17.	extinguish 10	d Prop			of	alsposal of 14. application for custody of disputed property.	6. Principle governing	property pending trial in certain cases.	and disposal of 13.	5. Order for custody	4. Relevant Laws and 12. Provisions.	3. Doctrine of custodia 11. legis.	3	1. Meaning and object.	OF PROPERTY	していた。
Bona Tine r	Bom fide purchaser	Person holding of	Determination ownership.	Stolen property.	Title of property.	Dictinction between sections 516-A & 517 Cr.P.C.	is taken.	given to person from whose possession it	Seized property to be	u/s 550 Cr.P.C.	Police have no power to seize disputed car		·	the custody of the Court.	RTY	*

9.

œ

.7

6.

^{totection} of property. ^{one} one i.e. owner or 8 7 26. 12 74. purpose of E B 13 13 $\mathsf{Delivery}$ Superdari is meant primarily for the purpose of "Superdari" Meaning and object. No **Summary Procedure** prescribed. of offence. used for should Property narcotics. refused. Hire purchase. cannot be given. used in Custody Release of gun. ownership. not Court. driving. Registration When Verdict Rash When sine limitation and of vehicle on superdari is meant primarily for qua commission transporting protection of of of not means temporary custody of the property to Superdari negligent Superdari allegedly non vehicle book Civil possessor till the final adjudication of of be is property 31. 35. 34. 33. 32. 37. 36. 40. 39. 38. 41. 45. 42. Disposal Article Notice property. Investigating Officer. Status of Superdar, prosecution. by Magistrate. police u/s 550. Last possession. superdari. Recalling of order of Cancellation of order used in Narcotics cases. Vehicle seized by the narcotics Custody Magistrate. Powers Judgments. Remarkable Remedies. seized transporting of vehicle case ьу 5 of

33

0

Ö

10

(1991 MLD 2590). Terms of "Superal on Superdari to a purchaser from this property cannot be given on Superdari to a purchaser from this furnishing bank guarantee modified. (1991 SCMR 1567) Stoken Terms of "Superdari' can be modified. (1991 SCMR 1567) Stoken on Superdari to a purchaser from the given on Superdari to a purchaser from in lawful possession ordered to be given on superdari delivery of the car ordered (1992 P.Cr.L.J. 2137; 1994 SCMR of furnishing bank guarantee. (1992 modified. (1991 SCMR 1567) c. "Superdari can be modified." bona fide purchase ion of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession of the same for a period of two bona fide purchase or lawful possession or lawful posses against purchaser trous (1991 MLD 2590) Accused claiming to be document of title. (1991 MLD 2590) Accused claiming to be document of title. (1991 MLD 2590) Accused claiming to be document of title. (1991 MLD 2590) Accused claiming to be document of title. (1991 MLD 2590) Accused claiming to be document of title. deterioration of title. (1991 MLD 2590) Accused claiming to have against purchaser from the thief. Open transfer letter not a gainst purchaser (1991 MLD 2590) Accused claiming to have a gainst purchaser (1991 MLD 2590) accused claiming to have a gainst purchaser (1991 MLD 2590). deterioration and cannot deterioration and cannot should not be deprived custoff deterioration and cannot should not deterioration and cannot be used as a proof of ownership deterioration and cannot be used as a proof of owne

Doctrine of custodia legis.

prior holder is entitled to replevin action finally determines whether replevining party or and that property remains in custody of court until judgment in possession may be in either of the parties to the replevin action considered to be in custody of the court, though actual property is repossessed under writ of replevin, property is Doctrine of custodia legis" provides that when personal

Dictionary).

possession.

(Encyclopedia Law

disposal of property pending trial. Section 516-A Cr.P.C. is most Sections 516-A to 525 of Cr.P.C. relates to the custody and Relevant Laws and Provisions.

relevant which is reproduced as under.

trial in certain cases. Order for custody and disposal of property pending

sold or otherwise disposed of. recording such evidence as it thinks necessary, order it to speedy or natural decay, may to sold or otherwise dience as it thinks necessary, order it to speedy or natural decay, may to sold or otherwise dience as it thinks necessary, order it to speedy or natural decay, may to sold or otherwise dience as it thinks necessary, order it to speedy or natural decay, may to sold or otherwise dience as it thinks necessary, order it to speedy or natural decay. property is subject to speedy or natural decay, may, alto recording such evid property or natural decay, may, alto be sold such evid property is subject to speedy or natural decay, may, alto be sold such evid property. pending the conclusion the proper custody of such proper pending the conclusion of the inquiry or trial, and, after property is subject to Court during any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it think in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it think in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it think in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it think in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it think in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it think in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it think in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it thinks in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it thinks in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it thinks in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it thinks in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it thinks in any inquiry or trial, the Court may make such order as it thinks in a such as a such Court during any increase of which appears to have been Criminal Court during any increase of any offence is produced before any Criminal Court during any increase of the succession of any offence is produced before any Criminal Court during any increase of the succession of the commission of any offence is produced before any Criminal Court during any increase of the commission of the c to have been committed, or which appears to have been used for the commission of the When any property regarding which any offence appears "(Provided that, if the property consists of explosive person other than a Government Department or office with, or to an authorized dealer in any the Court shall not order it to be sold or handed over with, or to an authorized dealer in, such substances").

