

Decision Making Blunders at JCPenney

Ron Johnson (shown) and his executive team at JCPenney made a series of decision blunders due to their overconfident diagnosis of the retailer's problems and preconceived (Applecentric) solutions to those problems.



Rational Choice Paradigm

- View that effective decision makers identify, select, and apply the best possible alternative
- Two main elements of rational choice
 - Subjective expected utility –determines choice with highest value (maximization)
 - 2. Decision making process systematic stages of decision making



Rational Choice Decisionmaking Process



forwarded, distributed, or posted on a website, in whole or part.

Problem Identification Challenges

- Problems/opportunities are constructed from ambiguous information, not "given" to us
- Influenced by cognitive and emotional biases
- Five problem identification challenges
 - Stakeholder framing
 - Decisive leadership
 - Solution-focused problems
 - Perceptual defense
 - Mental models

Identifying Problems Effectively

- Be aware of perceptual and diagnostic limitations
- Fight against pressure to look decisive
- Maintain "divine discontent" (aversion to complacency)
- Discuss the situation with colleagues -- see different perspectives

Making Choices: Rational vs OB Observations

Rational Choice Paradigm Assumptions

Goals are clear, compatible, and agreed upon

Observations from Organizational Behavior

Goals are ambiguous, conflicting, and lack agreement

People are able to calculate all alternatives and their outcomes

People have limited information processing abilities

People evaluate all alternatives simultaneously

People evaluate alternatives sequentially



Making Choices: Rational vs OB Observations (con't)



Rational Choice Paradigm Assumptions

People use absolute standards to evaluate alternatives

Observations from Organizational Behavior

People evaluate alternatives against an implicit favorite

People make choices using factual information

People make choices using perceptually distorted information

People choose the alternative with the highest payoff (SEU)

People choose the alternative that is good enough (satisfice)

Biased Decision Heuristics

- Anchoring and adjustment
 - > We are anchored by and don't move far from an initial anchor point (e.g. opening bid)

Availability heuristic

> we estimate probabilities by how easy we can recall the event, even though other factors influence ease of recall

Representativeness heuristic

> we estimate probability of something by its similarity to something known rather than by more precise statistics

Problems with Maximization



- People don't try to select choice with highest value (maximization) because:
 - Alternatives appear sequentially, not all at once
 - People lack motivation/ability to process volumes of information
- How decision makers respond to maximization problems
 - Satisficing choose first "good enough" alternative
 - Oversimplifying decision calculations (e.g. few evaluation criteria)
 - Avoiding the decision

Emotions and Making Choices

- Emotions form preferences before we consciously evaluate those choices
- Moods and emotions influence how well we follow the decision process
- We 'listen in' on our emotions and use that information to make choices

Intuitive Decision Making

- Ability to know when a problem or opportunity exists and select the best course of action without conscious reasoning
- Intuition as emotional experience
 - Gut feelings are emotional signals
 - Not all emotional signals are intuition
- Intuition as rapid nonconscious analysis
 Uses action scripts

Choosing Alternatives Better

- 1. Systematically evaluate alternatives against relevant factors
- 2. Be aware of effects of emotions on decision preferences and evaluation process
- 3. Scenario planning

Decision Evaluation Problems

- Confirmation bias
 - Inflate quality of the selected option; forget or downplay rejected alternatives
- Escalation of commitment -- repeating or further investing in an apparently bad decision
 - Caused by
 - ➢ self-justification effect
 - ➢ self-enhancement effect
 - prospect theory effect
 - ➢ sunk costs effect

Evaluating Decisions Better

- 1. Separate decision choosers from evaluators
- 2. Establish a preset level to abandon the project
- 3. Find sources of systematic and clear feedback
- 4. Involve several people in the evaluation process

Tangible Creativity

Alex Beim, founder and chief creative technologist of Tangible Interaction Design in Vancouver, Canada, relies on creative thinking to invent enticing interactive displays, such as the zygotes at the Olympic Games.



Creative Process Model



Characteristics of Creative People



Creative Work Environments

- Learning orientation
 - Encourage experimentation
 - Tolerate mistakes
- Intrinsically motivating work
 - Task significance, autonomy, feedback
- Open communication and sufficient resources

Unclear/complex effects of team competition and time pressure on creativity

Creative Activities



Redefine	Associative	Cross-
the Problem	Play	Pollination
 Revisit abandoned projects Explore issue with other people 	 Storytelling Artistic activities Morphological analysis 	 Diverse teams Information sessions Internal tradeshows

Brasilata, The Ideas Company

Brasilata has become one of the most innovative and productive manufacturing businesses in Brazil by involving employees in company decisions.



Levels of Employee Involvement

- High: Employees responsible for entire decision-making process
- Medium-High: Employees hear problem, then collectively develop recommendations
- Medium-Low: Employees hear problem individually or collectively, then asked for information relating to that problem
- Low: Employees individually asked for specific information but the problem is not described to them

© 2015 by McGraw-Hill Education. This is proprietary material solely for authorized instructor use. Not authorized for sale or distribution in any manner. This document may not be copied, scanned, duplicated, forwarded, distributed, or posted on a website, in whole or part.

High

Medium

Low

Employee Involvement Model



Contingencies of Involvement

Higher employee involvement is better when:

Decision	 Problem is new & complex
Structure	(i.e nonprogrammed decision)
Knowledge	 Employees have relevant knowledge
Source	beyond leader
Decision	 Employees would lack commitment
Commitment	unless involved
Risk of Conflict	 Norms support firm's goals Employee agreement likely

The following exhibit on subjective expected utility (SEU) is not presented in the book

Decision Making and Creativity

Subjective Expected Utility

Estimating the best possible alternative (maximization)

Expected -- probability of an outcome occurring

 e.g., Chance that outcome 3 will occur is 90% if choice 'A' is chosen, 30% if choice 'B' is chosen

Utility -- Value or happiness produced by each option from value of expected outcomes

- Choice 'B' has higher utility (value) than choice 'A'
- Choice 'B' expected utility is (.8x7)+(.2x-2)+(.3x1)=6.4







Solutions to Creativity Brainbusters Exercise

Double Circle Problem



Nine Dot Problem





Nine Dot Prober Revisited

Word Search



CR EAT IVE

Burning Ropes



One Hour to Burn Completely