 \geq r_2 + r_3 \geq f_n + f_{n-1} = f_{n+1}. \end{split}$$

It follows that if *n* divisions are used by the Euclidean algorithm to find gcd(a, b) with $a \ge b$, then $b \ge f_{n+1}$. By Example 4 we know that $f_{n+1} > \alpha^{n-1}$ for n > 2, where $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. Therefore, it follows that $b > \alpha^{n-1}$. Furthermore, because $\log_{10} \alpha \approx 0.208 > 1/5$, we see that

 $\log_{10} b > (n-1)\log_{10} \alpha > (n-1)/5.$

Hence, $n - 1 < 5 \cdot \log_{10} b$. Now suppose that *b* has *k* decimal digits. Then $b < 10^k$ and $\log_{10} b < k$. It follows that n - 1 < 5k, and because *k* is an integer, it follows that $n \le 5k$. This finishes the proof.

Because the number of decimal digits in *b*, which equals $\lfloor \log_{10} b \rfloor + 1$, is less than or equal to $\log_{10} b + 1$, Theorem 1 tells us that the number of divisions required to find gcd(a, b) with

Links



FIBONACCI (1170–1250) Fibonacci (short for *filius Bonacci*, or "son of Bonacci") was also known as Leonardo of Pisa. He was born in the Italian commercial center of Pisa. Fibonacci was a merchant who traveled extensively throughout the Mideast, where he came into contact with Arabian mathematics. In his book *Liber Abaci*, Fibonacci introduced the European world to Arabic notation for numerals and algorithms for arithmetic. It was in this book that his well known rabbit problem (described in Section 8.1) appeared. Fibonacci also wrote books on geometry and trigonometry and on Diophantine equations, which involve finding integer solutions to equations.

©Mondadori Portfolio/ Hulton Fine Art Collection/Getty Images

a > b is less than or equal to $5(\log_{10} b + 1)$. Because $5(\log_{10} b + 1)$ is $O(\log b)$, we see that $O(\log b)$ divisions are used by the Euclidean algorithm to find gcd(a, b) whenever a > b.

5.3.3 Recursively Defined Sets and Structures

Assessment

We have explored how functions can be defined recursively. We now turn our attention to how sets can be defined recursively. Just as in the recursive definition of functions, recursive definitions of sets have two parts, a basis step and a recursive step. In the basis step, an initial collection of elements is specified. In the recursive step, rules for forming new elements in the set from those already known to be in the set are provided. Recursive definitions may also include an **exclusion rule**, which specifies that a recursively defined set contains nothing other than those elements specified in the basis step or generated by applications of the recursive step. In our discussions, we will always tacitly assume that the exclusion rule holds and no element belongs to a recursively defined set unless it is in the initial collection specified in the basis step or can be generated using the recursive step one or more times. Later we will see how we can use a technique known as structural induction to prove results about recursively defined sets.

Examples 5, 6, 8, and 9 illustrate the recursive definition of sets. In each example, we show those elements generated by the first few applications of the recursive step.

EXAMPLE 5 Consider the subset *S* of the set of integers recursively defined by

BASIS STEP: $3 \in S$.

RECURSIVE STEP: If $x \in S$ and $y \in S$, then $x + y \in S$.

The new elements found to be in S are 3 by the basis step, 3 + 3 = 6 at the first application of the recursive step, 3 + 6 = 6 + 3 = 9 and 6 + 6 = 12 at the second application of the recursive step, and so on. We will show in Example 10 that S is the set of all positive multiples of 3.

Recursive definitions play an important role in the study of strings. (See Chapter 13 for an introduction to the theory of formal languages, for example.) Recall from Section 2.4 that a string over an alphabet Σ is a finite sequence of symbols from Σ . We can define Σ^* , the set of strings over Σ , recursively, as Definition 1 shows.

Definition 1

The set Σ^* of *strings* over the alphabet Σ is defined recursively by *BASIS STEP:* $\lambda \in \Sigma^*$ (where λ is the empty string containing no symbols). **RECURSIVE STEP:** If $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma$, then $wx \in \Sigma^*$.

Links



©Paul Fearn/Alamy Stock Photo

GABRIEL LAMÉ (1795–1870) Gabriel Lamé entered the École Polytechnique in 1813, graduating in 1817. He continued his education at the École des Mines, graduating in 1820.

In 1820 Lamé went to Russia, where he was appointed director of the Schools of Highways and Transportation in St. Petersburg. Not only did he teach, but he also planned roads and bridges while in Russia. He returned to Paris in 1832, where he helped found an engineering firm. However, he soon left the firm, accepting the chair of physics at the École Polytechnique, which he held until 1844. While holding this position, he was active outside academia as an engineering consultant, serving as chief engineer of mines and participating in the building of railways.

Lamé contributed original work to number theory, applied mathematics, and thermodynamics. His bestknown work involves the introduction of curvilinear coordinates. His work on number theory includes proving Fermat's last theorem for n = 7, as well as providing the upper bound for the number of divisions used by the Euclidean algorithm given in this text.

In the opinion of Gauss, one of the most important mathematicians of all time, Lamé was the foremost French mathematician of his time. However, French mathematicians considered him too practical, whereas French scientists considered him too theoretical.