(Provided further that if the property is a dangerous intoxicant, intoxicating liquor or any other narcotic substance seized or taken into custody under the Dangerous Orugs Act, 1930 (II of 1930), the customs Act, 1969 (IV of 1969), Prohibition (Enforcement of Hadd) Order, 1979 (P.O. 4 of 1979), of any other law for the time being in force, the Court may, either on an application or of its own motion and under its supervision and control obtain and prepare such number of samples of the property as it may deem fit for safe custody and production before it or any other Court and cause destruction of the remaining portion of the property under a certificate issued by it in that behalf:

Provided also that such samples shall be deemed to be whole of the property in an inquiry or proceeding in relation to auch offence before any authority or Court). (S. 516-A Cr.P.C.).

Principle governing disposal of application for custody of disputed property.

that the article was stolen, the same may he handed over to the Action 516-A, Cr.P.C. is an interlocutory order which can be Varied by the Trial Court even before the disposal of the case, if Onne of title as their Competent to investigate into the question of title as their lunisd: Inisdiction is confined under section 516-A Cr.P.C. or for that wher against superdari, and P.R. Bond; (iii) an order under against whom there is no allegation of any crime, the custody of bond; (ii) if an article is recovered from a person and if it is clear the same be entrusted to such person on superdari, and P.R. applications under Section 516-A Cr.P.C. are governed by the Mowing principles: (i) If an article is recovered from a person As can be deduced from various precedent ruling,

Covil Count; (vvi) an errorseous view taken by a True Covil Count; (vvi) an errorseous view taken by a True Covil A CorPC cannot attract the Constitutional Line Constitution The question of ownership is to be determined by a law of Terrissional Count while deciding an application was attract the Constitutional service. Section 517, Carry to the section of the section of

High Court (PLD 1979 Kar. 430)

or trial, the Court may make an order for the days property or document produced before it or in the Calabria destruction, confiscation or delivery to any person of a regarding which any offence appears to have been or made Section 517 provides that after the conclusion is Summary of other Sections

Divisional Magistrate who deals with it as if it has been seen property to be delivered to the which has been used to the commission of any utions According to section 518, the Court may don't District Magnetrate or so

stoles property, a sum not exceeding the price purchased in its by the police The Court may order that out of money received by we'll Section 519 relates to payment to an innocent public

condumnation, reference or revision may direct any order to termer Court, and may modify, after or arned such over subourdinate thereof, to be stayed pending consideration in vection 517, section 518 or section purchaser be delivered to such purchaser According to section 520 any 519 passed by a Colo CONTRACT ON THE

make any further orders that may be past Court is competent to make an order for the Section 52) relates to destruction of objections.

of immovable

property

by criminal force or criminal

immovable property of any

Section

relates

to restoration

_{civil} suit. when; or (c) found under suspicious circumstances, is reported when taken (a) under section 51 or (b) suspected to have been intimidual interest to or in suit. of immidation without prejudice to any right of interest to or in Section 523 and 524 relate of seizure of property by police

within six months and if the person in whose possession such protection. If the person entitled is known, the Magistrate may the Magistrate may think fit. If no person establishes his claim order the property to be delivered to him on such conditions as the person entitled; or (c) respecting its safe custody and Magistrate who orders (a) its disposal or (b) its delivery to

Magistrate. The Magistrate may direct such property to be sold \equiv unknown or absent. person entitled ð possession is:

Government, and may be sold under the orders of the District acquired by him, the property remains at the disposal of the property was found is unable to show that it was legally

 Ξ property subject to speedy and

(iii) Its value is less than Rs.10/- (section 525). owner; lts sale would be natural decay; or for the benefit of the

High Court Rules and Orders on custody of property.

Kinds of property -- Kinds of property -- Kinds: --Kinds of property sent in by police-: Property together

Articles including (a) comments for their manufacture, such as dyes,

moulds,

transmitted to the Police report in cases sent up for Criminal Procedure, with the Police report in cases sent up for dyes, moulds, etc., user transmitted to the Magistrate, under section 170 of the Code of etc.., and (b) forged currency such as dyes, moulds, etc., used in the forgery of currency notes, dyes, moulds, etc., used in the forgery of currency notes, and (b) forged currency notes and implements such as

upon suspicion, and Criminal Procedure, to be forwarded to upon suspicion, and Property seized by the Police as stolen property or ordered by the Magistrate, under section

district to be forwarded to headquarters. section 25 of Act V of 1861, and ordered by the Magistrate of the headquarters. (iii) Property taken charge of by the Police under

Custody and disposal of the Property.

