

Moreover, in a similar way
it can be shown that
 $(K(X), H)$ is also a metric space.

Remark: For $A, B \in CB(X)$ and
 $a \in A$, and for $\epsilon > 0$, there
must exist a point $b \in B$
such that

$$d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + \epsilon.$$

Proposition: Let X be a metric
space. Then

$$H(A \cup B, C \cup D) \leq \max \{ H(A, C), H(B, D) \}$$

for all $A, B, C, D \in CB(X)$.

Proof:

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(A \cup B, C \cup D) &= \max \{ \delta(A, C \cup D), \delta(B, C \cup D) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \delta(A, C), \delta(B, D) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ H(A, C), H(B, D) \} \quad \text{--- (1)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\delta(C \cup D, A \cup B) \leq \max \{ H(A, C), H(B, D) \} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

By definition of H , we have

$$H(A \cup B, C \cup D) = \max \{ \delta(A \cup B, C \cup D), \delta(C \cup D, A \cup B) \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ H(A, C), H(B, D) \}$$

$\forall A, B, C, D \in CB(X)$.

Def: Let (X, d) be a metric space and $(CB(X), H)$ be a Hausdorff metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to be Lipschitzian if there exist a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$.

T is called contraction if $\alpha < 1$.

Def: Let $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$. A point $x \in X$ is called fixed point of T if $x \in Tx$. The set of fixed points of T is denoted by

$$\text{Fix}(T) = \{ x \in X : x \in Tx \}$$

Nadler's Fixed Point Theorem
Let X be a complete metric space
and $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ a contraction
mapping. Then T has a
fixed point in X .

Proof:

Suppose that $x_0 \in X$ and
 $x_1 \in Tx_0$. Then (by remark) ~~by~~
there exist $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) + k \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where $k \in (0, 1)$.

Similarly, there exist $x_3 \in Tx_2$
such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq H(Tx_1, Tx_2) + k^2 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

In similar way, there exist
a sequence (x_n) in X such

that $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + k^n \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + k^n \\
 &\leq kd(x_{n-1}, x_n) + k^n \\
 &\leq k[H(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + k^{n-1}] + k^n \\
 &\leq k[kd(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + k^{n-1}] + k^n
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= k^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + k^n + k^n \\
 &= k^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + 2k^n \\
 &\leq k^2 [H(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}) + k^{n-2}] + 2k^n \\
 &\leq k^2 [kd(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}) + k^{n-2}] + 2k^n \\
 &= k^3 d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}) + 3k^n
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq k^n d(x_0, x_1) + nk^n$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1) + nk^n \quad (4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_0, x_1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nk^n \quad (5)$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nk^n < \infty$,

we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \infty.$$

This shows that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence.

Since X is complete, there exist $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u.$$

i.e. $d(x_n, u) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By continuity of T , we have

$$H(Tx_n, Tu) = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Since $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$, so

$$d(x_{n+1}, Tu) = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow d(u, Tu) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u \in Tu.$$

$x \longrightarrow y$

Ex: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$d(x, y) = 0$ for $x = y$ and

$$d(1, 2) = 1, d(1, 3) = 2, \dots$$

$d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for $x \neq y$.

Def $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \{1, 2\} & ; x \in \{1, 3, 4\} \\ \{3\} & ; x \in \{2\} \end{cases}$$

Check whether T is a contraction or not.