- otherwise disposed of, as the Magistrate may direct. the owner, will be made over to the Nazir for safe custody, or case. When the case is decided, the property, if not returned $_{to}$ (i) above, other than articles enumerated in (a) and (b), the Police Department will retain charge of it pending the disposal of the a) With regard to property referred to in rule 1
- the custody Articles enumerated in 1 (i) (a) above, will remain in

end of the case and not till after the appeal or revision, if any, of the Police Department pending the disposal of the case. At the Court shall send them to the Treasury or Sub-Treasury

together with a short description of the case; and Articles enumerated in 1(i) (b) above produced in

and

Confiscated by a Court shall remain in the custody of the Police Department A....

destruction and make the remaining articles over to the Police the forged note is/notes are detected for entry in their books and destruction and man detected for entry in their books and Officer of the Count C. Revision, if, any, from it, the Presiding Department during the trial of the case. After the decision of the case and the Annual Officer of the Court, State Bank of Pakistan in whose jurisdiction the forged note is /

pepartment, pepartment, custody and disposal of the new Custod pepartment for their destruction or for such other action as may ŝ Custody and disposal of the property.

Property of the second kind, when

headquarters, will into

Magistrate may direct. or of Criminal Procedure, when it should be transferred to remain II. remain in the custody of the Police until the Magistrate makes the custody of the Nazir, or otherwise disposed of as

Custody and disposal of the property.

headquarters Department. be made over at once to the Nazir by the Police Property of the third kind should on arrival at

Custody of coins, currency notes, etc .--

bullion or jewellery, etc., for safe custody at the Treasury. bullian should first be obtained by the Police before placing nonth, by the Deputy Commissioner. The orders of the Deputy safe custody, and an entry made not in the ordinary register, but irrespective of their face values, and jewels will be deposited for nontine special register which should be countersigned every Volume II; bullion at its estimated value in cash, and securities, regular deposits under the rules in Chapter III, Account Code, notes (other than counterfeit coin and notes) will be treated as Nazir, be made over to the Treasury Officer; coin or currency and is of great value, say above one thousand rupees, it should of bullion, coin, currency notes, valuable securities or jewels, instead of being made over, Under the preceding rules, to the In any individual case where the property consists

Responsibility of Police for safe custody.

Treasury rules, the Police Permade when so made Until the property is, under the Property will online to the Treasury rules, the Police Department will made Until the property is, under the preceding rules, Superdari and Disposal of Property

over, the responsibility for its safe custody will rest with the responsibility for its safe custody will rest will be a safe custody will rest with the responsibility for its safe custody will rest will be a safe custody will rest will be a safe custody will rest will be a safe custody will be a safe custo over, the response as the case may be.
Nazir (or) Treasurer, as the case may be.

See also Volume IV, Chapter 10:

"Forfeited and unclaimed Property." 7.

Forfeited bond doesn't extinguish the custody of

Court.

Where under a bond a person engages to produce the where under a goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court whenever called upon to do so and on his failure goods in Court when the latter goods in Court w goods in Court when to do so, the bond is forfeited and penalty is levied, the bond to do so, the bond is forfeited and penalty is levied, the bond to do so, the bond is converged as to got the bond to do so. its breach cannot in any sense be so read as to extinguish the custody of the Court. The custody of the Court continues despite the bond and the Court has the necessary power to call upon the person to produce the goods either in the original or converted form, in spite of forfeiture of bond and penalty

therefore. The forfeiture cannot be pleaded in extinguishment of the Court's power to deal further with the property or its sale

proceeds. (AIR 1953 All 199, Distinguished.

Power of Court to cancel its order of Superdari. Sections 516-A & 561-A--- custody of property of

Superdari. Petitioner had obtained the order of Superdari of the cattle head in question from the Magistrate at a time when he was not possessed of any material supporting his claim of the

ownership----Magistrate in such circumstances was amp application of the said order subsequently on the said order subsequently on the said order subsequently on the said order subsequently of the said order subsequently or the said order subsequently of the said order subsequently or the said order subsequently order subse application of the respondent who apparently had a better claim of owner ship or of owner ship or possession of the said cattle head. (2000 Y)

11. Superdari doesn't create any right.

Granting of superdari a property to a person pending at trial does qua that property. Superdari a property to a person pending qua that property. Superdaries any vested right in his land it. qua that property. Superdari is only a temporary arrangement the Court granting at its only a temporary arrangement. and the Court granting the Superdari never loses its over of the property concerned. Superdar acts only as a major time. (2000) YLR 3041).

Superdar acts only as a concerned cannot retake the property in question from him at any time.

police have no power to seize disputed car u/s 550

Section 550-----Constitution of Pakistan (1973), Art. 199--Constitutional petition----Police had taken into possession the in dispute under, section 550 Cr.P.C.----Authority under section 550 Cr.P.C. could be exercised by the police by seizing any property alleged or suspected to have been stolen or which might be found under circumstances creating suspicion of the commission of any offence----Dispute about the car in question revolved around its ownership and it could not be taken into possession under section 550 Cr.P.C. ----Police had coined a device to settle the dispute of civil nature of the instant category by projecting its authority---- Contesting parties could get determined their ownership of the car from the competent Court----Police had no jurisdiction to decide such dispute and was directed to return the car to the petitioner-----Constitutional petition was disposed of accordingly. (2001 MLD 670).

Truck in dispute being neither a stolen property nor having been used in the commission of any offence, recovery and possession of the same by the police under section 523/550 Cr.P.C. was illegal and without jurisdiction. If the police had kept the Truck in custody under the impression that it belonged to an absconder involved in the commission of some murder, even then police could not acquire its possession without obtaining a warrant under section 88, Cr.P.C. which was admittedly not done. Petitioner claimed to be the purchaser of the Truck from the said absconder many months prior to the commission of the murder and he had an open transfer letter in his possession which fact was not rebutted by the prosecution. Iruck apparently having belonged to the petitioner, it was handed over to him on Superdari. (1997 P.Cr.L.J. 908).

Seized property to be given to person from whom possession it is taken.

No inquiry or trial pending in Court of Magistrate No inquiry or trial pending seizure and disposal of No inquiry or trial persons of Magistrate No inquiry or trial persons and disposal of Magistrate nor police making report regarding seizure and disposal of disputed property as the least result of the property as the p police making report regarding possession of applicant claiming truck—Truck seized while in possession of applicant claiming truck—truck—truck—truck—popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession in the popsession is in the popsession in truck--- Truck seized willed truck--- Truck seized willed truck--- Held, property seized normally to be its bona fide purchaser---Held, property seized normally to be its bona from whose possession it is taken in the person from whose possession it is taken in the person from whose possession it is taken in the person from whose possession it is taken in the person from whose possession it is taken in the person from whose possession it is taken in the person from whose possession it is taken in the person from the person from whose possession it is taken in the person from the perso to be its bona fide purchaser whose possession it is taken unless be given to person for doing otherwise----Order passes be given to person from doing otherwise----Order passed on there are special reasons for doing otherwise----Order passed on the there are special reactions there are special reactions and justified. (1973 P.Cr.L.J. 288).

Upon this view of the matter, I hold that the applicant Upon this view the truck was seized was the person whose possession of the same. I therefore direct in the person that the person the same is the person that entitled to the possession of the same. I therefore, direct that the entitled to the possesser that the truck should be delivered to him pending the investigation in respect of the offence alleged to have been committed by Lutufur Rehman in connection with this truck. At the conclusion of inquiry or trial it will be open to the trial Court to pass any order regarding the disposal of the truck in question. (1973 P.Cr.L.J. 291). Dictinction between sections 516-A & 517 Cr.P.C.

The provisions relating the property in respect of which the offence is committed are contained in sections 516-A and 517 Cr.P.C. Both these sections deal with the disposal of the property regarding which an offence appears to have been committed or which appears to have been used for the commission of any offence. Section 516-A contemplates the passing of an interim order during the pendency of inquiry of trial for the proper custody of such property, whereas section 517 comes into play when the inquiry or trial is concluded and the final and the final order is passed for the disposal of the property. Of course both the course both these sections reveal that the property in respect of which an order which an order can be passed is produced before the Court that the order to meet this objection it may be pointed out that the doctrine of implied doctrine of implied power is applicable. From this principle to follows that the power of the follows that the power to pass an order in respect of property regarding property regarding which an offence has been committed

Question of title to property concerned is hardly desirable be decided under section 517 (1), Cr.P.C. The Court is not be decided it either. The question of title if any should be left to be decided by an ordinary civil Court of competent jurisdiction. (PLD 1970 SC 343). Courts not to go into title of property, which is to be

decided by a competent civil court. Property u/s 517, Cr.P.C. is to be handed over to person from whose possession it was taken over by the police. Bona fide purchaser handed over the possession from whose possession the tractor was taken by police. (PLJ 1990 Cr.C. (Kar) M. Saleem Khan.) Superdari continues till the case property is disposed of

under section 517, Cr.P.C. Mere recommendation of police for cancellation of case does not automatically put superdari to an end and entitle superdar to retain property as of right (SC) 1974 SMR 238 Khan Mohammad V. Mohammad Abdullah. Section 522 and 517. Under section 517 only person

claiming to be entitled to possession of property can ask for the delivery to him, while under section 522 person asking for restoration of property need not claim to be entitled to its Possession. Court acting section 522 need not enter into question of right of possession for restoration of property. (PLD 1963 Lah. Muhammad Bakhsh V. Ashiq Hussain).

16. Stolen property.

Accused who was acquitted of the charge of possession of Court returning the same to the accused not illegal. (1991 PCt.L.J. 2068) Person coming into possession of the tractor

through bona fide purchaser, it is not open to criminal County through bona fide purchaser, it is not open to criminal County through bona fide purchaser, it is not open to criminal County through bona fide purchaser, it is not open to criminal County through bona fide purchaser, it is not open to criminal County through bona fide purchaser, it is not open to criminal County through bona fide purchaser, it is not open to criminal County through bona fide purchaser. through pona nee restriction of title. (1991 P.Cr.L.J. 285).

Determination of ownership. petermination of purpose of section 516-A Cr.P.C. being a limited purpose only tentatively determine as to whom does not be section 516-A Cr.P.C. being a limited purpose of disputed property of the Purpose of section of determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as to whom during such proceedings only tentatively determine as the such proceedings on the proceedings of the proceedi

such proceedings only terminal such proceedings only terminal such proceedings only terminal whom during a criminal trial, the possession of disputed property, be handled a criminal trial, the possession was not an equivalent of conclusion of conclusions. a criminal trial, the possession was not an equivalent of conclusive over. Such determination of ownership which ever over, Such determination of ownership which even provisional determination of ownership which even eve provisional must be determined u/s 517, Cr.P.C. eve provisional determined u/s 517, Cr.P.C criminal proceedings must be determined u/s 517, Cr.P.C criminal proceedings industry criminal proceedings in contentious matter would be determined in civil proceeding in contentious matter would be determined in civil proceeding independently, to adjudicate upon that matter which have independently, to adjudicate upon that matter. (by which have independently which have independently (1972 SCMR 159). Order of disposal of property or finally determined in CLC 502; 1972 Schille in property or finally determined by State of any should be left to be at the state of order, question of title if any should be left to be decided by ordinary civil Court or competent jurisdiction. (PLD 1970 SC 343).

Person holding open Transfer Letter. 18.

An open Transfer Letter, Registration Book and Computer Checking Slip is sufficient to given the vehicle Superdari to the person from whom it was taken possession (1999 MLD 1676) According to Peshawar High Court transfer letter alone does not confer any title. (1999 P.Cr.L.). 138 Vehicle used by an offender for going to or running from plan when offences committed by him, cannot be said to have be used for the commission of that offence and the same cannot it taken into possession by the Police as case property. (1999 MI)

19. Bona fide purchaser.

1676).

Tractor seized from bona fide purchaser held, interior custody of such property should go to the person from whom is recovered. (1976 D.C. is recovered. (1976 P.Cr.L.J. 747).

Truck seized from bona fide purchaser. Property sent ally to be given to normally to be given to person from whose possession it is unless there are special unless there are special reasons for doing otherwise passed on basis of ownership are not justified. (1973 P.Cr.L.J.

Question as to the chassis number alleged to be welded is matter of evidence which can be seen at the time of trial, Retention of vehicle in police custody for an indefinite period is Retention of the law. (2003 YLR 791). Applicant can claim custody of vehicle on the basis that he was bonafide purchaser for consideration. (2003 YLR 2744). Owner of the car producing original registration, vehicle in question admittedly given to Rent-a-Car service from where accused had obtained the same a day before the date of occurrence. Such fact corroborated by recovery of authority letter from the dashboard. There is no restriction in law not to release the vehicle to the bona fide owner who is neither accused nor his associate. (PLD 2003 Pesh.

87). When Superdari cannot be given. 20.

Superdari of Truck. Case registered under section 420, 406 PPC for cheating about the truck. Held, the truck should not have been recovered from the person possessing it unless a strong case of cheating was made out. ((SC) 1970 SCMR 665).

Purchaser of stolen property from a thief cannot be given superdari of property pending the decision of the case. (PLD 1976 641)

21. Hire purchase.

Taxi car acquired on the basis of hire purchase agreement but all installments not paid, cannot be said that the purchaser had become owner of the property. Car given to the owner on Superdari subject to furnishing bank guarantee. (1972 P.Cr.L.J. 678).

22. Rash and negligent driving.

A driver is prosecuted for rash and negligent driving. His A driver is prosecuted for rash and negligent and segment cannot be detained as case property. (AIR 1931 Lah. 565). In case of rash and negligence driving vehicle involved In case of rash and negligence driving venicle in the last of such be deemed to have been implied as a weapon of such

Superdari and Disposar of Property

offence within meaning of section 517 Cr.P.C. It is the duby offence within to dispose of such property in accordance. offence within meaning of such property in accordance with the trial court to dispose of such property in accordance with the trial P.Cr.L.J. 1935). law. (1984 P.Cr.L.J. 1935). Verdict of Civil Court.

(1998 P.Cr.L.J. 1089).

Application of complainant and accused for the property alleged to be stolen, pending don't 23. Application of the property alleged to be stolen, pending decision of the property alleged to be stolen, pending decision the complainant mean while filing declaratory possession of the property and in Court, the complainant and deciding the case but referring the civil Court. Held, the Manie the civil Court. Magistrate reterring the parties to obtain the verdict of civil Court. Held, the Magistrate parties to obtain the verdict of the application independent of the parties of the parties of the parties of the parties of the application independent of the parties of the partie parties to obtain the volume parties the v should have disposed the parties. (PLD 1966 Lah. 678 Bashir). When Superdari should not be refused.

24. Car used in commission of the offence owned and

claimed by the person not doing anything to advocate commission of offence. Court bound to release such property for "proper custody" under section 516-A Cr.P.C. Order of Magistrate withholding custody of car from its owner, held entirely unjustified. (1970 P.Cr.L.J. 1215).

Car used in commission of offence is no ground for refusing its custody to its owner who is not connected with crime. (NLR 1989 Cr. 445).

25. Registration book not sine qua non of ownership. Petitioner purchasing vehicle from its last registered

owner and got a transfer letter executed in his favour. Traffic Magistrate declining to implement the superdari order on the crude pretext that neither the applicant's name nor that of the petitioner figures in the registration book of the vehicle Registration of the vehicle held not the sine qua non of the ownership and that the ownership or title. SHO on having come to know that the petitioner had not the petitioner had purchased the vehicle and held the transfer letter executed by the standard hander executed by the last registered owner ought to have handed over the vehicle to him. over the vehicle to him or his agent on furnishing the requisite surety as desired by I surety as desired by Judicial Magistrate in the Superdari order (1998 P.Cr.L.J. 1080) Release of gun.

26.

Gun in question licensed in name of accused neither used incident nor treated as instrument used in commission of in incident in commission of alleged offence. Trial Court has no jurisdiction to forfeit gun but alleged officers and deliver to the person from whom secured with licence. (1984 P.Cr.L.J. 1571). should with licence. (1984 P.Cr.L.J. 1571).

property. Section 517 is applicable to all sorts of properties. It does not relate only to movable property, but properties immovable property also. (PLD 1963 Lah. 467). The "property" as used in this section includes property not with which offence or offences appear to have been committed but also any property into or for which the same may have been committed or exchanged or anything acquired by such conversion or exchange either immediately or otherwise. _{PLD} 1951 AJ & K 6).

Custody of vehicle used in transporting narcotics.

Section 74 of CNSA having barred the release of any vehicle used for the purpose of transportation of narcotics, custody of the said tractor should not be given to the applicant pending trial of the case. (2001 MLD 358). Car in question admittedly belonging to the petitioner including the accused who had been acquitted in the case. Petitioner entitled to the possession of the case. Order of confiscation of the car in favour of the State recalled. (1996 P.Cr.L.J.688).

Car in question admittedly was given to Rent a Car service from where accused had obtained the same a day prior to the date of occurrence. Such fact corroborated by recovery of authority letter. No restriction in law that such a vehicle would hot be released to the bona fide owner of the vehicle who is neither accused nor associate of the actual accused. (PLD 2003 Pesh. 87).

28. Property allegedly used for commission of offence.

In order to avoid any likelihood of misuse or improper use of vehicle and to maintain its machinery property, it was

desirable that vehicle should be kept in such condition that engine the body was not kept in such condition that engin the desirable that vehicle should be condition that engine or body was not kept in such condition that engine or body was not kept in such condition that engine or body was not subjected to any natural and unnatural decomposition of subjected to any natural delivered loop. engine or body was not kep engine or body was not subjected to any natural and unnatural decay body was not subjected to any natural and unnatural decay body was not subjected to any natural and unnatural decay body was not subjected to any natural and unnatural decay body was not subjected to any natural and unnatural decay body was not kep to the subject of trial. Trial Court delivered Jeep on Superdo body was not subjected to any body w conclusion of trial. Trial Condition that superdar would produce brother of accused with condition that superdar would produce brother of accused when ever so required and in default to do brother of accused with control and in default to do so he leep in court when ever so required and in default to do so he Jeep in court when ever so is a leep in court when ever so is would be liable to deposit of modalities of treasury. Law, of course, did not postulate modalities of treasury. treasury. Law, of course, treasury. Law, of commission of offence, but that custody had to be delivered to a suitable person by taking all measures of care of ensure safety protection and required conditions of property, including consideration of its production before Court during trial No doubt vehicle in the case was delivered to custody of supardar on condition that a default of production of Jeep in Count, stipulated amount should be deposited by supardar in Government treasury, but order of trial Court did not provide guarantee of safety, security and protection of vehicle. (1991 MLD 2056).

Perishable property. In the matter where valuable and perishable property is involved or where there is apprehension that property can be misused damaged or its utility is likely to be diminished, in such a situation Courts should be more vigilant and on guard to save the property from devastation The technicalities or delay on the part of the court may cause hard ship or irreparable loss to the parties to the proceedings which may be avoided so that confidence of people in the court should remain intact. (2000 MLD 197).

Superdari of cattle. Magistrate before passing the order of superdari of cattle. Magistrate before passing the the case property and trequired to satisfy himself that they were the case property and the circumstances which they were seized to satisfy himself that they by the police and the by the police and the circumstances which they were self-Magistrate without to their custody Magistrate without taking notice of the relevant provisions to the law carelessly handling notice of the relevant provision unlawful (1993 P.Cr.). The matter, order declared to uniawful. (1993 P.Cr.L.J. 1004).

No limitation is prescribed.

No limitation is prescribed for an application under section 517(1), Cr.P.C. for the disposal of case property. Court can pass such order till case property is disposed of. (1976 p.Cr.L.J. 116).

No limitation is prescribed for making application under section 520. The proceedings are special nature. It is neither appeal nor in the nature of appeal. (PLD 1966 Lah. 918).

30. Summary Procedure

Section 517 of the Code provides summary procedure regarding the disposal of the property and an order under this section does not decide the question of ownership of the property. It simply decides a right to possession till a civil Court decides the question of ownership. (PLD 1951 AJ & K 6).

If the case property is a dangerous drug or intoxicant or liquor or any other narcotics substance, specific procedure has been stipulated u/s 516-A, second proviso Cr.P.C. Only prerequisite for the exercise of jurisdiction to allow such application is the obtaining and preparing number of samples of the property by the court under its supervision and control and thereafter issuing a certificate for the destruction of the remaining property. (2002 P.Cr.L.J. 646, 837).

Proceedings of securing samples and destruction of case property conducted by Magistrate who was not competent to try case, would be illegal and of no evidentiary value. SHOs should submit application for securing samples and destruction of case property to only those Courts, which are competent to try case to which case property belongs. (NLR 1995 SD 374).

31. Article seized by Investigating Officer.

As soon as Investigating officer seized any article, he should "forthwith" inform the Magistrate and on his orders he may leave these goods in the locality with a man taking a surety bond under the orders of the Magistrate. The plainest duty of the I.O. is to report the seizure of the properties u/s 550 of the

Cr.P.C. to the Magistrate and to await the Magistrate and to await the Magistrate or disposal of the Seized articles of the Seized articl regarding the cusion, regarding the seized his power in leaving the seized articled in the seized articled articled in the seized articled articled articled in the seized articled articled articled articled articled articled arti cannot exceed nis Francisco order from Criminal Court (Pu) ne custody or disposal of the seized articles in leaving the s

Section Javan, Supreme Court in Ali Muhammad's case reported sives a valuable right to the accused to object and alignments of the accused to object and accused to object accused to object accused to object accused to object and accused to object accused Supreme Court of the allegedly recovered property for a light to the accused to object against the allegedly recovered property for a light to the accused to object against the allegedly recovered property for a light to the accused to object against the accused the acc SCMR 54, gives -- the destruction of the allegedly recovered property from his not been issued. possession and we remark afforded with due opportunity of being stands seriously prejudiced. (PLD 2000 p. 100 p. 1 possession and to plead that he having not been issued such a suc heard in this regard, stands seriously prejudiced. (PLD 2003 Kg Section 516-A, Cr.P.C. read with the observation given by a case reported and the control of the

when a superdari application is entertained by a Magistate Order without such notice would be liable to be set aside [NI] 1994 SD 394; 2001 SCMR 795). An order passed under the section without hearing is not sustainable under the law. (20) Notice to prosecution. It is obligatory to give notice and also to hear prosecution

P.Cr.L.J. 1063; PLD 1995 S.C. 90; PLD 1987 S.C. 304 ref.) Status of Superdar.

never claim that the Court concerned cannot retake the property Superdari is only a temporary arrangement and the Com granting superdari never loses its overall control of the propert concerned. (2001 MLD 197). Superdar acts only as a trustee and, therefore, he can

Cancellation of order by Magistrate. Magistrate having passed a lawful order u/s 5164

Cr.P.C. is left with no more jurisdiction either to cancel his own order or order or to pass fresh order in super session of his earlier order (1986 P.C-1 1 222 given the vehicle on superdari to the petitioner cannot cancel in same subsequently (1986 P.Cr.L.J. 332; 1996 MLD 2948). Magistrate after particular given the wahing same subsequently without notice to him. (1993 P.Cr.L.)

jurisdiction. (1984 P.Cr.L.J. 2440). interference challan expected to be submitted within a couple of days Magistrate from passing an appropriate order u/s 516-A Cr.P.C. 523 Cr.P.C. had attained finality would not preclude trial of bar of section 369 Cr.P.C. yet mere fact that order passed u/s made his earlier order cannot review or revise same on account 2001 SCMR 795). However, a Magistrate, although after having declined by High Court in constitutional

Recalling of order of superdari.

could be recalled on reconsideration after hearing the parties hearing to him and his surety. Such order being void ab initio property in the Court without providing any opportunity of granted to the petitioner for non-production of the same as case Trial Court canceling the superdari of stolen cash already

37. Last possession.

^{Circumstances.} (1980 SCMR 954). ^{such} rule of law can be departed from under special Property though to be restored to party from whom taken yet there is to such person on superdginama pending disposal of case if property on superdari. Such property ordinarily to be returned right to make an application u/s 516-A for custody of such 2763). Person from whose possession goods seized, possesses a custody of vehicle if given to A would not be proper. (1988 MLD person who prima facie obtained its title by fraud. Interim into possession from A whom allegedly purchasing it from interim custody of the same. (1985 MLD 1376). Vehicle taken property at time of commission of offence held entitled to Petitioner having been found last in possession of no dispute as to ownership. (1982 P.Cr.L.J. 306).

was executed. (1988 MLD 2663). Criminal Was lutely no title on the person in whose favour such document about would be wholly inoperative and would confer documents and signatures, getting it transferred in his own hame and thereafter sold it to "A". Forged signatures and Where accused obtained delivery of tractor by forging Courts

competent to determine the exclusive domain of the chall within the exclusive domain of the chall be challed by the challed by competent to determine question of title or ownership of which fall within the exclusive domain of the of t

Vehicle seized by the police u/s 550.

tanker with direction to produce the same in Court as and when likely to go bad and rusty. Custody given to the owner of the kept in the pour cover and if it remained there for an indefinite period it was cover and if it remained there for an indefinite period it was w/s 516-A 101r kept in the police station in an open space without any shade or an indefinite period Proper course 101 "55"
Proper course 101 "55"
u/s 516-A for temporary custody. (NLR 1998 Cr. 272). Oil tanker property seized u/ or interpretation is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggrieved person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggree person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggree person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggree person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggree person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggree person is to approach Magistrale Proper course for aggree person is a proper course for a person is a proper course for aggree person is a person of the person is a person of the person o Court is court is pending conclusion of inquiry of the property seized u/s 550 pending conclusion of inquiry of the property Vehicle ---Court is competent to order for proper custody of the conclusion of inquiry or the conclusion of inquiry or the custody of the custody of the custody of the custody of the custody or the c

Powers of Magistrate

superdari specially when she was not accused in abduction case (NLR 1985 Cr. 353) being owner of car was entitled to superdari order in a case triable by Sessions Court. Petitioner A Magistrate or a competent criminal Court can page get possession of car on

Narcotics cases.

hand in the commission of the offence. (2002 P.Cr.L.J. 666). Act which protect in the provisions of section 32 of the hand in the commer who has no conscious hand in the commer who has no conscious construed in a constr who has no L. Pretation can be extended to cover an owner cannon f. the conclusion of the case but this provision by no the accused or any of his associate or relatives or any private in the import, export or transportation of narcotic substance to CNSA, no doubt, prohibits the grant of custody of a vehicle used Vehicle seized in offences under CNSA. Section 74

Custody of vehicle used in transporting narcotics.

of the State recalled. (1996 P.Cr.L.J.688). possession of the case. Order of confiscation of the car in favour who had been acquitted in the case. Petitioner entitled to the admittedly belonging to the petitioner including the accused pending trial of the case. (2001 MLD 358). Car in question vehice vehicle of the said tractor should not be given to the applicant Section 74 of CNSA having barred the release of any the purpose of transportation of narcotics,

5 Remedies.

improper impugned order set aside u/s 561-A. (2004 YLR 148). having valid receipts, for the same. Custody to stranger record. Proper course is to hand over the mares to the person 236). Mares not shown to have been stolen nor any FIR on order passed earlier nor he can review the same. (PLD 1990 Kar. Revision. A magistrate is not competent to revise his own

by the Magistrate u/ss 516, 517, 519, Cr.P.C. (2003 YLR 324). as revision u/s 520 is competent only against the orders passed Magistrate u/s, 516-A and granting superdari is not competent Revision petition u/s 520, Cr.P.C. suspending the order of

Remarkable Judgments.

Pth 1974 Cr.C.(Lah) 421 Pto 1987 SC 304 10 1973 Lah 45 PU1974 Cr.C.(Lah) 53 PLD 1976 Lah 641 1971 SCMR 774 SCMR 665 1980 SCMR 954 PUD 1961 Lah 205 PLD 1965 Lah 425 1972 SCMR 159 1974 SCMR 238 PLD 1963 Lah 467 PLD 1970 SC 343

2003 SCMR 54 2003 YLR 791 1990 SCMR 186 1998 SCMR 867 1994 SCMR 70 1991 SCMR 1567 PLD 1991 Kar. 200 1998 SCMR 2542 1997 P.Cr.L.J. 500 1996 P.Cr.L.J. 688 1995 MLD 335 1998 P.Cr.L.J. 1089 1996 SCMR 1544 1992 SCMR 1454

* *

¥

2001 MLD 358 2004 P.Cr.L.J. 159 2002 P.Cr.L.J. 25 2002 P.Cr.L.J. 666 2000 MLD 197 2001 YLR 2150 2001 SCMR 795 PLD 2001 P.Cr.L.J.1863 2004 P.Cr.L.J. 1 2004 YLR 148 2005 SCMR 735 2003 Pesh 87